IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT MCHENRY COUNTY, ILLINOIS				
PAUL DULBERG, Plaintiff, v. Case No. 17 LA 377 THE LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS J. POPOVICH, P.C., and HANS MAST,) Defendants.				
DEPOSITION OF SAUL FERRIS APPEARING REMOTELY FROM ILLINOIS				
October 14, 2021 1:30 p.m. REPORTED BY: Renee D. Waishwell CSR No. 084-004451				
APPEARING REMOTELY FROM CHICAGO, ILLINOIS				

1	REMOTE APPEARANCES:
2	
3	FOR PLAINTIFF:
4	
5	THE LAW OFFICE OF ALPHONSE A. TALARICO ALPHONSE A. TALARICO, ESQ
6	707 Skokie Boulevard Suite 600
7	Northbrook, Illinois 60062 Email: Contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com
8	
9	FOR DEFENDANTS:
10	KADDAT GOLIEN EGONOMOLI GILK C DUNNE ILG
11	KARBAL, COHEN, ECONOMOU, SILK & DUNNE, LLC GEORGE K. FLYNN, ESQ. 150 South Wacker Drive
12	Suite 1700 Chicago, Illinois 60606
13	Email: Gflynn@karbal.com
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	INDEX		
2	WITNESS	PAGE	
3	SAUL FERRIS		
4	Direct Examination by Mr. Flynn Cross-Examination by Mr. Talarico	4 19	
5	Redirect Examination by Mr. Flynn	31	
6	EXHIBITS	PAGE	
7	Exhibit No. 1 Exhibit No. 2	6 9	
8		_	
9	(Exhibits retained by cou	nsel.)	
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	REPORTED REMOTELY FROM CHICAGO, ILLINOIS				
2	THURSDAY, OCTOBER 14, 2021, 1:30 P.M.				
3	(Witness sworn.)				
4	SAUL FERRIS,				
5	called as a witness herein, having been first duly				
6	sworn, was examined and testified as follows:				
7	DIRECT EXAMINATION				
8	BY MR. FLYNN:				
9	Q. Sir, could you state your name for the record and				
10	spell your last name, please.				
11	A. Saul Ferris, F-e-r-r-i-s.				
12	Q. Thank you. Let the record reflect that this is				
13	the discovery deposition of Mr. Saul Ferris taken				
14	pursuant to notice and by agreement of the parties.				
15	This deposition is being taken pursuant to the rules of				
16	the Illinois Supreme Court, the Illinois Code of Civil				
17	Procedure and any applicable local rules in McHenry				
18	County.				
19	Sir, what is your occupation?				
20	A. I'm an attorney.				
21	Q. And you're licensed in the State of Illinois?				
22	A. Since 1985, yes.				
23	Q. Okay. And do you focus your law practice on				
24	representing plaintiffs in personal injury cases?				
25	A. I'd say 50 percent plaintiff personal injury and				

- 1 | 50 percent criminal defense.
- Q. Okay. And your office is at 103 South Greenleaf
- 3 Avenue, Suite G in Gurnee, Illinois?
- 4 A. Correct.
- Q. And are you a principal in a law firm?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And what is the current name of that law firm?
- 8 A. Ferris and Thompson.
- 9 Q. Okay. And was it known as Ferris, Thompson &
- 10 | Zweig?
- 11 A. I'm a partner.
- 12 Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead.
- 13 A. Mr. Zweig -- Mr. Zweig is disabled. He had
- 14 several health issues that caused him to retire.
- Q. Okay. And back in 2015, the firm was known as
- 16 | the Law Offices of Ferris, Thompson & Zweig, Limited?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. Okay. Are you familiar with a gentleman by the
- 19 | name of Paul Dulberg?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 | O. Okay. And do you have an independent
- 22 recollection of Mr. Paul --
- 23 A. I saw him as a potential client. He -- if I
- 24 | bumped into him on the street, I would not recognize
- 25 | him, because I only had a telephonic encounter and an

1 in-person encounter for 15 minutes or so on -- back in 2 2015. 3 Okay. Have you reviewed some documents today to -- in preparation for the deposition today to refresh your recollection of the matter? You provided me with a letter, which I will authenticate as being my letter dated March 4, 2015, and then you asked me to -- if there was any documentation, 8 such as my file, which I do not have. I purged a file 9 10 after four years, and it has been six years. But I keep 11 my calendar -- I've kept my calendar since I started vexing as a civilian, meaning I was in the military, 12 13 initially, and got out in 1989, and I have a calendar 14 for every client I've seen since. 15 Okay. And you provided me with a copy, two pages Ο. 16 of -- of material -- photocopies from your personal 17 calendar; is that correct? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Okay. And why don't we identify the two 20 exhibits. If I could direct your attention to Exhibit 1, which was, I believe, emailed to you to my secretary, 21 Linda Walters. 22 23 (Ferris Deposition Exhibit No. 1 2.4 was remotely introduced.)

BY MR. FLYNN: 1 2 Is Exhibit 1 in front of you a March 4, 2015, letter bearing your signature? 3 4 Α. Yes. Ο. Okay. And that letter was -- you know, is on your firm's letterhead and -- at least as it stood at the time, March 4, 2015? 8 Α. Yes. 9 And that is your signature on this piece Ο. 10 of paper, Exhibit 1? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Okay. And did you draft this? Ο. 13 Α. Yes. You did draft this letter? 14 Ο. 15 Α. Yes. 16 Okay. Did you draft this letter after meeting 17 with Mr. Dulberg regarding a potential legal representation on his behalf? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 Okay. And the letter was dated March 4, 2015, 21 correct? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Okay. Did you write the letter after you met Q. 2.4 with him? 25 Α. Yes.

- 1 | 0. And do you know when you met with Mr. Dulberg?
 - A. Yes. It was on March 26th at 2:00 o'clock.

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

Q. Okay. And we'll talk about the entry in your diary in a few moments. The letter indicates that he consulted with your firm on December 31, 2014, in regards to his personal injury case.

Do you know why the letter references a December 31, 2014, date?

- A. I -- I can't explain the discrepancy between my calendar and the date indicated. I -- I -- it was too long ago. I don't know why.
- Q. Okay. Is it possible that Mr. Dulberg initially contacted your office on December 31, 2014, but you didn't actually meet with him until February or March?
- A. No. I -- I didn't know this was an issue. Can you -- if you want, my -- my 2014 calendar is in my drawer. I can pull it out and look at December 31st.
- Q. If you have it handy.
- 19 A. This March date was another meeting. I do, if 20 you give me about one minute.
 - Q. Absolutely. Thank you.
- A. So I have my 2014 calendar, and I'm looking at

 December -- well, all right. December 31st. Even

 though I'm -- well, that's -- that's New Year's Eve.

