Date: 7/14/2022 2:29 PM Katherine M. Keefe Clerk of the Circuit Court

```
1
      STATE OF ILLINOIS
 2
 3
      COUNTY OF McHENRY
 4
              IN THE TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
                     McHENRY COUNTY, ILLINOIS
 5
 6
      PAUL DULBERG.
 7
               Plaintiff.
 8
                                            No. 17 LA 377
          VS.
      THE LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS J.
 9
10
      POPOVICH, P.C., and HANS MAST,
11
                Defendants.
12
                ELECTRONICALLY RECORDED REPORT OF
13
      PROCEEDINGS had in the above-entitled cause before
      the Honorable THOMAS A. MEYER, Judge of said Court
14
      of McHenry County, Illinois, on the 11th day of
15
16
      July, 2022, at the McHenry County Government Center,
17
      Woodstock, Illinois.
18
          APPEARANCES:
19
                LAW OFFICE OF ALPHONSE A. TALARICO, by
20
               MR. ALPHONSE A. TALARICO, (Via Zoom)
21
                     On behalf of the Plaintiff,
22
               KARBAL COHEN ECONOMOU SILK DUNNE, LLC, by
23
               MR. GEORGE K. FLYNN, (Via Zoom)
                     On behalf of the Defendant.
24
```

1	THE COURT: Any other agreed uncontested or both
2	sides?
3	MR. FLYNN: Judge, I think both sides will be on
4	the Dulberg matter.
5	THE COURT: Dulberg. Okay. Just looking for
6	it.
7	MR. FLYNN: 17 LA 377.
8	THE COURT: No. 24. You got it. All right.
9	Plaintiff's counsel, if you can identify
10	yourself?
11	MR. TALARICO: Good morning, your Honor. My
12	name is Alphonse Talarico for the plaintiff. And
13	before we go any further, I would like to apologize
14	for missing court last time.
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	MR. TALARICO: It was a medical and non-medical
17	matter which I I'm under certain care.
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	MR. TALARICO: So I apologize.
20	THE COURT: Defense counsel, if you could
21	identify yourself?
22	MR. FLYNN: George Flynn for defendants.
23	THE COURT: All right. I was going to close
24	(f)(1). What's going on, plaintiff?

1	MR. TALARICO: Judge, I don't think closing
2	(f)(1)s is going to be appropriate at this moment.
3	And some things have evolved. Some things have
4	happened. I don't know how this electronic Zoom
5	system works, but is there a way of speaking in
6	camera?
7	THE COURT: Yeah. I mean, I will pass you guys,
8	and I will come back to you shortly. So let me get
9	rid of all the many other people on my call right
10	now, and then I'll deal with you at the end. And
11	it's 8:44.
12	(Whereupon the afore-captioned
13	cause was recalled.)
14	THE COURT: Mr. Flynn, on Dulberg, do you have
15	any objection to Mr. Talarico having a confidential
16	discussion with me regarding whatever issue this is?
17	MR. FLYNN: Ex parte?
18	THE COURT: Yeah.
19	MR. FLYNN: We have an objection.
20	THE COURT: All right. Then, are you able to do
21	a conference call?
22	MR. FLYNN: A conference call?
23	THE COURT: Yeah.
24	MR. FLYNN: Sure.

1	THE COURT: All right. Who is going to initiate
2	the call?
3	MR. TALARICO: Judge, are you with your Honor
4	and with Mr. Flynn, is that the
5	THE COURT: Yeah. He's objecting. I can't just
6	go off the record and have a conversation with you
7	unless I formally set it as a pretrial. And I don't
8	have this as a pretrial. And I'm reluctant to have
9	a confidential discussion over objection at this
10	point.
11	So what would you like to do? I can set it
12	over for pretrial, but I don't know what you want to
13	do.
14	MR. TALARICO: My entire goal is to limit the
15	amount of ears to what I have to say, and
16	THE COURT: Well, why don't you can you talk
17	to Mr. Flynn while I'm wrapping up these other
18	things?
19	MR. TALARICO: Yeah, I guess we could.
20	THE COURT: Okay. Remember to mute your
21	computer. Give him a call, and you guys can discuss
22	what the issue is.
23	MR. FLYNN: Judge, can I just interject one
24	thing? I thought that Mr. Talarico initially asked

