

T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>

## Petition for Leave to Appeal to the Illinois Supreme Court.

14 messages

**Alphonse Talarico** <contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com> To: T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>

Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 7:10 AM

Sir,

Please see the attached Illinois Supreme Court Rule.

Thank you, Alphonse A. Talarico, Esq.



Leave to Appeal Illinois Supreme Court Rule 315.pdf 410K

T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>

To: Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net>

Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 7:52 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Alphonse Talarico < contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com>

Date: Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 7:10 AM

Subject: Petition for Leave to Appeal to the Illinois Supreme Court.

To: T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>

Sir,

Please see the attached Illinois Supreme Court Rule.

Thank you, Alphonse A. Talarico, Esq.



Leave to Appeal Illinois Supreme Court Rule 315.pdf 410K

T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>

To: Paul Dulberg < Paul Dulberg@comcast.net>

Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 8:09 AM

Thanks but that is not what I am looking for.

These are some of my reference links for the current discussions:

I created a complete record of our work product used in drafting the Supreme Court petition from our email exchanges: http://www.fraudonthecourt.net/exhibits/Group%20Exhibit%2052\_Supreme%20Court% 20petition%20preparation%20and%20work%20product/

I created a timeline of our exchanges while drafting the Supreme Court petition: linked at the end of

http://www.fraudonthecourt.net/exhibits/Group%20Exhibit%2049\_Dulberg's%20discovery%20and%20efforts%20to%20notify%20Judges%20of%20Clinton-Gooch-Popovich%20fraud%20on%20court/

Mr Talerico, if you look at the work product and timeline records i provided, you can see you already sent this document and I hve already seen the document many times.

This is what I need from you:

I need some kind of detailed record of what the clerk said to you and what you said to the clerk. Do you have notes or anything in writing that I can see? I cannot find any clear set of directions that you provided to Paul Dulberg which he could follow in any of the communication linked above.

If you do not keep any notes of your many telephone exchanges with the clerk that you can provide us, this means we are completely dependent on your memory of what the clerk told you. Obviously we need those memories written down and sent to us so we can comply with the instructions of the clerk. Could you please write down everything that you remember from your telephone exchanges with the clerk so we can have this information, too?

**Thanks** 

Please provide as soon as possible so I can see the clerk's instructions written out in detail.

On Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 7:52 AM T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> wrote:

T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>

Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 8:10 AM

To: Alphonse Talarico <contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com>

------ Forwarded message ------From: **T Kost** <tkost999@gmail.com>
Date: Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 8:09 AM

Subject: Re: Petition for Leave to Appeal to the Illinois Supreme Court.

To: Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net>

Thanks but that is not what I am looking for.

These are some of my reference links for the current discussions:

I created a complete record of our work product used in drafting the Supreme Court petition from our email exchanges: http://www.fraudonthecourt.net/exhibits/Group%20Exhibit%2052\_Supreme%20Court% 20petition%20preparation%20and%20work%20product/

I created a timeline of our exchanges while drafting the Supreme Court petition: linked at the end of

http://www.fraudonthecourt.net/exhibits/Group%20Exhibit%2049\_Dulberg's%20discovery%20and%20efforts%20to%20notify%20Judges%20of%20Clinton-Gooch-Popovich%20fraud%20on%20court/

Mr Talerico, if you look at the work product and timeline records i provided, you can see you already sent this document and I hve already seen the document many times.

This is what I need from you:

I need some kind of detailed record of what the clerk said to you and what you said to the clerk. Do you have notes or anything in writing that I can see? I cannot find any clear set of directions that you provided to Paul Dulberg which he could follow in any of the communication linked above.

If you do not keep any notes of your many telephone exchanges with the clerk that you can provide us, this means we are completely dependent on your memory of what the clerk told you. Obviously we need those memories written down and sent to us so we can comply with the instructions of the clerk. Could you please write down everything that you remember from your telephone exchanges with the clerk so we can have this information, too?

**Thanks** 

Please provide as soon as possible so I can see the clerk's instructions written out in detail.

On Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 7:52 AM T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> wrote:

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Alphonse Talarico** <contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com>

Date: Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 7:10 AM

Subject: Petition for Leave to Appeal to the Illinois Supreme Court.

To: T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>

Sir,

Please see the attached Illinois Supreme Court Rule.

Thank you,

Alphonse A. Talarico, Esq.

## T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>

To: Alphonse Talarico <contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com>

Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 9:17 AM

Mr Talerico, I have asked Paul to write about the work the two of you have been doing together since January 8th (when the filing was due). He wrote me an outline of events that he remembers and interactions he had with you while preparing the Supreme Court Petition.

I put it in a text file and it is attached to this email.

Is this what you remember happening also? Are there any recollections by Dulberg that you feel are mistaken? If so, please add your own input since I want an accurate, detailed record to try to fix any problems we have.

Obviously Dulberg is permanently disabled and he is not an attorney. He did not even attend university outside of a few junior college classes.

Anyone who reads this can clearly see Dulberg was very confused by the information you provided him and continues to remain confused.

It should be obvious why we need to know, in detail, what the clerk told you and what you told the clerk. Please, we need these records of your interactions with the clerk. Please provide them.

On Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 8:10 AM T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> wrote:

------ Forwarded message ------From: **T Kost** <tkost999@gmail.com>
Date: Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 8:09 AM

Subject: Re: Petition for Leave to Appeal to the Illinois Supreme Court.

To: Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net>

Thanks but that is not what I am looking for.

These are some of my reference links for the current discussions:

I created a complete record of our work product used in drafting the Supreme Court petition from our email exchanges: http://www.fraudonthecourt.net/exhibits/Group%20Exhibit%2052\_Supreme%20Court% 20petition%20preparation%20and%20work%20product/

I created a timeline of our exchanges while drafting the Supreme Court petition: linked at the end of http://www.fraudonthecourt.net/exhibits/Group%20Exhibit%2049\_Dulberg's%20discovery% 20and%20efforts%20to%20notify%20Judges%20of%20Clinton-Gooch-Popovich%20fraud%20on%20court/

Mr Talerico, if you look at the work product and timeline records i provided, you can see you already sent this document and I hve already seen the document many times.

This is what I need from you:

I need some kind of detailed record of what the clerk said to you and what you said to the clerk. Do you have notes or anything in writing that I can see? I cannot find any clear set of directions that you provided to Paul Dulberg which he could follow in any of the communication linked above.

If you do not keep any notes of your many telephone exchanges with the clerk that you can provide us, this means we are completely dependent on your memory of what the clerk told you. Obviously we need those memories written down and sent to us so we can comply with the instructions of the clerk. Could you please write down everything that you remember from your telephone exchanges with the clerk so we can have this information, too?