 Let me see. I normally would not meet with clients New

1 Year's Eve, but let me see. And, in fact, there is no entry on December 31st, 2014. Oh, that's -- it's a 2 3 typo. 4 Ο. Okay. Fair enough. Why don't I direct your attention then to Exhibit 2, the second page. 5 6 (Ferris Deposition Exhibit No. 2 7 was remotely introduced.) BY MR. FLYNN: 8 9 Is Exhibit 2 a photocopy of the cover page of O. your 2015 calendar, along with a date from 10 11 February of 2015? 12 Α. Correct. 13 There is some handwriting on the second 14 page, and I don't want to get into the clients and 15 confidential information. But is there an entry on 16 Thursday, February 26th, relative to Paul Dulberg? 17 Α. Yes. 18 And is this your handwriting? Ο. 19 It indicates a meeting, yes. Α. 20 It indicates a meeting, and I see a few Okay. numbers, 2:00 o'clock and 4:00 o'clock. Can you tell me 21 22 what those mean? 23 That signifies that the meeting was originally Α. 2.4 scheduled for 4:00 o'clock. And either myself or the

potential client asked that it be moved up to 2:00

o'clock, so I drew in there it's 2:00 o'clock.

2.4

- Q. Okay. And next to the 4:00 o'clock entry, it says, Paul Dulberg. There's a dash, and then it says chain saw PI 6-28-11, dash. What does that mean?
- A. I oftentimes to distinguish cases try and summarize them by the nature of the case. And chain saw -- I put chain saw because the client had called me and said that he was injured by a chain saw, which is horrifying and very memorable. And the other reason why I remember it so well is because I -- I own a chain saw; and I have a two-and-a-half acre wooded lot, and I use my chain saw probably three, four times a month.

So I'm intimately familiar with chain saws, and I was very interested in -- when this client described my worst nightmare, which was getting injured by a chain saw. So I put chain saw to remind me what kind of case it was. And the 6-28-11 would be the date that the client indicated the incident happened. And, normally, I wouldn't put down the date of the incident in the client appointment number, but in 2015, that was past the -- the statute of limitations, which would be two years minimum in Illinois, generally speaking.

And so that -- I -- I knew that the statute had run. The first question I asked the client was, Was suit filed? He said suit had been filed, and so -- but

- wanted to discharge his attorney. So I agreed to meet
 with him and discuss his case.
 - Q. Okay. And you actually did meet with him on Thursday, February 26, 2015, correct?
 - A. Yes.

2.4

- Q. And there's also a -- what appears to be a sticky note, which is also photocopied with this page. Do you see some handwriting below the 4:00 o'clock entry?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. There's a name Paul and then a phone number and then some other language. Can you tell me what that says and what it means?
- A. So Mr. Dulberg could verify or not whether -whether that's his phone number. But my belief is that
 that's Paul Dulberg's telephone number, which I jotted
 down in case I had to reschedule or the client didn't
 show up, and I could call and say where -- are you
 coming? Printer and graphic design would -- would have
 to be what Paul said his occupation was. There would be
 no other explanation for that. David, I have a vague
 recollection as being the referral source. There was a
 gentleman that did hardscaping around my property, and
 David put in a pond in front of my house. And he lived
 in McHenry County, and, I believe, he was the one who
 referred Paul. The mystery to me is 12 LA 178. I mean,

that -- the LA letters are not -- due to workmen's comp,

WC -- or -- or maybe that was the name of the case that

was filed that he told me. Because it would be a

complete waste of my time to see him if suit hadn't been

filed beyond the statute of limitations.

0. Sure.

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

- A. I think I jotted down -- and you gentlemen probably know this, that it -- perhaps it's the existing case that was filed?
- Q. I can't say for sure. But I do believe McHenry County uses the LA designation in their Law Division, and they have something to do with arbitration. But --
- A. Well, then that makes sense. So that was some McHenry County case that he said was pending.
 - Q. Okay. Fair enough. And I don't want to speculate or testify myself, so -- for what it's worth. If I could direct your attention then to Exhibit 1. But, first, you noted that the chain saw accident was memorable. Do you recall seeing any part of his injury
- A. I just -- as far as meeting with the client, I just have a vague recollection. Like I said, I -- if he -- if he bumped -- bumped into me in the street, I would not recognize him.
 - Q. Okay.

to his arm, by chance?

- 1 A. So -- and no, I don't remember seeing the injury.
- Q. Okay. All right. You decided ultimately not to
- 3 accept his case, correct?
 - A. Correct.

- Q. Did you send him a declination letter?
- 6 A. I call it a disengagement letter, but declination
- 7 | letter sounds very descriptive and accurate. I guess
- 8 | they're interchangeable. I -- I've always called it a
- 9 disengagement letter. I think your -- your word is
- 10 better. I'll use that from now on.
- 11 Q. Well, I think in my legal malpractice seminars
- 12 | engagement and disengagement is probably used more
- 13 often, to be honest, so...
- 14 A. Disengagement suggests you've been engaged, and I
- 15 | was never engaged. I like your word better.
- 16 O. Good point. In any event, this March 4, 2015,
- 17 | letter is your declination or disengagement letter,
- 18 | correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. You never did accept Mr. Dulberg as a client?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. Okay. And you told him as much here in the
- 23 | letter?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 | Q. Okay. And if I could direct your attention to

- the last sentence of the first paragraph, could you read that for me into the record?
 - A. The last sentence of the first paragraph?
 - 0. Correct.

3

14

15

16

17

18

- A. I believe you should not have settled with the property owners for \$5,000.
- Did you say the last sentence? I'm sorry.
- 8 Q. The two last two sentences.
- 9 A. Two? There are no other reasons -- there are 10 other reasons for my decision.
- Q. Okay. And did you have an understanding as to who the parties were in the existing lawsuit when Mr. Dulberg came to see you?
 - A. So, again, my -- to the best of my recollection, he was cutting trees as a favor and he was injured and then was -- he sued the property owners -- or settled with the property owners for the med pay.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. And -- and I -- I was concerned that that would have invited a motion to dismiss if suit was brought against the property owners fearful that they would argue that that the matter was settled. But I -- I don't recall if he signed a release. Those details I don't know.
 - Q. Okay. Do you know when you drafted the letter

1 | and how you drafted it?

- So the -- because this is more or less a form 2 letter, in -- in my opinion, the December 31st date 3 was -- was a date relating to another client, and I just didn't change the date. But the reason why the date of letter March 4th makes sense in terms of when the potential client came in was on -- on February 26th, so March 4th would have been about five days later. 8 9 when you have a potential statute of limitations issue, 10 it's advisable to get your declination letter -- see, I stole your word already -- get your declination letter 11
- Q. Okay. And there was a weekend in between the date of your meeting and the date that the letter was finalized, correct?
- 16 A. Correct.