1	for an in camera hearing. It wasn't necessarily ex
2	parte. I thought he just didn't want to necessarily
3	do it in front of the other attorneys in the room.
4	Is that correct?
5	MR. TALARICO: That's correct. I'm here
6	THE COURT: Okay. Then I'm going to I'm
7	going to make you guys wait. I'm going to leave the
8	courtroom for five minutes and keep everybody else
9	on the line, and we will have it answered for you
10	soon.
11	MR. FLYNN: Thank you, your Honor.
12	MR. TALARICO: Thank you.
13	(Whereupon the afore-captioned
14	cause was recalled.)
15	THE COURT: Back on the record on the Dulberg
16	matter.
17	Plaintiff's counsel, if you can identify
18	yourself?
19	MR. TALARICO: Judge, my name is Alphonse
20	Talarico for Mr. Dulberg.
21	MR. FLYNN: George Flynn for the defendants.
22	THE COURT: Okay. And you indicated in camera
23	which I interrupted to mean confidential and not on
24	the record. Everything we are saying, even if the

1 other attorneys are out, is going to be on the 2 record because the recording system is going to pick 3 it up. 4 That being said, Mr. Talarico, what do you 5 want to do? 6 Um. MR. TALARICO: 7 MR. FLYNN: Judge, can I just address that because I'm -- I do have an objection to this 8 9 discussion not being on the record. 10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 MR. FLYNN: There's never been a motion filed. 12 I'm not even sure what this is about. There have 13 been some notices relative to court reporting in the 14 case and in the underlying case. Some subpoenas 15 issued to court reporters and for the circuit clerk here, but I really don't know what this is about. 16 17 And since no motion has ever been filed on this 18 issue, I would object to this hearing not being 19 recorded. 20 I'm not really -- I'm not sure THE COURT: No. 21 that I am conducting a hearing, but I -- but I'm now 22 curious. But you are right, I don't have anything pending in front of me. So what do you guys want to 23

24

do?

MR. TALARICO: Okay. Judge, I think that if you are simply okay with it being on the record, then, I'm okay with it too.

Your Honor, the sensitivity of the matter is such that I thought it would be better for fewer ears, but that's okay. I don't have a problem with that.

What I -- what this is all about, and I think Mr. Flynn has an indication at least of it is the Exhibit 12 to Mr. Hans Mast's deposition. If you remember this case, this Exhibit 12 issue has been ongoing. I think the last time we spoke of it -- we spoke to it, you said -- you told us that when -- either produce it or saying you don't have it.

What I have gotten in return is what I believe, I hate to use this word, but a forgery, a false document, a document that is not part of the record was created recently.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. TALARICO: Now, how do I know this? I -- I did a records only subpoena to the court reporter. She answered, which her answers were sent to Mr. Flynn. Thereafter, she sent me a flash drive

1	with because because she said all her notes
2	were not were too large to send by the proper
3	response. The flash drive was also sent to
4	Mr. Flynn.
5	What she said what is there is that
6	there was no Exhibit 12. She never produced
7	Exhibit 12. The issue the mini and the total
8	original had no Exhibit 12, but somehow I have
9	received a copy of Exhibit 12.
10	I also sent Exhibit 12 to a document
11	examination, a professional. I got his report this
12	morning I'm sorry, last night at 11:15 p.m., and
13	the indication what was sent is does not match
14	the other sections, the other labels the other 14
15	exhibits. Someone, it appears, has created
16	something new and sent it to me saying this is
17	Exhibit 12. So I I think that I should be
18	THE COURT: What's Exhibit 12? What is that?
19	What is it?
20	MR. TALARICO: I'm sorry. What is Exhibit 12?
21	THE COURT: What is Exhibit 12? What is the
22	document?
23	MR. TALARICO: It is a list of cases that
24	Mr. Hans Mast had talked to Mr. Dulberg about in