**Thanks** 

Please provide as soon as possible so I can see the clerk's instructions written out in detail.

On Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 7:52 AM T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> wrote:

-------Forwarded message ------From: Alphonse Talarico <contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com>
Date: Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 7:10 AM
Subject: Petition for Leave to Appeal to the Illinois Supreme Court.
To: T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>

Sir,

Please see the attached Illinois Supreme Court Rule.

Thank you, Alphonse A. Talarico, Esq.

Dulberg report.txt

4K

T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>

To: Paul Dulberg <Paul Dulberg@comcast.net>

Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 9:17 AM

------ Forwarded message ------From: **T Kost** <tkost999@gmail.com>
Date: Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 9:17 AM

Subject: Re: Petition for Leave to Appeal to the Illinois Supreme Court. To: Alphonse Talarico <contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com>

Mr Talerico, I have asked Paul to write about the work the two of you have been doing together since January 8th (when the filing was due). He wrote me an outline of events that he remembers and interactions he had with you while preparing the Supreme Court Petition.

I put it in a text file and it is attached to this email.

Is this what you remember happening also? Are there any recollections by Dulberg that you feel are mistaken? If so,please add your own input since I want an accurate, detailed record to try to fix any problems we have.

Obviously Dulberg is permanently disabled and he is not an attorney. He did not even attend university outside of a few junior college classes.

Anyone who reads this can clearly see Dulberg was very confused by the information you provided him and continues to remain confused.

It should be obvious why we need to know, in detail, what the clerk told you and what you told the clerk. Please, we need these records of your interactions with the clerk. Please provide them.

On Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 8:10 AM T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> wrote:

------ Forwarded message ------From: **T Kost** <tkost999@gmail.com>
Date: Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 8:09 AM

Subject: Re: Petition for Leave to Appeal to the Illinois Supreme Court.

To: Paul Dulberg < Paul Dulberg@comcast.net>

Thanks but that is not what I am looking for.

These are some of my reference links for the current discussions:

I created a complete record of our work product used in drafting the Supreme Court petition from our email exchanges: http://www.fraudonthecourt.net/exhibits/Group%20Exhibit%2052\_Supreme%20Court% 20petition%20preparation%20and%20work%20product/

I created a timeline of our exchanges while drafting the Supreme Court petition: linked at the end of http://www.fraudonthecourt.net/exhibits/Group%20Exhibit%2049\_Dulberg's%20discovery% 20and%20efforts%20to%20notify%20Judges%20of%20Clinton-Gooch-Popovich%20fraud%20on%20court/

Mr Talerico, if you look at the work product and timeline records i provided, you can see you already sent this document and I hve already seen the document many times.

This is what I need from you:

I need some kind of detailed record of what the clerk said to you and what you said to the clerk. Do you have notes or anything in writing that I can see? I cannot find any clear set of directions that you provided to Paul Dulberg which he could follow in any of the communication linked above.

If you do not keep any notes of your many telephone exchanges with the clerk that you can provide us, this means we are completely dependent on your memory of what the clerk told you. Obviously we need those memories written down and sent to us so we can comply with the instructions of the clerk. Could you please write down everything that you remember from your telephone exchanges with the clerk so we can have this information, too?

**Thanks** 

Please provide as soon as possible so I can see the clerk's instructions written out in detail.

On Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 7:52 AM T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> wrote:

------ Forwarded message ------

From: Alphonse Talarico <contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com>

Date: Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 7:10 AM

Subject: Petition for Leave to Appeal to the Illinois Supreme Court.

To: T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>

Sir,

Please see the attached Illinois Supreme Court Rule.

Thank you,

Alphonse A. Talarico, Esq.

Dulberg report.txt

**Alphonse Talarico** <contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com> To: T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>

Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 9:22 AM

I don't know what was said between you and Mr. Dulberg, nor was I privy to any verbal nor assured that all written communications that I was coppied on.

I have never asked for, nor have I ever been copied, revealed whatever you two talk about.

The clear point is weeks ago I asked that you draft the history section only because as I said to mMr.Dulberg, this work is already done as part of the ARDC and Judicial Complaints and if created chronologically, the task would be review and strip off the dates with the already accompanying text explanations.

As i have not taken a day off in months and I have been available and respondent 24/7 I will now take the next three days for my medical and personal concerns and will continue working on all Dulberg/Kost matters which I presume you know that litigating is an ongoing process with many due dates.

I don't know what more you are asking but anything more will have to wait untill have time from my Dulberg/Kost schedule and my personal time as the availability 24/7 will have to be adjusted to a non-slave schedule.

Finally, I have noted that I asked 2x about affirmation of payment of \$15,000.00 as agreed and all court costs I have advanced plus the Veritext most recent invoice without response and taken in conjunction with Mr. Dulberg's false accusations that I should do my work, plus the constant barrage of how much he pays me keeps me aware of the ongoing legal relationship.

I already consider my overall treatment as less than how the Baudins, Popovich, Mast, Meyer, Berg, Hutchinson, Gouch, Clinton, Williams, Clerk of Court, Court Reporters etc. are treated.

To clarify, I am a professional and if I am not treated nor paid like a professional, I will continue to give my best effort until my withdraw is allowed by all courts my Appearances have been enter on for Dulberg/Kost.

If unpaid and fired I will stop working as an attorney who has been fired.

With all that said, have a nice holiday weekend as I intend to do the same and I will visit my family in the western suburbs for a belated Thanksgiving, Christmas gathering.

Sincerly,

Alphonse A. Talarico, Esq.

From: T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 13, 2024 8:10 AM

**To:** Alphonse Talarico < contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com> **Subject:** Fwd: Petition for Leave to Appeal to the Illinois Supreme Court.

------ Forwarded message ------From: **T Kost** <tkost999@gmail.com>
Date: Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 8:09 AM

Subject: Re: Petition for Leave to Appeal to the Illinois Supreme Court.

To: Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net>

Thanks but that is not what I am looking for.

These are some of my reference links for the current discussions:

I created a complete record of our work product used in drafting the Supreme Court petition from our email exchanges: http://www.fraudonthecourt.net/exhibits/Group%20Exhibit%2052\_Supreme%20Court% 20petition%20preparation%20and%20work%20product/

I created a timeline of our exchanges while drafting the Supreme Court petition: linked at the end of http://www.fraudonthecourt.net/exhibits/Group%20Exhibit%2049\_Dulberg's%20discovery% 20and%20efforts%20to%20notify%20Judges%20of%20Clinton-Gooch-Popovich%20fraud%20on%20court/

Mr Talerico, if you look at the work product and timeline records i provided, you can see you already sent this document and I hve already seen the document many times.