12

out sooner than later.

- Q. Okay. And did this letter get mailed to

 Mr. Dulberg at the address listed on the top of the

 letter?
- 20 A. To the best of my knowledge, yes.
- Q. Okay. And would that have just been sent by regular U.S. postal mail?
- A. Correct. If it was certified mail, the letter would so indicate.
- Q. Okay. So this would -- this letter was sent, to

- 1 | the best of your knowledge, by U.S. Mail, First Class?
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you ever communicate with Mr. Dulberg after
- 4 | you sent this letter to him?
- A. Not to my recollection, no.
- Q. Okay. You -- he never contacted you in order to correct the date contained in the first paragraph?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Okay. And he didn't contact you to further

 10 inquire as to the reasons for your declination of his
- 11 | case?
- 12 A. No.
- Q. Okay. Do you have any reason to believe that
- 14 Mr. Dulberg wouldn't have received this letter from you
- 15 | within seven days of March 4, 2015?
- 16 A. That's a better question for the United States
- 17 | Postal Service than it is for me.
- 18 | 0. I don't have --
- 19 A. I put it in the mail. And it was out of my
- 20 hands.
- 21 Q. How long have you been practicing law,
- 22 Mr. Ferris?
- 23 A. Since 1986.
- 24 Q. And have you sent a lot of letters to clients or
- 25 | to opposing counsel or otherwise in your business during

- October 14, 2021 1 that time period? 2 Α. Yes. Have you ever had any issues with the U.S. Postal 3 Service not delivering letters, as far as you know? Other than a letter being returned for the incorrect address, no. And this letter was not returned for any reason, 8 correct? 9 To the best of my knowledge, no. Α. 10 0. Okay. 11 I -- I would have put that in the file and had 12 been concerned that my declination was not communicated 13 with the potential client. I would have acted on that. 14 So I -- I don't recall any of that happening. 15 O. So because you were mindful of the statute of 16 limitations issue, you wanted this letter to get to him 17 as soon as it could? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Okay. And you're -- do you believe he would have 20 received this, barring any mistakes with the post 21 office, he would have received it, at least, within 30
- A. I -- I can't speak to the processing time of the postal service. It's really not for me to say.

22

25

days of March 4, 2015?

Q. If you thought that it might take as long as a

- month to get to him, would you have sent it by certified
 mail?
- A. No. To -- to be honest, no, because I -- I think
 the rule is the mailing date. And there -- from my end,
 there wasn't -- there wasn't any deadline that was
 coming up within a 30-day period that I -- I can recall,
 so the time was not of the essence. But getting a
 letter out within a week, declining a potential case, I
- Q. What is your expectation as far as delivery time

felt I was timely on my end.

when you send any letter by U.S. Mail?

- A. Locally, two days. Could be as fast as one day.
- 0. Okay. What about within the State of Illinois?
- A. Two days, you know, statewide. Nationally, two
 to three days -- then. I think it's changed now very
 recently.
- 17 | 0. Letters --

9

11

- A. New stories -- new stories about the postal system slowing down, but that's now.
- Q. So here in 2021, when you send a letter by U.S.

 Mail, it takes little bit longer than two or three days
 to get to its recipient?
- A. According to the newspapers, yes. Yeah. It's a very political issue right at the moment, but it wasn't in 2015.

1	MR. FLYNN: I don't think I have any further					
2	questions.					
3	THE WITNESS: Okay.					
4	CROSS-EXAMINATION					
5	BY MR. TALARICO:					
6	Q. Good afternoon, sir.					
7	A. Good afternoon.					
8	Q. My name is Alphonse Talarico, and I represent the					
9	Plaintiff. And I want to go over some of the statements					
10	you've made in this deposition discovery deposition,					
11	just for clarifying.					
12	I'm looking at what has been submitted and marked					
13	as Exhibit 2, which appears to be your 2015 DayMinder.					
14	And I think you testified as to that; is that correct?					
15	A. Yes.					
16	Q. Okay. I only see the excerpts from one page,					
17	that's the February 26th, which was a Thursday. I					
18	believe it's marked as a Thursday. My independent					
19	calendar says it was a Thursday. So I'm not asking you					
20	if it was or not. I'm just going to assume based on					
21	your daily reminder and the and my bar association					
22	daily reminder.					
23	You noted that he you were contacted for a					
24	chain saw personal injury matter. And I believe you					
25	testified that the date he told you the accident took					

- 1 place was June 28th, 2011; is that correct? 2 Α. Yes. Okay. Did -- how best -- how best connected 3 with -- I was unclear. Please clarify for me, did you meet with Mr. Dulberg on this day? Α. Yes. Did Mr. Dulberg have anybody accompany him on 0. this day? 8 9 I have a vague recollection of the meeting, but I -- I -- he came alone, to the best of my memory. 10 11 Okay. And it's just asking a lot of you to 12 remember a client that you -- you -- I mean, not a 13 client, a client you -- you didn't choose to take. 14 there's a need to ask you what you remember, so please 15 bear with me. 16 So he came alone. You also testified that prior 17 to that -- I don't want to put words in your mouth. 18 Attorney to attorney to attorney, three attorneys, no 19 words in your mouth. 20 Did he call on a different day to set up the 21 appointment? 22 Α. Yes.

- 23 Okay. Do you have any recollection of that, what Q. 2.4 day he called?
 - I don't note that. I'll get a telephone call. Α.

- 1 I'll screen the call. And if it sounds like a case that
- 2 | is a potential, viable case, I will write -- I'll ask
- 3 | the client when are you available to meet. And then I
- $4 \mid \text{will } -- \text{ I will put the entry in my calendar.}$ But I
- 5 | don't enter when the call came in.
- Q. Now, do you still retain the entire 2015 daily
- 7 | minder, if you would?
- 8 A. Yes. I have it. I have it right here.
- 9 Q. Do you -- are there other occasions in that 2015
- 10 daily minder where you had contact, where you wrote down
- 11 | a contact with Mr. Dulberg?
- 12 A. I -- I did search the file to -- excuse me -- the
- 13 | calendar. And I see no other entries for Mr. Dulberg
- 14 other than the entry which was provided. And I wasn't
- 15 | trying to -- I was trying to be somewhat cautious with
- 16 | regard to client confidentiality, which is why I cut off
- 17 | the page. And I did put another client's name on the
- 18 | same page, but that's public record. He was charged
- 19 | criminally, so I'm not worried about any ARDC on that.
- 20 But, you know, I can -- I can just -- I can hold up, if
- 21 | you could see the -- the page behind it, and there's no
- 22 entries for Mr. Dulberg.
- 23 Q. Thank you, sir.
- 24 A. And then this is the page on the opposite --
- 25 | with -- with the note and Mr. Dulberg, the entry. I'm

- 1 | sorry. I don't know how to post it on Zoom.
 - Q. Neither do I, sir. Neither do I.
- 3 A. All right.