1 the -- in the period of time of convincing him to 2 settle the case for \$5,000 --3 THE COURT: Identified a number of -- he 4 allegedly identified a number of similar cases? MR. TALARICO: Judge, even that is an issue 5 6 because there was some problem on the Internet 7 between Mr. Flynn's office, Ms. Clinton's office and 8 the recordings for the Zoom deposition. My whole thing is, Judge, I have a 50-page 9 10 order -- 50-page report saying -- well, just, for 11 example, you can take judicial notice, the label 12 is -- the label that was sent to me in April of this 13 year misspelled the defendant's name. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Well, that's a different That's on the merits of your anticipated 15 16 motion with -- whatever it may be with respect to 17 what you've told me is Exhibit 12. Exhibit 12 is an 18 attachment to a deposition as opposed to something 19 that took place in court, is that true? 20 MR. TALARICO: That is correct. 21 THE COURT: All right. 22 MR. TALARICO: There was a deposition. 23 THE COURT: And what -- let's assume --24 Mr. Flynn, no offense. But let's assume 100 percent of what you're saying is true that it's a forged document, how does that impact what we're doing right now?

MR. TALARICO: How it impacts us is because I

would like to have the opportunity to present a motion to exclude Mr. Hans Mast's deposition. This is just one of the issues that -- that are centered on that deposition. The Internet -- the Internet not working properly during it, the Exhibit 12 that was never produced by the reporter, the cases that came in this April filing does not include one of the major cases that was part of that, it was called (indiscernible) versus Spangler, and that's not in there.

So there are so many issues that are centered on that deposition that I would like some time to file a motion to exclude that and then (indiscernible) over.

THE COURT: Mr. Flynn, and please ignoring the implied accusations because that's not relevant to what I'm interested in, what is your original motion? Forgive my lack of memory.

MR. FLYNN: The original motion that we are here for today was just simply a motion to finally close

1 (f)(1) discovery. There was an oral motion only 2 because this has been an ongoing issue. If we could 3 just (indiscernible) the case. Counsel came into 4 the case I think a year and three quarters ago and hasn't noticed up a certain deposition. 5 The case 6 just needs to move along. I -- I haven't really had 7 a need for a summary judgment motion --8 THE COURT: That's what I was thinking. Okay. I was thinking you had filed your summary judgment. 9 10 MR. FLYNN: We had not. Waiting for fact 11 discovery to be closed. I was present for Hans 12 Mast's deposition back in I think it was the spring 13 of 2020 at the onset of the pandemic. It was done 14 by Zoom. I was in his -- Hans Mast's office, at the 15 time our office, and plaintiff was represented by 16 Julia Williams from the Clinton Law Firm. It was 17 her deposition, her exhibits, and I haven't read any (indiscernible) because there has been no motion. I 18 19 didn't realize there was this issue, but I can't see 20 how any of the exhibits from that deposition being 21 forged. 22 From what I recall of Exhibit 12, I believe 23

it was some photocopies of case law from an -- it looked like it had been copied from a -- one of the

24

1 old law books. I think --2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. FLYNN: -- the Court, if I remember 4 correctly. And asked Mast about those documents at 5 his deposition, not in great detail, by the way, but 6 I believe he was asked about his depositions -- I'm 7 sorry, those cases. 8 So I don't understand what the issue is. I 9 don't see how having a hearing on the summary 10 judgment of this case moving along. There have been 11 a number of conspiracy theories put forth that have 12 never -- never been filed in court. And I would 13 just request strenuously that the (f)(1) discovery 14 be closed, and we move along so that motions could 15 be addressed. 16 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Talarico, I don't have a 17 motion, and --18 MR. TALARICO: That is correct. What I'm asking 19 for right now, by the same token there is no --20 there is only an oral motion, while I wasn't here 21 last time because of my own illness, I was 22 hospitalized, et cetera. So the (f)(1)s, I believe, 23 should not be closed because if I -- if my motion is 24 granted and Mr. Mast's deposition is stricken,