This is what I need from you:

I need some kind of detailed record of what the clerk said to you and what you said to the clerk. Do you have notes or anything in writing that I can see? I cannot find any clear set of directions that you provided to Paul Dulberg which he could follow in any of the communication linked above.

If you do not keep any notes of your many telephone exchanges with the clerk that you can provide us, this means we are completely dependent on your memory of what the clerk told you. Obviously we need those memories written down and sent to us so we can comply with the instructions of the clerk. Could you please write down everything that you remember from your telephone exchanges with the clerk so we can have this information, too?

**Thanks** 

Please provide as soon as possible so I can see the clerk's instructions written out in detail.

On Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 7:52 AM T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> wrote:

----- Forwarded message ------

From: Alphonse Talarico <contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com>

Date: Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 7:10 AM

Subject: Petition for Leave to Appeal to the Illinois Supreme Court.

To: T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>

Sir,

Please see the attached Illinois Supreme Court Rule.

Thank you,

Alphonse A. Talarico, Esq.

Alphonse Talarico <contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com>

Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 9:33 AM

To: T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>

As I told Mr. Dulberg many times, the clerk told me the following: no hyperlinks, no bookmarks, the actual documents must be in the appendix, thousans of pages will not work in the Appendix, 13 complete paper copies of the Petition and Appendix

have to be served on the court in Springfield within 5 days of acceptance.

Mr. Dulberg, is a very street smart and learned individual who plays confused when it suits him. I told him many times that since he is not only the petitioner, but he has an appearance on file in this matter, he should call the clerk himself and ask any questions he desires, and now any questions you desire.

Sincerely,

Alphonse A. Talarico, Esq.

From: T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 13, 2024 9:17 AM

**To:** Alphonse Talarico <contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com> **Subject:** Re: Petition for Leave to Appeal to the Illinois Supreme Court.

Mr Talerico, I have asked Paul to write about the work the two of you have been doing together since January 8th (when the filing was due). He wrote me an outline of events that he remembers and interactions he had with you while preparing the Supreme Court Petition.

I put it in a text file and it is attached to this email.

Is this what you remember happening also? Are there any recollections by Dulberg that you feel are mistaken? If so,please add your own input since I want an accurate, detailed record to try to fix any problems we have.

Obviously Dulberg is permanently disabled and he is not an attorney. He did not even attend university outside of a few junior college classes.

Anyone who reads this can clearly see Dulberg was very confused by the information you provided him and continues to remain confused.

It should be obvious why we need to know, in detail, what the clerk told you and what you told the clerk. Please, we need these records of your interactions with the clerk. Please provide them.

On Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 8:10 AM T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> wrote:

----- Forwarded message -----From: **T Kost** <tkost999@gmail.com>
Date: Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 8:09 AM

Subject: Re: Petition for Leave to Appeal to the Illinois Supreme Court.

To: Paul Dulberg < Paul Dulberg@comcast.net>

Thanks but that is not what I am looking for.

These are some of my reference links for the current discussions:

I created a complete record of our work product used in drafting the Supreme Court petition from our email exchanges: http://www.fraudonthecourt.net/exhibits/Group%20Exhibit%2052\_Supreme%20Court% 20petition%20preparation%20and%20work%20product/

I created a timeline of our exchanges while drafting the Supreme Court petition: linked at the end of http://www.fraudonthecourt.net/exhibits/Group%20Exhibit%2049\_Dulberg's%20discovery% 20and%20efforts%20to%20notify%20Judges%20of%20Clinton-Gooch-Popovich%20fraud%20on%20court/

Mr Talerico, if you look at the work product and timeline records i provided, you can see you already sent this document and I hve already seen the document many times.

This is what I need from you:

I need some kind of detailed record of what the clerk said to you and what you said to the clerk. Do you have notes or anything in writing that I can see? I cannot find any clear set of directions that you provided to Paul Dulberg which he could follow in any of the communication linked above.

If you do not keep any notes of your many telephone exchanges with the clerk that you can provide us, this means we are completely dependent on your memory of what the clerk told you. Obviously we need those memories written down and sent to us so we can comply with the instructions of the clerk. Could you please write down everything that you remember from your telephone exchanges with the clerk so we can have this information, too?

**Thanks** 

Please provide as soon as possible so I can see the clerk's instructions written out in detail.

On Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 7:52 AM T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> wrote:

------ Forwarded message -----

From: Alphonse Talarico < contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com>

Date: Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 7:10 AM

Dulberg report.txt 4K	
	Thank you, Alphonse A. Talarico, Esq.
	Please see the attached Illinois Supreme Court Rule.
	Sir,
	Subject: Petition for Leave to Appeal to the Illinois Supreme Court.  To: T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com></tkost999@gmail.com>

**Alphonse Talarico** <contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com> To: T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>

Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 2:55 PM

Why do you need them? Why do you ignore my pay assurances? Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 13, 2024, at 9:22 AM, Alphonse Talarico <contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com> wrote:

I don't know what was said between you and Mr. Dulberg, nor was I privy to any verbal nor assured that all written communications that I was coppied on.

I have never asked for, nor have I ever been copied, revealed whatever you two talk about.

The clear point is weeks ago I asked that you draft the history section only because as I said to mMr.Dulberg, this work is already done as part of the ARDC and Judicial Complaints and if created chronologically, the task would be review and strip off the dates with the already accompanying text explanations.

As i have not taken a day off in months and I have been available and respondent 24/7 I will now take the next three days for my medical and personal concerns and will continue working on all Dulberg/Kost matters which I presume you know that litigating is an ongoing process with many due dates.

I don't know what more you are asking but anything more will have to wait untill have time from my Dulberg/Kost schedule and my personal time as the availability 24/7 will have to be adjusted to a non-slave schedule.

Finally, I have noted that I asked 2x about affirmation of payment of \$15,000.00 as agreed and alll court costs I have advanced plus the Veritext most recent invoice without response and taken in conjunction with Mr. Dulberg's false accusations that I should do my work, plus the constant barrage of how much he pays me keeps me aware of the ongoing legal relationship.

I already consider my overall treatment as less than how the Baudins, Popovich, Mast,

Meyer, Berg, Hutchinson, Gouch, Clinton, Williams, Clerk of Court, Court Reporters etc. are treated.

To clarify, I am a professional and if I am not treated nor paid like a professional, I will continue to give my best effort until my withdraw is allowed by all courts my Appearances have been enter on for Dulberg/Kost.

If unpaid and fired I will stop working as an attorney who has been fired.

With all that said, have a nice holiday weekend as I intend to do the same and I will visit my family in the western suburbs for a belated Thanksgiving, Christmas gathering.

Sincerly,

Alphonse A. Talarico, Esq.