- 4 Q. So -- but -- okay. I saw it. Thank you.
- 5 MR. TALARICO: Mr. Flynn, did you have an
- 6 | opportunity to see what was being displayed?
- 7 MR. FLYNN: I did. Thank you.
- 8 MR. TALARICO: Okay.
- 9 | BY MR. TALARICO:
- 10 Q. Well, you already showed me the answer to my next
- 11 | question, so I'll pass by. I was going to ask you
- 12 | what's under the sticky, but you already showed me
- 13 | what's under the sticky, correct?
- 14 A. Nothing.
- 15 Q. Nothing. That's right. Thank you. Let's move
- 16 on to Exhibit 1. By the way, if it turns out that --
- 17 | that you have a recollection of a later contact between
- 18 | you and Mr. Dulberg, I'd like you to keep -- give that
- 19 document, so I can subpoen it, if necessary. If it's
- 20 | something like that that evolves during this discussion
- 21 or something thereafter, I think that would be the
- 22 appropriate thing to do for a lawyer.
- I want to draw your attention to Exhibit 1.
- 24 | There is a date on that that says March 4, 2015. You
- 25 | believe -- you testified you believe that's the day that

- 1 | you drafted this letter? Did you actually -- I'm sorry.
- 2 Let me -- what I'm trying to get to is, did you type the
- 3 letter up? Or did you give it to -- maybe just do some
- 4 | type of dictation and have someone type it up for you?
- 5 A. I do have a secretary that types letters for me.
- 6 | I either dictated it or took the form, disengagement
- 7 | letter, and made hand changes to it, which the secretary
- 8 | would have changed, and then I signed it. But March 4th
- 9 | would have been the date that it went out in the mail.
- 10 Q. Okay. Thank you. And you testified that you
- 11 | sent it by regular mail to Mr. Dulberg?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So if it was regular mail, which we can
- 14 | eliminate who signed for it -- and it was -- again, I
- 15 | just want to make sure I have the facts right, because I
- 16 | believe you testified that it never was returned to you.
- 17 | So your assumption was that it was properly delivered?
- 18 A. I can only assume that.
- 19 O. Right. I understand that. Sure. But I quess
- 20 | the one question you can answer is it never was returned
- 21 | to you?
- 22 A. Which it was not; that's correct.
- 23 Q. Thank you. On Exhibit 1, sir, the accident
- 24 referred to, it does not correspond with your daily
- 25 | reminder and with your recollection of the date he told

1 you the accident took place. And you have no explanation as to what January 24th, 2013, had to do 2 with Paul Dulberg? 3 Oh, I don't think I was asked about that -- that date but --5 Ο. I get to now ask you. Α. Yeah. It says -- Exhibit 1 says, your accident of 8 Ο. 9 January 24, 2013. Is that correct? Is that what it 10 says? 11 Α. That's what my letter says, yes. 12 And isn't it correct, sir, that Exhibit 1, your Ο. 13 daily reminder, it has the date of accident -- well, refer -- refers to 6-28 of 2011? 14 15 Correct. So in my opinion, what happened was --16 this is a form letter. The disengagement or declination 17 letter is a form letter to which I use over and over. 18 And apparently, I made a mistake by indicating -- well, 19 I don't know what the accident date is. You gentlemen know when it was. So if the date's wrong, it's wrong, 20 21 because it wasn't change on the form letter. 22 Isn't it true that the date of accident reported 0. 23 to you by Dulberg on your daily reminder, 6 -- June

To the best of my recollection, correct.

28th, 2011, that's what he told you?

2.4

25

Α.

- And you testified that you believe the December 1 Ο. 31st, 2014, date, as far as consulting, is a typo, and 2 you have no entry in your 2014 daily reminder for 3 December 13th -- 31st, I'm sorry -- New Year's Eve, 4 which by the way, I checked it, the late bar association was even closed on that day, that you did not have a meeting with him on that day, on New Year's Eve? Correct. 8 Α. In your letter, sir, which is Exhibit 1, I 9 Ο. 10 draw your attention to that. I believe the first
 - draw your attention to that. I believe the first sentence you speak to -- you speak to Mr. Dulberg capsulizing what his conversation was with you -- was about -- was about, it -- and that stated -- it states, your personal injury case. Is that correct?
 - A. Yes.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. But in your conversation with Mr. Flynn responding to his questions, you were talking about a statute of limitations. What statute of limitations were you talking about?
 - A. My understanding of the statute of limitations is for personal injury, it's two years in the State of Illinois. I -- I don't even remember where this happened, if it was in McHenry or -- then it would have been Illinois, and a two-year statute would have applied.

- Q. Okay. So what I'm getting at is Mr. Dulberg
 talked to you about a personal injury matter; is that
 correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And the statute you're referring to is a personal injury statute in the State of Illinois, correct?
 - A. Yes.

6

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- 8 Q. Okay.
- 9 A. There are exceptions, of course, to the general 10 rule, the discovery rule and so forth.
- Q. Your letter of Exhibit 1, the letter of March

 4th, it says in the second to last sentence, I believe

 you should not have settled with the property owners for

 \$5,000; is that correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. Were you analyzing Mr. Dulberg's case based on the financial aspects of a personal injury case. Is that why you declined it?
 - A. I was concerned with two things. One, proving liability, that's No. 1; and No. 2 was when he told me that he accepted \$5,000 from the property owners, that's a very common med pay amount, and, as you know, med pay is paid out without regard to fault as long as the injury occurred on the property. And when he told me that, I was concerned that the property owners would

file a motion to dismiss if that \$5,000 was construed as a settlement.

As you know, Counsel, sometimes release language is included in a med paycheck -- or it's included in the release that's sent with the med paycheck.

And so I was just very concerned about it. And I just -- you know what, most disengagement letters are very generic. This is very unusual for me to comment on any specifics of the case. But I -- I was very sympathetic to this injury for reasons stated. And the gentleman was referred by a guy who did great work for me. And so I was trying to be as helpful as I possibly could by saying that.

- Q. Okay. That brings us back to Exhibit 2. With all due respect, sir, the name David -- let me get back to Exhibit 2. On the sticky note you had -- you testified earlier today that you believe that it was someone who referred Mr. Dulberg to you. Do you recall the name of the defendant in the case that Mr. Dulberg brought to you and asked you to take over? Do you recall the name of the defendant?
- 22 A. No.

- Q. Would you be surprised to know that his name was 24 David?
 - A. That -- that could be the reason I wrote down

- 1 David. You could be absolutely correct on that.
- 2 | Q. Okay.