that -- that may lead to other people I have to -- I 1 2 have to list as (f)(1)s, and it may also impact 3 Mr. Flynn's motion -- motion for summary judgment. 4 But this entire thing, the deposition 5 itself should be stricken. That's why I may not 6 have time to file a motion for. I have a court -- I 7 have a court reporter saying on her -- sending it in 8 responses to my records only subpoena, that she --9 every time they tried -- whoever tried to keep 10 sending her that exhibit, the first 22 pages were 11 blank. She's written it over and over and over 12 again in her response which I sent to Mr. Flynn. 13 How can they now come up with 25 or 27 14 pages to put in a full -- with a label that 15 misspelled the defendant's name and with a label 16 that is not --17 THE COURT: You are arguing the merits. You are 18 arguing the merits. 19 MR. TALARICO: May I please file that motion? 20 THE COURT: You can file your motion. But I 21 don't know that -- how that the anticipated motion 22 prevents me from otherwise closing discovery. You 23 can file your motion. You can ask to have it 24 reopened to address issues. But that's still going

1 to be your burden because you haven't otherwise 2 identified discovery you want to take other than 3 related to this deposition issue. And have you -- forgive me for fishing a 4 little bit, but have you talked to Ms. Clinton, I 5 6 guess that was the name of the attorney, Mr. Flynn? 7 MR. FLYNN: Ms. Williams. 8 THE COURT: Ms. William. I'm sorry. 9 Clinton Law Firm. Is that what you told me? All 10 right. Have you contacted them for whatever 11 Exhibit 12 is? 12 MR. TALARICO: No, Judge. If you remember when 13 we talked about the pink pages, the black -- the 14 blank pages, she would not respond to me back on 15 that issue. THE COURT: All right. I think I do recall 16 17 that. All right. And have you issued a subpoena 18 for Exhibit 12? Because I can't see how an 19 attorney-client privilege would attach to a document 20 that was used as an exhibit in the course of a 21 discovery deposition. MR. TALARICO: Judge, if -- maybe I'm 22 23 misunderstanding. There is no Exhibit 12. That --24 I can't subpoena what doesn't exist.

1	THE COURT: Well, we don't have a formal
2	response from her one way or another, do we?
3	MR. TALARICO: No, we don't. But we have a
4	forged Exhibit 12, and we have the reporter saying
5	there is no Exhibit 12.
6	THE COURT: She says she doesn't have it. She
7	didn't say there was no such thing.
8	MR. TALARICO: No, excuse me, Judge. In her
9	handwriting, the discussion about asking the Clinton
10	firm for Exhibit 12 over and over and over again and
11	getting no response.
12	THE COURT: That that doesn't look good, but
13	it doesn't resolve the issue because I'm imagining,
14	correct me if I'm wrong, that the record reflects a
15	discussion of Exhibit 12.
16	MR. FLYNN: And I believe that being the case,
17	Judge. I don't I can pull the transcript. I
18	have it here within a few feet of my desk. But I
19	recall Mr. Mast being questioned about Exhibit 12.
20	Now
21	THE COURT: I think that's obvious simply
22	because there was no discussion of it, we
23	wouldn't their wouldn't be if there was no
24	testimony regarding the existence of Exhibit 12, we

wouldn't be having this discussion.

And I think that in the end, I'm going to ask you to get a formal response out of that law firm or that attorney as to whether or not Exhibit 12 exists. And if they are going to claim attorney-client privilege, I don't see how. But I mean, I'll look at whatever their responses are. But if they have got it, they should produce it. Mr. Talarico?

MR. TALARICO: Judge, whatever way you want to go, I will do.

THE COURT: Okay. I have not -- and I'll show my hand a little bit, if everything you're saying is true with respect to the court reporter not having Exhibit 12, that's not going to be enough for me to strike the deposition and/or bar the use of Exhibit 12. It's going to create some issues, but given the fact that it was not an in-person deposition, her testimony does not -- her anticipated testimony doesn't establish for me that Exhibit 12 does not exist or that Exhibit 12, as produced in discovery in this case, is a forgery. I don't know.

MR. TALARICO: But Judge, if you -- may I speak?

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. TALARICO: If you recall, this all started when we -- with the deposition was about -- was going to be used, the deposition of Hans Mast. And in the deposition as it was produced, there was no Exhibit 12. It says Exhibits 1 to 11, 13 through 15. That's when I brought it to your Honor's attention. When it was produced, there was no Exhibit 12. As of April of this year, there is Exhibit 12.