From: T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 13, 2024 8:10 AM

**To:** Alphonse Talarico <contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com> **Subject:** Fwd: Petition for Leave to Appeal to the Illinois Supreme Court.

----- Forwarded message -----From: **T Kost** <tkost999@gmail.com>
Date: Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 8:09 AM

Subject: Re: Petition for Leave to Appeal to the Illinois Supreme Court.

To: Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net>

Thanks but that is not what I am looking for.

These are some of my reference links for the current discussions:

I created a complete record of our work product used in drafting the Supreme Court petition from our email exchanges: http://www.fraudonthecourt.net/exhibits/Group%20Exhibit% 2052\_Supreme%20Court%20petition%20preparation%20and%20work%20product/

I created a timeline of our exchanges while drafting the Supreme Court petition: linked at the end of http://www.fraudonthecourt.net/exhibits/Group%20Exhibit%2049\_Dulberg's%20discovery% 20and%20efforts%20to%20notify%20Judges%20of%20Clinton-Gooch-Popovich%20fraud%20on%20court/

Mr Talerico, if you look at the work product and timeline records i provided, you can see you already sent this document and I hve already seen the document many times.

This is what I need from you:

I need some kind of detailed record of what the clerk said to you and what you said to the clerk. Do you have notes or anything in writing that I can see? I cannot find any clear set of directions that you provided to Paul Dulberg which he could follow in any of the communication linked above.

If you do not keep any notes of your many telephone exchanges with the clerk that you can provide us,

this means we are completely dependent on your memory of what the clerk told you. Obviously we need those memories written down and sent to us so we can comply with the instructions of the clerk. Could you please write down everything that you remember from your telephone exchanges with the clerk so we can have this information, too?

**Thanks** 

Please provide as soon as possible so I can see the clerk's instructions written out in detail.

On Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 7:52 AM T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> wrote:

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Alphonse Talarico <contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com>

Date: Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 7:10 AM

Subject: Petition for Leave to Appeal to the Illinois Supreme Court.

To: T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>

Sir,

Please see the attached Illinois Supreme Court Rule.

Thank you,

Alphonse A. Talarico, Esq.

Alphonse Talarico <contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com>

Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 2:58 PM

To: T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>

How could he write an outline if he was truly a confused non author? Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 13, 2024, at 9:22 AM, Alphonse Talarico <contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com> wrote:

I don't know what was said between you and Mr. Dulberg, nor was I privy to any verbal nor assured that all written communications that I was coppied on.

I have never asked for, nor have I ever been copied, revealed whatever you two talk about.

The clear point is weeks ago I asked that you draft the history section only because as I said to mMr.Dulberg, this work is already done as part of the ARDC and Judicial Complaints and if created chronologically, the task would be review and strip off the dates with the already accompanying text explanations.

As i have not taken a day off in months and I have been available and respondent 24/7 I will now take the next three days for my medical and personal concerns and will continue working on all Dulberg/Kost matters which I presume you know that litigating is an ongoing process with many due dates.

I don't know what more you are asking but anything more will have to wait untill have time from my Dulberg/Kost schedule and my personal time as the availability 24/7 will have to be adjusted to a non-slave schedule.

Finally, I have noted that I asked 2x about affirmation of payment of \$15,000.00 as agreed and all court costs I have advanced plus the Veritext most recent invoice without response and taken in conjunction with Mr. Dulberg's false accusations that I should do my work, plus the constant barrage of how much he pays me keeps me aware of the ongoing legal relationship.

I already consider my overall treatment as less than how the Baudins, Popovich, Mast, Meyer, Berg, Hutchinson, Gouch, Clinton, Williams, Clerk of Court, Court Reporters etc. are treated.

To clarify, I am a professional and if I am not treated nor paid like a professional, I will continue to give my best effort until my withdraw is allowed by all courts my Appearances have been enter on for Dulberg/Kost.

If unpaid and fired I will stop working as an attorney who has been fired.

With all that said, have a nice holiday weekend as I intend to do the same and I will visit my family in the western suburbs for a belated Thanksgiving, Christmas gathering.

Sincerly,

Alphonse A. Talarico, Esq.

From: T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 13, 2024 8:10 AM

**To:** Alphonse Talarico <contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com> **Subject:** Fwd: Petition for Leave to Appeal to the Illinois Supreme Court.

------ Forwarded message ------From: **T Kost** <tkost999@gmail.com>
Date: Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 8:09 AM

Subject: Re: Petition for Leave to Appeal to the Illinois Supreme Court.

To: Paul Dulberg < Paul Dulberg@comcast.net>

Thanks but that is not what I am looking for.

These are some of my reference links for the current discussions:

I created a complete record of our work product used in drafting the Supreme Court petition from our email exchanges: http://www.fraudonthecourt.net/exhibits/Group%20Exhibit% 2052\_Supreme%20Court%20petition%20preparation%20and%20work%20product/

I created a timeline of our exchanges while drafting the Supreme Court petition: linked at the end of http://www.fraudonthecourt.net/exhibits/Group%20Exhibit%2049\_Dulberg's%20discovery% 20and%20efforts%20to%20notify%20Judges%20of%20Clinton-Gooch-Popovich%20fraud%20on%20court/

Mr Talerico, if you look at the work product and timeline records i provided, you can see you already sent this document and I hve already seen the document many times.

This is what I need from you:

I need some kind of detailed record of what the clerk said to you and what you said to the clerk. Do you have notes or anything in writing that I can see? I cannot find any clear set of directions that you provided to Paul Dulberg which he could follow in any of the communication linked above.

If you do not keep any notes of your many telephone exchanges with the clerk that you can provide us, this means we are completely dependent on your memory of what the clerk told you. Obviously we need those memories written down and sent to us so we can comply with the instructions of the clerk. Could you please write down everything that you remember from your telephone exchanges with the clerk so we can have this information, too?

**Thanks** 

Please provide as soon as possible so I can see the clerk's instructions written out in detail.

On Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 7:52 AM T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> wrote:

----- Forwarded message ------

From: Alphonse Talarico <contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com>

Date: Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 7:10 AM

Subject: Petition for Leave to Appeal to the Illinois Supreme Court.

To: T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>

Sir,

Please see the attached Illinois Supreme Court Rule.

Thank you,

Alphonse A. Talarico, Esq.

T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>

Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 7:12 PM

To: Alphonse Talarico <contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com>

Mr Talerico, I am sure you are honest and professional, but you are very aware of Dulberg's history. This man basically repeatedly raped repeatedly, over and over, by a succession of members of the Illinois Bar. Dulberg has had horrible and cruel 'hoaxes' played on him over and over. Evidence of Clinton and Williams collaborating with opposing counsel Flynn has been in your possession since November, 9, 2022.