8

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- A. Versus the referral source. I was -- I was quessing, basically.
 - Q. Okay. So at that time, you did not have any personal dealings, knowledge, or whatever with a David Gagoan, who is a defendant in this matter?
 - A. I have no idea who that is.
- 9 Q. Okay. Thank you. The last sentence, there is -10 it's kind of an open-ended statement by you saying,
 11 There are no -- there are other reasons for my decision.
- Do you recall what those other reasons, the unstated ones, were?
 - A. Yes. As I mentioned before, that would have been the liability concern. How do you prove liability? It just wasn't clear to me that the property owners were --were negligent. There -- there would be a potential assumption of risk anytime you use dangerous equipment, so on, and so forth.
 - Q. Exhibit 1, your letter of March 4th, the last paragraph, the last sentence you state, We recommend that you attempt to settle the case at the upcoming pretrial conference with your current attorney; is that correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. Could you -- could you enlighten us
 what -- what did you know about the pretrial conference,
 and then what did you know about his current attorney?
- A. So the only way that I would have known that is by Mr. Dulberg telling me he had an upcoming pretrial conference. And so I do remember him telling me a pretrial conference was scheduled. But for whatever reason, he did not have confidence or faith in the job his current attorney was doing. But I -- I was encouraging him to get the case settled because there was questionable liability in my opinion.
- Q. And at the time you wrote this letter, did you know what the pretrial offer from Mr. Gagoan was?
- 14 A. No.

17

- Q. May I ask -- I will ask. You said you signed this. This is your handwriting. You signed it. Who is
- 18 A. The secretary.

C. L, or who was C. L.?

- 19 Q. What was her name? Is she still employed?
- A. No. And I'm trying to think of -- what -- what
 the former secretary would have been back then. Sorry.
- 22 | My memory is fading.
- Q. It's a lot to ask of anyone to go back.
- 24 A. I don't -- I don't remember.
- 25 Q. Okay.

- A. But that -- that would be the secretary's initials.
- Q. Okay. You testified today that you, personally
- 4 -- no. Wait. I'll ask, did you testify today that you,
- 5 | personally, mailed this letter to Mr. Dulberg?
- A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. Calling your attention to Exhibit 1, you
- 8 | have Mr. Dulberg's address listed as 3416 West Elm
- 9 | Street in McHenry, Illinois 60050; is that correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Do you know who lives at -- who -- who owns the
- 12 | property at 3416 West Elm Street in McHenry, Illinois
- 13 | 60050?
- 14 A. I have no idea.
- 15 Q. Would it surprise you if this was the address of
- 16 | the law firm that was currently representing Mr. Dulberg
- 17 | in the matter that he brought to you?
- 18 A. It would surprise me.
- 19 | O. Would it surprised you if this is the address of
- 20 | Tom Popovich's law firm at the time?
- 21 A. It would, yeah.
- 22 Q. So according to your testimony, you sent this
- 23 | letter to Mr. Popovich and not to Mr. Dulberg?
- 24 A. I sent the letter to the address the potential
- 25 | client provided me. I don't make up addresses. So he

1	provided me the address. I had no correspondence or
2	anything from his attorney. I had no idea who his
3	attorney was.
4	Q. Earlier to Mr. Flynn's questioning, you
5	indicated, although you didn't give any guarantees, but
6	you have faith in the U.S. postal department delivering
7	letters to the addresses they're addressed to, yes?
8	A. Sure. Yes.
9	Q. Okay. And this letter did not get returned to
10	you?
11	A. Correct.
12	MR. TALARICO: I have no further questions.
13	MR. FLYNN: I have a few follow-up
14	questions, Mr. Ferris.
15	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. FLYNN:
17	Q. Were you aware that Dulberg was being represented
18	by Tom Popovich or his law office at the time that he
19	saw you?
20	A. I have no recollection of who his lawyer was. I
21	don't even know that he mentioned who his lawyer was.
22	Q. Did he have any complaints about his current
23	lawyer at the time he met with you on February 26, 2015?
24	A. Well, just by the very fact he is meeting with me
25	indicates there is an issue. There would be no reason

- for -- it's not like Mr. Dulberg was a friend and I was
 giving him a second opinion. I -- I've only met him the
 one time. And I still think he might have been referred
 by my hardscaper. But he obviously had an issue with
 his lawyer, or he wouldn't be in my office.
 - Q. Well, did he voice any complaints about that lawyer?
 - A. I don't remember specifically. But he clearly was shopping for another attorney.
 - Q. Okay. Would it be fair to say that you were critical of the fact that he had settled against -- settled with the property owner defendants for \$5,000?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. As you sit here, you don't know if that settlement was for med pay or if it was just a blanket settlement release?
- A. He -- he told me he settled with the property owners for 5,000. Again, I -- I -- I didn't -- the only way I would have known that is from Mr. Dulberg. So that concerned me, the fact that he -- a client who is coming with a potential personal injury claim saying he already settled with -- maybe it was one defendant and there were other potential codefendants.

But the fact that there is a settlement would

have -- if a client uses that word, obviously, any

1 plaintiff's attorney would be greatly concerned with 2 that. If you settle, there's no case. It's over. You settled. 3 4 Okay. You didn't know what the status of any ongoing case against remaining defendants was at that time other than that there was a pretrial upcoming, correct? Right, exactly. 8 Α. 9 MR. FLYNN: No further questions. 10 No questions. MR. TALARICO: 11 MR. FLYNN: Signature? 12 THE WITNESS: Waive. 13 MR. TALARICO: Waive. 14 MR. FLYNN: Witness has waived signature. 15 Thank you for your time, Mr. Ferris. 16 MR. TALARICO: I sorry. Wait, Mr. Flynn. Ι 17 didn't mean to say -- that was me saying waived, not Mr. Ferris. I don't want -- I don't want a mistake. 18 19 Mr. Ferris, the question is signature to you. I mumbled 20 waive, but that was me. Okay? Excuse me. Excuse me. 21 THE WITNESS: It's a knee-jerk reaction. 22 get it. 23 MR. FLYNN: I thought I heard Mr. Ferris 2.4 also say that he was waiving signature. 25 THE WITNESS: I did say -- I did say waive.