THE COURT: But there is testimony regarding Exhibit 12.

MR. TALARICO: There is.

THE COURT: And if -- if we have -- if the attorney who presented Exhibit 12 isn't responsive, then -- then, I think we have to address the issue. But I need to know what she says. If she says I don't have it, it never existed, yeah, there is a problem. But if she produces an Exhibit 12, then we have to discuss that.

MR. FLYNN: Judge, just my recollection of the transmittal of this deposition once it was written up is there was -- it was done electronically. I could be wrong again. I didn't realize -- I wasn't

1	aware of what the issue was here. But my
2	recollection was that the court reporter sent us the
3	transcripts and sent the exhibits electronically.
4	So perhaps I'm wrong, you know. It was a couple
5	years ago, but that was my understanding.
6	THE COURT: Yeah, I want to know what the
7	attorney says. I mean, that's really the bottom
8	line.
9	MR. TALARICO: Judge, are you suggesting a
10	deposition of Ms. Williams to get that?
11	THE COURT: I don't know that that's necessary.
12	I mean, a subpoena if you issue a subpoena and
13	she produces one produces Exhibit 12, we will
14	talk about whether or not a deposition is necessary.
15	MR. TALARICO: Okay.
16	THE COURT: And or I mean, I can see
17	laying a foundation, but if she for it, or if we
18	want to take her deposition, yeah, I'd probably be
19	open to letting you do that. But I do agree with
20	Mr. Flynn, it's time to get moving on this.
21	And Mr. Flynn, without showing me your
22	hand, can I ask if Exhibit 12 is relevant to you're
23	anticipated motion? If you don't want to answer,
24	you don't have to.

I -- you know, I suppose it may be 1 MR. FLYNN: discussed. It's part of the overall advice that was 2 3 given relative to my client recommending a 4 settlement. It's not a major part of the motion. You know, we've got statute of limitations issues 5 6 which would be part of the summary judgment motion. 7 So I don't think had any bearing on that argument. 8 THE COURT: Is it possible that -- to split this motion? 9 MR. FLYNN: Well, I -- I've always anticipated, 10 11 you know, unlike my motion this morning on another 12 matter which is one issue, I would have four or five 13 issues on summary judgment in this case. If the Court would allow it, I could use the extra pages 14 15 anyhow, or I may need to to square it up, and I really won't know until I start writing it, to tell 16 17 you the truth. THE COURT: Okay. Well, my -- and forgive me if 18 19 I'm contradicting something, because I'm -- that 20 I've said or done in the past, but is, in effect, 21 the statute of limitations argument is 2-619 22 generally. And I would think that could be isolated 23 from anything involving -- and I would make 24 Mr. Mast's testimony -- we wouldn't have to touch

1 it, I don't think, but I don't know. I don't know what -- I don't know the issues. That's just my 2 3 general recollection. 4 And I'm thinking of if we are able to at least get rid of some of this without having to 5 6 reopen discovery, because I anticipate that 7 Mr. Talarico's issues could lead to more 8 depositions. And I'll let you guys proceed how you 9 want. I just want to get something done. 10 MR. FLYNN: T --11 THE COURT: Let me add to this, the Supreme 12 Court has made it clear that we have to move cases, 13 and if we don't cases --14 MR. FLYNN: I understand that. THE COURT: -- within the allotted time, we will 15 16 be punished. They are vague about what punishment 17 is. 18 MR. FLYNN: I understand. I think there are 19 multiple issues on -- I thank you for the 20 (indiscernible) page limit. I may have some 21 problems with that anyway. So I suppose if the Court would allow it, I could attempt to break up 22 23 the various issues. 24 THE COURT: I'll consider any motion you guys