Are you denying this horrible history that Dulberg has experienced and continues to experience? It is all available to the public at www.fraudonthecourt.net

Is it any wonder that Dulberg lives in constant fear of being double-crossed yet again? You know your client has been repeatedly gaslighted and abused by members of your own profession.

It should be obvious to anyone reading our website why we want to keep written records and why we insist on keeping accurate and detailed timelines. Please provide us with some detailed record of your interactions with the Supreme Court clerk so that we have written evidence of what the clerk asked for.

I'm sure you are honest, but any observant person can see that there is the possibility that you too could be playing some type of 'hoax' on Dulberg right now. The Supreme Court petition was due on January 8. It is now January 13 and the last few text messages you sent appear to make no sense.

You really should consider that you are dealing with a person who was dragged through the mud by 5 consecutive law

firms. You know that because you have the evidence. I need a written record of what is happening right now. Please provide me what I ask or give a detailed, legally coherent reason why you won't.

On Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 2:58 PM Alphonse Talarico <contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com> wrote:

How could he write an outline if he was truly a confused non author?

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 13, 2024, at 9:22 AM, Alphonse Talarico <contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com> wrote:

I don't know what was said between you and Mr. Dulberg, nor was I privy to any verbal nor assured that all written communications that I was coppied on.

I have never asked for, nor have I ever been copied, revealed whatever you two talk about.

The clear point is weeks ago I asked that you draft the history section only because as I said to mMr.Dulberg, this work is already done as part of the ARDC and Judicial Complaints and if created chronologically, the task would be review and strip off the dates with the already accompanying text explanations.

As i have not taken a day off in months and I have been available and respondent 24/7 I will now take the next three days for my medical and personal concerns and will continue working on all Dulberg/Kost matters which I presume you know that litigating is an ongoing process with many due dates.

I don't know what more you are asking but anything more will have to wait untill have time from my Dulberg/Kost schedule and my personal time as the availability 24/7 will have to be adjusted to a non-slave schedule.

Finally, I have noted that I asked 2x about affirmation of payment of \$15,000.00 as agreed and alll court costs I have advanced plus the Veritext most recent invoice without response and taken in conjunction with Mr. Dulberg's false accusations that I should do my work, plus the constant barrage of how much he pays me keeps me aware of the ongoing legal relationship.

I already consider my overall treatment as less than how the Baudins, Popovich, Mast, Meyer, Berg, Hutchinson, Gouch, Clinton, Williams, Clerk of Court, Court Reporters etc. are treated.

To clarify, I am a professional and if I am not treated nor paid like a professional, I will continue to give my best effort until my withdraw is allowed by all courts my Appearances have been enter on for Dulberg/Kost.

If unpaid and fired I will stop working as an attorney who has been fired.

With all that said, have a nice holiday weekend as I intend to do the same and I will visit my family in the western suburbs for a belated Thanksgiving, Christmas gathering.

Sincerly,

Alphonse A. Talarico, Esq.

From: T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 13, 2024 8:10 AM

**To:** Alphonse Talarico <contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com> **Subject:** Fwd: Petition for Leave to Appeal to the Illinois Supreme Court.

----- Forwarded message -----From: **T Kost** <tkost999@gmail.com>
Date: Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 8:09 AM

Subject: Re: Petition for Leave to Appeal to the Illinois Supreme Court.

To: Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net>

Thanks but that is not what I am looking for.

These are some of my reference links for the current discussions:

I created a complete record of our work product used in drafting the Supreme Court petition from our email exchanges: http://www.fraudonthecourt.net/exhibits/Group%20Exhibit% 2052\_Supreme%20Court%20petition%20preparation%20and%20work%20product/

I created a timeline of our exchanges while drafting the Supreme Court petition: linked at the end of http://www.fraudonthecourt.net/exhibits/Group%20Exhibit%2049\_Dulberg's%20discovery% 20and%20efforts%20to%20notify%20Judges%20of%20Clinton-Gooch-Popovich%20fraud%20on%20court/

Mr Talerico, if you look at the work product and timeline records i provided, you can see you already sent this document and I hve already seen the document many times.

This is what I need from you:

I need some kind of detailed record of what the clerk said to you and what you said to the clerk. Do you have notes or anything in writing that I can see? I cannot find any clear set of directions that you provided to Paul Dulberg which he could follow in any of the communication linked above.

If you do not keep any notes of your many telephone exchanges with the clerk that you can provide us, this means we are completely dependent on your memory of what the clerk told you. Obviously we need those memories written down and sent to us so we can comply with the instructions of the clerk. Could you please write down everything that you remember from your telephone exchanges with the clerk so we can have this information, too?

**Thanks** 

Please provide as soon as possible so I can see the clerk's instructions written out in detail.

On Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 7:52 AM T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> wrote:

----- Forwarded message ------

From: Alphonse Talarico <contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com>

Date: Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 7:10 AM

Subject: Petition for Leave to Appeal to the Illinois Supreme Court. To: T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>

Sir,

Please see the attached Illinois Supreme Court Rule.

Thank you, Alphonse A. Talarico, Esq.

T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>

Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 7:39 PM

To: Alphonse Talarico <contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com>

I have a record of what Paul and I filed with the ARDC at this link: http://www.fraudonthecourt.net/ardc/

I also need to know if and when you filed ARDC complaints. Please let me know who you filed ARDC complaints against related to our case and when.

## Thanks

On Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 7:12 PM T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> wrote:

Mr Talerico, I am sure you are honest and professional, but you are very aware of Dulberg's history. This man basically repeatedly raped repeatedly, over and over, by a succession of members of the Illinois Bar. Dulberg has had horrible and cruel 'hoaxes' played on him over and over. Evidence of Clinton and Williams collaborating with opposing counsel Flynn has been in your possession since November, 9, 2022.

Are you denying this horrible history that Dulberg has experienced and continues to experience? It is all available to the public at www.fraudonthecourt.net

Is it any wonder that Dulberg lives in constant fear of being double-crossed yet again? You know your client has been repeatedly gaslighted and abused by members of your own profession.

It should be obvious to anyone reading our website why we want to keep written records and why we insist on keeping accurate and detailed timelines. Please provide us with some detailed record of your interactions with the Supreme Court clerk so that we have written evidence of what the clerk asked for.

I'm sure you are honest, but any observant person can see that there is the possibility that you too could be playing some type of 'hoax' on Dulberg right now. The Supreme Court petition was due on January 8. It is now January 13 and the last few text messages you sent appear to make no sense.

You really should consider that you are dealing with a person who was dragged through the mud by 5 consecutive law firms. You know that because you have the evidence. I need a written record of what is happening right now. Please provide me what I ask or give a detailed, legally coherent reason why you won't.

On Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 2:58 PM Alphonse Talarico <contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com> wrote:

How could he write an outline if he was truly a confused non author?

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 13, 2024, at 9:22 AM, Alphonse Talarico <contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com> wrote:

I don't know what was said between you and Mr. Dulberg, nor was I privy to any verbal nor assured that all written communications that I was coppied on.

I have never asked for, nor have I ever been copied, revealed whatever you two talk about.

The clear point is weeks ago I asked that you draft the history section only because as I said to mMr.Dulberg, this work is already done as part of the ARDC and Judicial Complaints and if created chronologically, the task would be review and strip off the dates with the already accompanying text explanations.

As i have not taken a day off in months and I have been available and respondent 24/7 I will now take the next three days for my medical and personal concerns and will continue working on all Dulberg/Kost matters which I presume you know that litigating is an ongoing process with many due dates.

I don't know what more you are asking but anything more will have to wait untill have time from my Dulberg/Kost schedule and my personal time as the availability 24/7 will have to be adjusted to a non-slave schedule.

Finally, I have noted that I asked 2x about affirmation of payment of \$15,000.00 as agreed and alll court costs I have advanced plus the Veritext most recent invoice without response and taken in conjunction with Mr. Dulberg's false accusations that I should do my work, plus the constant barrage of how much he pays me keeps me aware of the ongoing legal relationship.

I already consider my overall treatment as less than how the Baudins, Popovich, Mast, Meyer, Berg, Hutchinson, Gouch, Clinton, Williams, Clerk of Court, Court Reporters etc. are treated.

To clarify, I am a professional and if I am not treated nor paid like a professional, I will continue to give my best effort until my withdraw is allowed by all courts my Appearances have been enter on for Dulberg/Kost.

If unpaid and fired I will stop working as an attorney who has been fired.

With all that said, have a nice holiday weekend as I intend to do the same and I will visit my family in the western suburbs for a belated Thanksgiving, Christmas gathering.

Sincerly,

Alphonse A. Talarico, Esq.

From: T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 13, 2024 8:10 AM

**To:** Alphonse Talarico <contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com> **Subject:** Fwd: Petition for Leave to Appeal to the Illinois Supreme Court.

----- Forwarded message -----From: **T Kost** <tkost999@gmail.com>
Date: Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 8:09 AM

Subject: Re: Petition for Leave to Appeal to the Illinois Supreme Court.

To: Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net>

Thanks but that is not what I am looking for.

These are some of my reference links for the current discussions:

I created a complete record of our work product used in drafting the Supreme Court petition from our email exchanges: http://www.fraudonthecourt.net/exhibits/Group%20Exhibit% 2052\_Supreme%20Court%20petition%20preparation%20and%20work%20product/

I created a timeline of our exchanges while drafting the Supreme Court petition: linked at the end of http://www.fraudonthecourt.net/exhibits/Group%20Exhibit%2049\_Dulberg's%20discovery% 20and%20efforts%20to%20notify%20Judges%20of%20Clinton-Gooch-Popovich%20fraud%20on%20court/

Mr Talerico, if you look at the work product and timeline records i provided, you can see you already sent this document and I hve already seen the document many times.

This is what I need from you:

Alphonse A. Talarico, Esq.

I need some kind of detailed record of what the clerk said to you and what you said to the clerk. Do you have notes or anything in writing that I can see? I cannot find any clear set of directions that you provided to Paul Dulberg which he could follow in any of the communication linked above.

If you do not keep any notes of your many telephone exchanges with the clerk that you can provide us, this means we are completely dependent on your memory of what the clerk told you. Obviously we need those memories written down and sent to us so we can comply with the instructions of the clerk. Could you please write down everything that you remember from your telephone exchanges with the clerk so we can have this information, too?

Thanks

Please provide as soon as possible so I can see the clerk's instructions written out in detail.

On Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 7:52 AM T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> wrote:

T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>

Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 9:21 PM

To: Alphonse Talarico <contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com>

I want to explain why I need to know if and when you filed ARDC complaints.

I constructed a detailed timeline of when and how I first learned about the sophisticated system of document and information suppression that Clinton and Williams were using to sabotage Dulberg's case and how Clinton and Williams were collaborating with opposing counsel to sabotage Dulberg's case. It is linked here:

http://www.fraudonthecourt.net/exhibits/Group%20Exhibit%2049\_Dulberg's%20discovery%20and%20efforts%20to%20notify%20Judges%20of%20Clinton-Gooch-Popovich%20fraud%20on%20court/

I also made a visual aid to help see key features in the timeline. These are very important groups of events so I'd like you to carefully note how the events in different colors are related. It is linked here:

http://www.fraudonthecourt.net/exhibits/Visual%20Aid%2024%20-%20Timeline%20of%20discovery%20and%20raising%20issue%20of%20fraud%20during%20litigation.png

I am not accusing you of anything. I'm sure you have been honest with us. But please note how the events in green are related to the events in red.

I later learned that this delay could be a violation of the Himmel Rule. This is a valid legal question and I am not accusing you of intentionally violating the Himmel Rule. But we have to face the reality that the timeline shows that this sequence certainly could be interpreted as a violation of the Himmel Rule by you and the timeline shows that I have been trying to get you to raise the issue of what Chinton and Williams and Flynn and Popovich were doing since the region marked in green.

I need to know if and when you filed ARDC reports so I can have a realistic idea of what the timeline actually shows. A clear, open and detailed record is to your advantage as well as ours. We have to be open and clear as to when this information first came to our attention and what we did about it.

On Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 7:39 PM T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> wrote:

I have a record of what Paul and I filed with the ARDC at this link: http://www.fraudonthecourt.net/ardc/

I also need to know if and when you filed ARDC complaints. Please let me know who you filed ARDC complaints against related to our case and when.

## **Thanks**

On Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 7:12 PM T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> wrote:

Mr Talerico, I am sure you are honest and professional, but you are very aware of Dulberg's history. This man basically repeatedly raped repeatedly, over and over, by a succession of members of the Illinois Bar. Dulberg has had horrible and cruel 'hoaxes' played on him over and over. Evidence of Clinton and Williams collaborating with opposing counsel Flynn has been in your possession since November, 9, 2022.

Are you denying this horrible history that Dulberg has experienced and continues to experience? It is all available to the public at www.fraudonthecourt.net

Is it any wonder that Dulberg lives in constant fear of being double-crossed yet again? You know your client has been repeatedly gaslighted and abused by members of your own profession.

It should be obvious to anyone reading our website why we want to keep written records and why we insist on keeping accurate and detailed timelines. Please provide us with some detailed record of your interactions with the Supreme Court clerk so that we have written evidence of what the clerk asked for.