1	MR. TALARICO: That's why I just want to
2	clarify I'm not waiving on your behalf. I'm sorry.
3	MR. FLYNN: Thank you. I'll take a copy,
4	ma'am. Mini-script with a word index.
5	MR. TALARICO: Same.
6	(Witness excused.)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	STATE OF ILLINOIS)
2) SS: COUNTY OF COOK)
3	
4	I, Renee D. Waishwell, a Certified Shorthand
5	Reporter, do hereby certify:
6	That prior to being examined, the witness in the
7	foregoing proceedings was by me duly sworn to testify to
8	the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth;
9	That said proceedings were taken remotely before
10	me at the time and places therein set forth and were
11	taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed
12	into typewriting under my direction and supervision;
13	I further certify that I am neither counsel for,
14	nor related to, any party to said proceedings, not in
15	anywise interested in the outcome thereof.
16	In witness whereof, I have hereunto subscribed my
17	name.
18	
19	
20	Rene D. Waishwell
21	
22	Renee D. Waishwell, C.S.R. License No. 084-004451
23	
24	
25	

\$	2	3	A
\$5,000	2	30	absolutely
14:6 26:14,	9:5,6,9	17:21	8:21 28:1
21 27:1	19:13 26:20		
	27:14,16	30-day 18:6	13:3,20
1	2011		
	20:1 24:14,	31	accepted
L	24	8:5,8,13	26:21
6:21,23 7:2,	2013	31st	accident
10 12:17	24:2,9	8:17,23 9:2	12:18 19:25
22:16,23	-	15:3 25:2,4	23:23 24:1,
23:23 24:8,	2014		8,13,19,22
12 25:9	8:5,8,13,16,	4	accompany
26:11,20	22 9:2 25:2,		20:7
28:20	3	4	accurate
103	2015	6:7 7:2,7,20	13:7
5:2	5:15 6:2,7	13:16 16:15	agre
12	7:2,7,20	17:22 22:24	10:11
11:25	9:10,11 10:20 11:4	4:00	
13th	13:16 16:15	9:21,24 10:2	acted
25:4	17:22 18:25	11:8	17:13
	19:13 21:6,9	4th	address
14 4:2	22:24	15:6,8 23:8	15:18 17:6
	2021	26:12 28:20	advisable
15	2021 4:2 18:20		15:10
6:1		5	afternoon
178	24		19:6,7
11:25	24:9	50	
1985	24th	4:25 5:1	agreed
4:22	24:2		11:1
	26	6	agreement
1986 16:23	11:4		4:14
	26th	6	ahead
1989	8:2 9:16	24:23	5:12
6:13	15:7 19:17	6-28	Alphonse
1:30		24:14	19:8
4:2	28th	6-28-11	
	20:1 24:24	10:4,17	amount
	2:00	,	26:22
	8:2 9:21,25		analyzing
	10:1		26:16

anytime	attorneys		change
28:18	20:18	C	15:5 24:21
apparently	authenticate	calendar	changed
24:18	6:7	6:11,13,17	18:15 23:8
appears	Avenue	8:10,16,22	charged
11:6 19:13	5:3	9:10 19:19	21:18
applicable		21:4,13	checked
4:17	В	call	25:5
		11:17 13:6	
applied	back	20:20,25	CHICAGO
25:25	5:15 6:1	21:1,5	4:1
appointment	27:14,15		choose
10:20 20:21	29:21,23	called 4:5 10:7	20:13
arbitration	bar	13:8 20:24	Civil
12:12	19:21 25:5		4:16
ARDC	hami'n a	capsulizing	civilian
21:19	barring 17:20	25:12	6:12
_		case	
argue	based	8:6 10:6,16	clarify
14:22	19:20 26:16	11:2,16	20:4
arm	basically	12:2,9,14	clarifying
12:20	28:4	13:3 16:11	19:11
aspects	bear	18:8 21:1,2	Class
_ 26:17	20:15	25:14 26:16,	16:1
association	bearing	17 27:9,19 28:22 29:10	clear
19:21 25:5	7:3	20.22 29.10	28:16
		cases	
assume	behalf	4:24 10:5	client
19:20 23:18	7:18	caused	5:23 6:14 9:25 10:7,
assumption	belief	5:14	14,18,20,24
23:17 28:18	11:14	cautious	11:16 12:21
attempt	bit	21:15	13:20 15:4,7
28:22	18:21	certified	17:13 20:12,
attention	brings	15:23 18:1	13 21:3,16
6:20 9:5	27:14		client's
12:17 13:25		chain	21:17
22:23 25:10	brought	10:4,6,7,8,	
attorney	14:20 27:20	10,12,13,15, 16 12:18	clients
4:20 11:1	bumped	19:24	8:25 9:14
20:18 28:23	5:24 12:23		16:24
29:3,9	business	chance	closed
_ , -	16:25	12:20	25:6

Codo		21.10	4
Code	contact	21:19	day
4:16	16:9 21:10,	CROSS-	18:12 20:5,
comment	11 22:17	EXAMINATION	8,20,24
27:8	contacted	19:4	22:25 25:6,7
common	8:13 16:6	current	Dayminder
26:22	19:23	5:7 28:23	19:13
	contained	29:3,9	days
communicate	16:7	27.5,7	15:8 16:15
16:3		cut	17:22 18:12,
communicated	conversation	21:16	14,15,21
17:12	25:12,16	cutting	
	сору	14:15	deadline
comp	6:15		18:5
12:1			dealings
complete	correct		28:6
12:4	5:4,17 6:17	daily	Dogombor
concern	7:21 9:12 11:4 13:3,4,	19:21,22	December
28:15		21:6,10	8:5,8,13,17, 23 9:2 15:3
	18,19,24	23:24 24:13,	25:1,4
concerned	14:4 15:15, 16,23 16:7	23 25:3	23.1,4
14:19 17:12	17:8 19:14	25 25.5	decided
26:19,25	20:1 22:13	dangerous	13:2
27:6	23:22 24:9,	28:18	decision
conference	12,15,25	dash	14:10 28:11
28:23 29:2,	25:8,14	10:3,4	
6,7	26:3,6,14	date	declination
confidence	28:1,24	8:8,10,19	13:5,6,17 15:10,11
29:8	·	9:10 10:17,	16:10,11
	correspond	19 15:3,4,5,	24:16
confidential	23:24	14 16:7 18:4	
9:15	counsel	19:25 22:24	declined
confidentialit	16:25 27:3	23:9,25	26:18
У	County	24:5,13,19,	declining
21:16	4:18 11:24	22 25:2	18:8
connected	12:11,14		defendant
20:3		date's 24:20	27:19,21
	Court	Z4·ZU	28:7
construed	4:16	dated	
27:1	cover	6:7 7:20	defense
consulted	9:9	David	5:1
8:5	criminal	11:20,23	delivered
consulting	5:1	27:15,24	23:17
25:2		28:1,6	delivering
	criminally		17:4
			1/•4