1	want to file.
2	MR. FLYNN: Okay.
3	THE COURT: And but if it's impossible to
4	split it up, then we will waive. Okay.
5	MR. FLYNN: There are a lot of intertwined
6	issues for the Court's ruling. So I'll do my best
7	to make it easy on us all if I can.
8	THE COURT: You know, if they are all
9	intertwined, forget everything I said.
10	Mr. Talarico, do you want to weigh in?
11	MR. TALARICO: Just so I understand, Judge, you
12	are suggesting, and I will comply, a subpoena on
13	Julia Williams as to Exhibit 12, and well, if
14	I well, then, depending on well, I would still
15	like to do a motion to to strike or exclude the
16	deposition of
17	THE COURT: You can, but I think that attorney's
18	testimony or subpoena response is going to be
19	critical to my decision on that
20	MR. TALARICO: Then, I would
21	THE COURT: because court reporters make
22	mistakes as to attorneys as to judges, and the court
23	reporter's perspective isn't determinative of
24	whether or not an Exhibit 12 was identified and

1	relied upon by all the parties at the deposition and
2	she just doesn't have it. I so that that's
3	not going to result in my barring the dep or the
4	exhibit, just her testimony.
5	MR. FLYNN: Judge, may I just comment on that as
6	well?
7	THE COURT: Sure.
8	MR. FLYNN: I just wanted to point out and just
9	for the record that these issues for all on the
10	according to this side of the aisle, the deposition
11	was taken by the plaintiff. The exhibit was
12	introduced by the plaintiff. It is plaintiff's new
13	counsel now that is moving to strike that deposition
14	that was taken by the plaintiff.
15	The only thing I did in this case was pass
16	along what I thought was Exhibit 12 after it was
17	sent to me by the court reporter.
18	THE COURT: And you raise an interesting point,
19	but I'll address that at the appropriate time.
20	MR. TALARICO: Judge, can I make a comment?
21	THE COURT: Sure. Sure.
22	MR. TALARICO: I the court reporter gave me
23	no indication that she passed on Exhibit 12 to
24	Mr. Flynn. So that's that's a question now.

1	That
2	THE COURT: Well, it was a Zoom dep, wasn't it?
3	MR. FLYNN: It was.
4	MR. TALARICO: It was a Zoom dep.
5	THE COURT: And was the court reporter even
6	present?
7	MR. TALARICO: No.
8	THE COURT: You see, that's that's an issue
9	for me because you've got everybody in separate
10	locations.
11	MR. TALARICO: All I'm saying, Judge, is the
12	documents I sent to Mr. Flynn by the court reporter,
13	there were there were ongoing issues with
14	Exhibit 12 saying I don't have it, I don't have it,
15	somebody give it to me, what is this. There is for
16	the we have got two things. You've got the
17	reporter actually focusing on 12 and saying I don't
18	have it, the reporter
19	THE COURT: I believe it.
20	MR. TALARICO: not producing an Exhibit 12,
21	and then the document expert saying it's a forgery.
22	THE COURT: I
23	MR. FLYNN: I may be able to shed a little bit
24	of more light on this as well. This is just for

purposes of moving forward.

So my recollection was Ms. Williams forwarded the proposed exhibits of the deposition prior to the deposition. My recollection was that some of them were fairly extensive, and I didn't print out every single one. I recall getting hard copies of some of the exhibits to Mr. Mast's office.

We had some technical issues with screen sharing the exhibits. I recall showing him one or two hard copies of exhibits. But again, my recollection of the exhibits were sent in the proposed form prior to the deposition. That may, at least, help us, not that I am getting -- chasing down this issue because I'd rather not spend time on it. But just for everyone's edification, I think that was the case.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Talarico, anything to add?

MR. TALARICO: No, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. So please issue a subpoena. I guess I had misunderstood. I thought that the deposition was from a companion case, not this case. So simply by names of the attorneys, I had overlooked that. And we will have to address