I'm sure you are honest, but any observant person can see that there is the possibility that you too could be playing some type of 'hoax' on Dulberg right now. The Supreme Court petition was due on January 8. It is now January 13 and the last few text messages you sent appear to make no sense.

You really should consider that you are dealing with a person who was dragged through the mud by 5 consecutive law firms. You know that because you have the evidence. I need a written record of what is

happening right now. Please provide me what I ask or give a detailed, legally coherent reason why you won't.

On Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 2:58 PM Alphonse Talarico <contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com> wrote:

How could he write an outline if he was truly a confused non author?

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 13, 2024, at 9:22 AM, Alphonse Talarico <contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com> wrote:

I don't know what was said between you and Mr. Dulberg, nor was I privy to any verbal nor assured that all written communications that I was coppied on.

I have never asked for, nor have I ever been copied, revealed whatever you two talk about.

The clear point is weeks ago I asked that you draft the history section only because as I said to mMr.Dulberg, this work is already done as part of the ARDC and Judicial Complaints and if created chronologically, the task would be review and strip off the dates with the already accompanying text explanations.

As i have not taken a day off in months and I have been available and respondent 24/7 I will now take the next three days for my medical and personal concerns and will continue working on all Dulberg/Kost matters which I presume you know that litigating is an ongoing process with many due dates.

I don't know what more you are asking but anything more will have to wait untill have time from my Dulberg/Kost schedule and my personal time as the availability 24/7 will have to be adjusted to a non-slave schedule.

Finally, I have noted that I asked 2x about affirmation of payment of \$15,000.00 as agreed and alll court costs I have advanced plus the Veritext most recent invoice without response and taken in conjunction with Mr. Dulberg's false accusations that I should do my work, plus the constant barrage of how much he pays me keeps me aware of the ongoing legal relationship.

I already consider my overall treatment as less than how the Baudins, Popovich, Mast, Meyer, Berg, Hutchinson, Gouch, Clinton, Williams, Clerk of Court, Court Reporters etc. are treated.

To clarify, I am a professional and if I am not treated nor paid like a professional, I will continue to give my best effort until my withdraw is allowed by all courts my Appearances have been enter on for Dulberg/Kost.

If unpaid and fired I will stop working as an attorney who has been fired.

With all that said, have a nice holiday weekend as I intend to do the same and I will visit my family in the western suburbs for a belated Thanksgiving, Christmas gathering.

Sincerly,

Alphonse A. Talarico, Esq.

From: T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 13, 2024 8:10 AM

**To:** Alphonse Talarico <contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com> **Subject:** Fwd: Petition for Leave to Appeal to the Illinois Supreme Court.

From: **T Kost** <tkost999@gmail.com> Date: Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 8:09 AM

Subject: Re: Petition for Leave to Appeal to the Illinois Supreme Court.

To: Paul Dulberg < Paul Dulberg@comcast.net>

Thanks but that is not what I am looking for.

These are some of my reference links for the current discussions:

I created a complete record of our work product used in drafting the Supreme Court petition from our email exchanges: http://www.fraudonthecourt.net/exhibits/Group%20Exhibit% 2052\_Supreme%20Court%20petition%20preparation%20and%20work%20product/

I created a timeline of our exchanges while drafting the Supreme Court petition: linked at the end of http://www.fraudonthecourt.net/exhibits/Group%20Exhibit%2049\_Dulberg's%20discovery%20and%20efforts%20to%20notify%20Judges%20of%20Clinton-Gooch-Popovich%20fraud%20on%20court/

Mr Talerico, if you look at the work product and timeline records i provided, you can see you already sent this document and I hve already seen the document many times.

This is what I need from you:

I need some kind of detailed record of what the clerk said to you and what you said to the clerk. Do you have notes or anything in writing that I can see? I cannot find any clear set of directions that you provided to Paul Dulberg which he could follow in any of the communication linked above.

If you do not keep any notes of your many telephone exchanges with the clerk that you can provide us, this means we are completely dependent on your memory of what the clerk told you. Obviously we need those memories written down and sent to us so we can comply with the instructions of the clerk. Could you please write down everything that you remember from your telephone exchanges with the clerk so we can have this information, too?

**Thanks** 

Please provide as soon as possible so I can see the clerk's instructions written out in detail.

On Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 7:52 AM T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> wrote:

----- Forwarded message ------

From: Alphonse Talarico <contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com>

Date: Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 7:10 AM

Subject: Petition for Leave to Appeal to the Illinois Supreme Court.

To: T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>

Sir,

Please see the attached Illinois Supreme Court Rule.

Thank you,

Alphonse A. Talarico, Esq.

T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>

Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 11:48 PM

To: Alphonse Talarico <contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com>

It is also important to note the blue portion in the visual aid. The blue portion covers our collective efforts to draft the Supreme Court Petition.

We can read each document at the end of the timeline linked here:

http://www.fraudonthecourt.net/exhibits/Group%20Exhibit%2049\_Dulberg's%20discovery%20and%20efforts%20to%20notify%20Judges%20of%20Clinton-Gooch-Popovich%20fraud%20on%20court/

This means our current efforts at writing this draft are part of a larger pattern which is all connected to the portion in green. I provided you a zipped folder called "Document suppression smoking gun" on November 9, 2022 in an email linked here:

http://www.fraudonthecourt.net/exhibits/Group%20Exhibit%2049\_Dulberg's%20discovery%20and%20efforts%20to%20notify%20Judges%20of%20Clinton-Gooch-Popovich%20fraud%20on%20court/2022-11-09\_Gmail%20-%20Document%20suppression%20smoking%20gun.pdf

The folder contains everything we needed to prove collaboration between opposing counsels exists. The visual aid shows that sitting on this information is what allowed everything else to happen. The diagram does not lie. We have to be honest and admit that there are people who can reasonably and rationally view this as a serious issue. If this is also connected with a violation of the Himmel Rule then it is not unreasonable to wonder what your strategy and legal theory was during the time you sat on the information in green.

This is why it is beneficial for you to be open about this. I believe you have been honest with us but the relations between the colored events in the visual aid raises serious issues. This is why I need the documented evidence that I have been asking for.

On Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 9:21 PM T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> wrote:

I want to explain why I need to know if and when you filed ARDC complaints.