delivery	dismiss	Dulberg's	equipment
18:10	14:20 27:1	11:15 26:16	28:18
deposition	displayed	duly	essence
4:13,15 6:4,	22:6	4:5	18:7
23 9:6 19:10	distinguish		Eve
descriptive	10:5	E	8:24 9:1
13:7	Division		25:4,7
design	12:11	earlier	event
11:18		27:17	13:16
	document	eliminate	
designation	22:19	23:14	evolves
12:11	documentation		22:20
details	6:8	emailed 6:21	EXAMINATION
14:23	documents		4:7
diary	6:3	employed	examined
8:4		29:19	4:6
	draft	encounter	
dictated	7:12,14,16	5:25 6:1	exceptions
23:6	drafted	encouraging	26:9
dictation	14:25 15:1	29:10	excerpts
23:4	23:1		19:16
direct	draw	end	excuse
4:7 6:20 9:4	22:23 25:10	18:4,9	21:12
12:17 13:25	drawer	engaged	Exhibit
disabled	8:17	13:14,15	6:20,23 7:2,
5:13		engagement	10 9:5,6,9
	drew	13:12	12:17 19:13
discharge	10:1	onlighton	22:16,23
11:1	due	enlighten 29:1	23:23 24:8,
discovery	12:1 27:15		12 25:9
4:13 19:10	Dulberg	enter	26:11 27:14,
26:10	5:19 7:17	21:5	16 28:20
discrepancy	8:1,12 9:16	entire	exhibits
8:9	10:3 11:13	21:6	6:20
discuss	13:20 14:13	entries	
11:2	15:18 16:3,	21:13,22	existing 12:8 14:12
	14 20:5,7		
discussion	21:11,13,22,	entry 8:3 9:2,15	expectation
22:20	25 22:18	10:2 11:8	18:10
disengagement	23:11 24:3,	21:4,14,25	explain
13:6,9,12,	23 25:11	25:3	8:9
14,17 23:6	26:1 27:18,		explanation
24:16 27:7	19 29:5		CAPIGIACION
			1

11:20 24:2	21:12 27:1	give	health
	filed	8:20 22:18	5:14
F	10:25 12:3,	23:3	helpful
	5,9	Good	27:12
F-E-R-R-I-S	finalized	13:16 19:6,7	hold
4:11	15:15	graphic	21:20
fact		11:18	
9:1	financial		honest
facts	26:17	great	13:13 18:3
23:15	firm	27:11	horrifying
	5:5,7,15 8:5	Greenleaf	10:9
fading	firm's	5:2	house
29:22	7:6	guess	11:23
Fair	777	13:7 23:19	
9:4 12:15	Flynn 4:8 7:1 9:8	au a a di s =	Ī
faith		guessing	
29:8	19:1 22:5,7 25:16	28:4	idea
	23.10	Gurnee	28:8
familiar	focus	5:3	
5:18 10:13	4:23	guy	identify
fast	form	27:11	6:19
18:12	15:2 23:6		Illinois
fault	24:16,17,21	н	4:1,16,21
26:23	front		5:3 10:22
£	7:2 11:23	hand	18:13 25:22
favor 14:15		23:7	24 26:6
14.13	G	hands	in-person
fearful	— G	16:20	6:1
14:21	Gagoan		incident
February	28:7 29:13	handwriting	10:18,19
8:14 9:11,16		9:13,18 11:8	·
11:4 15:7	general 26:9	29:16	included 27:4
19:17	26.9	handy	2/:4
felt	generally	8:18	incorrect
18:9	10:22	happened	17:6
	generic	10:18 24:15	independent
ferris 4:4,11,13	27:8	25:23	5:21 19:18
5:8,9,16	gentleman	happening	indicating
6:23 9:6	5:18 11:22	17:14	24:18
16:22	27:11		
		hardscaping	information
file	gentlemen	11:22	9:15
6:9 17:11	12:7 24:19		

initially		23:1,3,7	
6:13 8:12	K	24:11,16,17,	M
injured		21 25:9	_
10:8,15	kind	26:11 28:20	made
14:15	10:16 28:10	29:12	19:10 23:7
	knew	letterhead	24:18
injury	10:23	7:6	mail
4:24,25 8:6	l		15:22,23
12:19 13:1	knowledge	letters	16:1,19
19:24 25:14,	15:20 16:1	12:1 16:24	18:2,11,21
21 26:2,6,	17:9 28:6	17:4 18:17	23:9,11,13
17,24 27:10		23:5 27:7	
inquire	L	liability	mailed
16:10		26:20 28:15	15:17
	LA	29:11	mailing
interchangeabl	11:25 12:1,		18:4
e	11	licensed	mala
13:8	language	4:21	make 23:15
interested	11:11 27:3	limitations	23.15
10:14	11.11 27.3	10:21 12:5	makes
intimately	late	15:9 17:16	12:13 15:6
10:13	25:5	25:18,20	malpractice
T0.T2	law	Limited	13:11
introduced	4:23 5:5,7,	5:16	
6:24 9:7	16 12:11	7.10	March
invited	16:21	Linda	6:7 7:2,7,20
14:20		6:22	8:2,14,19
	lawsuit	listed	13:16 15:6,8
issue	14:12	15:18	16:15 17:22
8:15 15:9	lawyer		22:24 23:8
17:16 18:24	22:22	lived	26:11 28:20
issues	legal	11:23	marked
5:14 17:3	7:17 13:11	local	19:12,18
	1.11 13.11	4:17	_
	letter	Togaller	material
	6:6,7 7:3,5,	Locally	6:16
January	14,16,20,23	18:12	matter
24:2,9	8:4,7 13:5,	long	6:5 14:22
ŕ	6,7,9,17,23	8:11 16:21	19:24 26:2
job	14:25 15:3,	17:25 26:23	28:7
29:8	6,10,11,14,	longer	Mchenry
jotted	17,19,23,25	18:21	4:17 11:24
11:15 12:7	16:4,14		
	17:5,7,16	lot	12:10,14
June	18:8,11,20	10:11 16:24	25:23
20:1 24:23	10.011110		

meaning	mistakes	number	originally
6:12	17:20	10:20 11:11,	9:23
means	moment	14,15	owners
11:12	18:24	numbers	14:6,16,17,
		9:21	21 26:13,21,
med	moments	7.21	25 28:16
14:17 26:22	8:4		
27:4,5	month	0	P
meet	10:12 18:1	occasions	
8:14,25	motion	21:9	P.M.
11:1,3 20:5	14:20 27:1		4:2
21:3		occupation	
meeting	mouth	4:19 11:19	pages
7:16 8:19	20:17,19	occurred	6:15
9:19,20,23	move	26:24	paid
12:21 15:14	22:15	OCTOBER	26:23
20:9 25:7	moved	4:2	paper
memorable	9:25		7:10
10:9 12:19		offer	
	mystery 11:25	29:13	paragraph
memory	11.25	office	14:1,3 16:7 28:21
20:10 29:22		5:2 8:13	20.21
mentioned	N	17:21	part
28:14		Offices	12:19
met	Nationally 18:14	5:16	parties
7:23 8:1	18.14	oftentimes	4:14 14:12
m:1:4	nature	10:5	partner
military 6:12	10:6		5:11
0.12	negligent	open-ended	3.11
minder	28:17	28:10	pass
21:7,10	nowananara	opinion	22:11
mindful	newspapers 18:23	15:3 24:15	past
17:15		29:11	10:20
minimum	nightmare	opportunity	Paul
10:22	10:15	22:6	5:19,22 9:16
	note		10:3 11:10,
minute	11:7 20:25	opposing 16:25	15,19,25
8:20	21:25 27:16		24:3
minutes	noted	opposite	nav
6:1	12:18 19:23	21:24	pay 14:17 26:22
mistake		order	
24:18	notice	16:6	paycheck
	4:14		27:4,5
	I	I	I