1 that when we get to it. 2 But if you want to file a motion, file a 3 But I think issuing a subpoena or even 4 contacting her and getting an affidavit is fine. I don't care. But I want to know what she says. And 5 6 I just don't know where we are going if you file a 7 motion based upon the court reporter saying I never 8 got them because that doesn't establish for me that 9 Exhibit 12 doesn't exist or that the one that you've 10 been produced is the wrong one then, and I don't 11 know how to resolve that. 12 MR. TALARICO: All right, Judge. I will gladly 13 take your advice and move forward with a -- with a 14 subpoena. 15 THE COURT: Okay. When did we want to come 16 back? 17 MR. FLYNN: Judge, is that -- I'm happy to prepare the order. Is fact discovery closed? 18 19 THE COURT: Yeah. 20 MR. FLYNN: Okay. 21 THE COURT: Closing fact discovery on my motion 22 today. But that does not prevent Mr. Talarico from 23 filing a motion to reopen discovery for purposes of 24 pursuing this issue. So you're really -- with

respect to the issues Mr. Talarico has raised today, 1 there is no prejudice. He can still pursue exactly 2 3 what I said he could pursue. 4 So in case there is any question, I will explicitly grant leave to issue his subpoena or 5 6 obtain an affidavit from that attorney in question 7 regarding Exhibit 12. Showing who the parties in the 8 MR. FLYNN: 9 order. 10 THE COURT: I think that's better. 11 Mr. Talarico, does that cover what you want to 12 cover? 13 MR. TALARICO: Yes, Judge. 14 THE COURT: So realistically, I'm thinking 60 15 days to resolve the issue with the attorney. 16 puts us into mid September. How is September 16, a 17 Friday? 18 MR. FIYNN: Works for me. 19 MR. TALARICO: I'm checking, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Okay. 21 MR. TALARICO: Fine. 22 THE COURT: All right. That will be -- well, let me look at my schedule because I anticipate --23 let's say 9:30. 24

1	MR. TALARICO: Okay.
2	MR. FLYNN: And Judge, in this court this
3	morning earlier on the Interrante case, this matter
4	was scheduled for 9:45. I wasn't sure
5	(indiscernible) did we both have to be here, or if I
6	missed something as far as
7	THE COURT: I have it I think I had your
8	other case up at 8:45.
9	MR. TALARICO: Mr. Flynn, you were right, this
10	case was scheduled for 9:45 also.
11	MR. FLYNN: It was. I think, Judge, you drafted
12	the last order. I'm not trying to blame you, but I
13	think originally 8:45 and then it was stricken on
14	the order and then changed 8 to 9:45.
15	THE COURT: That was my mistake, and somebody
16	brought it to my attention and made fun of me. I
17	will accept blame for that.
18	Let's go 9:30. You put it in the order,
19	and you can even say by order of the Judge at 9:30.
20	And the reason for that is so that we don't have to
21	wait for a large call to dissipate before talking.
22	MR. FLYNN: I'll draft the order, Mr. Talarico.
23	I should be able to get it to you within an hour or
24	so.

1	MR. TALARICO: Thank you, sir.
2	THE COURT: All right.
3	MR. FLYNN: That's it.
4	THE COURT: I'll sign it when I see it, and then
5	we will see you at 9:30 on September 16.
6	MR. TALARICO: May I say one more thing, Judge?
7	THE COURT: Yes.
8	MR. TALARICO: I'm sorry. I'm apologizing again
9	for missing court. This is probably the first time
10	in my life, but it was a medical emergency and
11	THE COURT: Understood.
12	MR. TALARICO: I missed court, and I
13	apologize.
14	THE COURT: Okay.
15	MR. FLYNN: No apology necessary. Thank you.
16	THE COURT: No problem. All right. We will see
17	you next month or in September.
18	MR. FLYNN: Thank you.
19	THE COURT: Thank you. Bye. Disconnecting.
20	(Which were all the proceedings
21	had in the above-entitled cause
22	this date.)
23	
24	

1	STATE OF ILLINOIS )
2	) SS:
3	COUNTY OF McHENRY )
4	
5	I, JUDY CARLSON, an official Court Reporter
6	for the Circuit Court of McHenry County,
7	Twenty-Second Judicial Circuit of Illinois,
8	transcribed the electronic recording of the
9	proceeding in the above-entitled cause to the best
10	of my ability and based on the quality of the
11	recording, and I hereby certify the foregoing to be
12	a true and accurate transcript of said electronic
13	recording.
14	
15	Judy R. Caelson
16	Certified Shorthand Reporter
17	License No. 084-003347
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	