pending	post	provided	recall
12:14	17:20 22:1	6:6,15 21:14	12:19 14:23
percent	postal	proving	17:14 18:6
4:25 5:1	15:22 16:17	26:19	27:18,21
4.25 5.1	17:3,24	20.19	28:12
period	18:18	public	received
17:1 18:6	10.10	21:18	16:14 17:20,
personal	potential	pull	21
4:24,25 6:16	5:23 7:17	8:17	21
8:6 19:24	9:25 15:7,9		recently
25:14,21	17:13 18:8	purged	18:16
26:2,5,17	21:2 28:17	6:9	recipient
28:6	practice	pursuant	18:22
	4:23	4:14,15	
phone			recognize
11:10,14	practicing	put	5:24 12:24
photocopied	16:21	10:7,16,19	recollection
11:7	preparation	11:23 16:19	5:22 6:5
	6:4	17:11 20:17	11:21 12:22
photocopies		21:4,17	14:14 16:5
6:16	pretrial		20:9,23
photocopy	28:23 29:2,	Q	22:17 23:25
9:9	5,7,13		24:25
PI	principal	question	
10:4	5:5	10:24 16:16	recommend
10.4	Printer	22:11 23:20	28:21
piece	11:18	questionable	record
7:9	11.10	29:11	4:9,12 14:2
place	prior		21:18
20:1 24:1	20:16	questions	refer
	Procedure	19:2 25:17	24:14
plaintiff	4:17		24.14
4:25 19:9		R	references
plaintiffs	processing		8:7
4:24	17:23	read	referral
	properly	14:1	11:21 28:3
point	23:17		
13:16		reason 10:9 15:5	referred
political	property	16:13 17:7	11:25 23:24
18:24	11:22 14:6,		27:11,18
pond	16,17,21	27:25 29:8	referring
11:23	26:13,21,24,	reasons	26:5
	25 28:16	14:9,10	
possibly	prove	16:10 27:10	refers
27:12	28:15	28:11,12	24:14

reflect	respect	send	slowing
4:12	27:15	13:5 18:11,	18:19
refresh	responding	20	sooner
6:4	25:17	sense	15:12
regard	retain	12:13 15:6	sounds
21:16 26:23	21:6	sentence	13:7 21:1
regular	retire	14:1,3,7	source
15:22 23:11,	5:14	25:11 26:12	11:21 28:3
13		28:9,21	
relating	returned 17:5,7	sentences	South 5:2
15:4	23:16,20	14:8	
		service	speak
relative 9:16	reviewed 6:3	16:17 17:4,	17:23 25:11
		24	speaking
release	risk	set	10:22
14:23 27:3,5	28:18	20:20	specifics
remember	rule	settle	27:9
10:10 13:1	18:4 26:10	28:22	speculate
20:12,14 25:22 29:6,	rules	settled	12:16
23.22 29.6,	4:15,17	14:5,16,22	spell
	run	26:13 29:10	4:10
remind 10:16	10:24	settlement	started
		27:2	6:11
reminder	S		state
19:21,22 23:25 24:13,		show 11:17	4:9,21 18:13
23 25:3	Saul		25:21 26:6
	4:4,11,13	showed	28:21
remotely 4:1 6:24 9:7	saws	22:10,12	stated
	10:13	signature	25:13 27:10
reported	scheduled	7:3,9	statement
4:1 24:22	9:24 29:7	signed	28:10
represent	screen	14:23 23:8,	
19:8	21:1	14 29:15,16	statements 19:9
representation	search	signifies	
7:18	21:12	9:23	states
representing	secretary	sir	16:16 25:13
4:24	6:21 23:5,7	4:9,19 19:6	statewide
reschedule	29:18,21	21:23 22:2	18:14
11:16	seminars	23:23 24:12	statute
	13:11	25:9 27:15	10:21,23

12:5 15:9	18:19	Thursday	
17:15 25:18,		4:2 9:16	U
20,24 26:5,6		11:4 19:17,	
sticky		18,19	U.S.
11:6 22:12,	takes	time	15:22 16:1
13 27:16	18:21	7:7 12:4	17:3 18:11,
	Talarico	17:1,23	20
stole	19:5,8 22:5,	18:7,10 28:5	ultimately
15:11	8,9	29:12	13:2
stood	0,9	4 d m = 3 = -	unclear
7:6	talk	timely 18:9	20:4
stories	8:3	18.9	20.4
18:18	talked	times	understand
	26:2	10:12	23:19
street		today	understanding
5:24 12:23	talking	6:3,4 27:17	14:11 25:20
submitted	25:17,19		
19:12	telephone	told	United
au brooms	11:15 20:25	12:3 13:22	16:16
subpoena 22:19	telephonic	19:25 23:25	unstated
22.19	5:25	24:24 26:20,	28:12
sued		24	unusual
14:16	telling	top	27:8
suggests	29:5,6	15:18	
13:14	terms	trees	upcoming
	15:6	14:15	28:22 29:5
suit	testified		
10:25 12:4 14:20	4:6 19:14,25	true	v
14:20	20:16 22:25	24:22	
Suite	23:10,16	turns	vague
5:3	25:10,10	22:16	11:20 12:22
summarize		two-and-a-half	20:9
10:6	testify	10:11	verify
	12:16	TO.TT	11:13
Supreme	thing	two-year	
4:16	22:22	25:24	Versus
surprised		type	28:3
27:23	things 26:19	23:2,4	vexing
sworn	20.13		6:12
4:3,6	Thompson	types	viable
·	5:8,9,16	23:5	21:2
sympathetic	thought	typo	21.2
27:10	17:25	9:3 25:2	
system			
	į.	i .	i .

W	Y
Walters	Year's
6:22	8:24 9:1
wanted	25:4,7
11:1 17:16	years 6:10 10:22
waste 12:4	25:21
WC	Z
12:2	-
week	Zoom
18:8	22:1
weekend 15:13	Zweig 5:10,13,16
wooded	5.10,13,10
10:11	
word	
13:9,15	
15:11	
words	
20:17,19	
work	
27:11	
workmen's 12:1	
worried 21:19	
worst	
10:15	
worth	
12:16	
write	
7:23 21:2	
wrong	
24:20	
wrote	
21:10 27:25 29:12	