I constructed a detailed timeline of when and how I first learned about the sophisticated system of document and information suppression that Clinton and Williams were using to sabotage Dulberg's case and how Clinton and Williams were collaborating with opposing counsel to sabotage Dulberg's case. It is linked here:

http://www.fraudonthecourt.net/exhibits/Group%20Exhibit%2049\_Dulberg's%20discovery%20and%20efforts%20to%20notify%20Judges%20of%20Clinton-Gooch-Popovich%20fraud%20on%20court/

I also made a visual aid to help see key features in the timeline. These are very important groups of events so I'd like you to carefully note how the events in different colors are related. It is linked here:

http://www.fraudonthecourt.net/exhibits/Visual%20Aid%2024%20-%20Timeline%20of%

20discovery%20and%20raising%20issue%20of%20fraud%20during%20litigation.png

I am not accusing you of anything. I'm sure you have been honest with us. But please note how the events in green are related to the events in red.

I later learned that this delay could be a violation of the Himmel Rule. This is a valid legal question and I am not accusing you of intentionally violating the Himmel Rule. But we have to face the reality that the timeline shows that this sequence certainly could be interpreted as a violation of the Himmel Rule by you and the timeline shows that I have been trying to get you to raise the issue of what Chinton and Williams and Flynn and Popovich were doing since the region marked in green.

I need to know if and when you filed ARDC reports so I can have a realistic idea of what the timeline actually shows. A clear, open and detailed record is to your advantage as well as ours. We have to be open and clear as to when this information first came to our attention and what we did about it.

On Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 7:39 PM T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> wrote:

I have a record of what Paul and I filed with the ARDC at this link: http://www.fraudonthecourt.net/ardc/

I also need to know if and when you filed ARDC complaints. Please let me know who you filed ARDC complaints against related to our case and when.

**Thanks** 

On Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 7:12 PM T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> wrote:

Mr Talerico, I am sure you are honest and professional, but you are very aware of Dulberg's history. This man basically repeatedly raped repeatedly, over and over, by a succession of members of the Illinois Bar. Dulberg has had horrible and cruel 'hoaxes' played on him over and over. Evidence of Clinton and Williams collaborating with opposing counsel Flynn has been in your possession since November, 9, 2022.

Are you denying this horrible history that Dulberg has experienced and continues to experience? It is all available to the public at www.fraudonthecourt.net

Is it any wonder that Dulberg lives in constant fear of being double-crossed yet again? You know your client has been repeatedly gaslighted and abused by members of your own profession.

It should be obvious to anyone reading our website why we want to keep written records and why we insist on keeping accurate and detailed timelines. Please provide us with some detailed record of your interactions with the Supreme Court clerk so that we have written evidence of what the clerk asked for.

I'm sure you are honest, but any observant person can see that there is the possibility that you too could be playing some type of 'hoax' on Dulberg right now. The Supreme Court petition was due on January 8. It is now January 13 and the last few text messages you sent appear to make no sense.

You really should consider that you are dealing with a person who was dragged through the mud by 5 consecutive law firms. You know that because you have the evidence. I need a written record of what is happening right now. Please provide me what I ask or give a detailed, legally coherent reason why you won't.

On Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 2:58 PM Alphonse Talarico <contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com> wrote:

How could he write an outline if he was truly a confused non author?

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 13, 2024, at 9:22 AM, Alphonse Talarico <contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com> wrote:

I don't know what was said between you and Mr. Dulberg, nor was I privy to any verbal nor assured that all written communications that I was coppied on.

I have never asked for, nor have I ever been copied, revealed whatever you two talk about.

The clear point is weeks ago I asked that you draft the history section only because as I said to mMr.Dulberg, this work is already done as part of the ARDC and Judicial Complaints and if created chronologically, the task would be review and strip off the dates with the already accompanying text explanations.

As i have not taken a day off in months and I have been available and respondent 24/7 I will now take the next three days for my medical and personal concerns and will continue working on all Dulberg/Kost matters which I presume you know that litigating is an ongoing process with many due dates.

I don't know what more you are asking but anything more will have to wait untill have time from my Dulberg/Kost schedule and my personal time as the availability 24/7 will have to be adjusted to a non-slave schedule.

Finally, I have noted that I asked 2x about affirmation of payment of \$15,000.00 as agreed and alll court costs I have advanced plus the Veritext most recent invoice without response and taken in conjunction with Mr. Dulberg's false accusations that I should do my work, plus the constant barrage of how much he pays me keeps me aware of the ongoing legal relationship.

I already consider my overall treatment as less than how the Baudins, Popovich, Mast, Meyer, Berg, Hutchinson, Gouch, Clinton, Williams, Clerk of Court, Court Reporters etc. are treated.

To clarify, I am a professional and if I am not treated nor paid like a professional, I will continue to give my best effort until my withdraw is allowed by all courts my Appearances have been enter on for Dulberg/Kost.

If unpaid and fired I will stop working as an attorney who has been fired.

With all that said, have a nice holiday weekend as I intend to do the same and I will visit my family in the western suburbs for a belated Thanksgiving, Christmas gathering.

Sincerly,

Alphonse A. Talarico, Esq.

From: T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 13, 2024 8:10 AM

**To:** Alphonse Talarico <contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com> **Subject:** Fwd: Petition for Leave to Appeal to the Illinois Supreme Court.

------ Forwarded message ------From: **T Kost** <tkost999@gmail.com>
Date: Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 8:09 AM

Subject: Re: Petition for Leave to Appeal to the Illinois Supreme Court.

To: Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net>

Thanks but that is not what I am looking for.

These are some of my reference links for the current discussions:

I created a complete record of our work product used in drafting the Supreme Court petition from our email exchanges: http://www.fraudonthecourt.net/exhibits/Group%20Exhibit% 2052\_Supreme%20Court%20petition%20preparation%20and%20work%20product/

I created a timeline of our exchanges while drafting the Supreme Court petition: linked at the end of http://www.fraudonthecourt.net/exhibits/Group%20Exhibit% 2049\_Dulberg's%20discovery%20and%20efforts%20to%20notify% 20Judges%20of%20Clinton-Gooch-Popovich%20fraud%20on%20court/

Mr Talerico, if you look at the work product and timeline records i provided, you can see you already sent this document and I hve already seen the document many times.

This is what I need from you:

I need some kind of detailed record of what the clerk said to you and what you said to the clerk. Do you have notes or anything in writing that I can see? I cannot find any clear set of directions that you provided to Paul Dulberg which he could follow in any of the communication linked above.

If you do not keep any notes of your many telephone exchanges with the clerk that you can provide us, this means we are completely dependent on your memory of what the clerk told you. Obviously we need those memories written down and sent to us so we can comply with the instructions of the clerk. Could you please write down everything that you remember from your telephone exchanges with the clerk so we can have this information, too?

**Thanks** 

Please provide as soon as possible so I can see the clerk's instructions written out in detail.

On Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 7:52 AM T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> wrote:

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Alphonse Talarico <contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com>

Date: Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 7:10 AM

Subject: Petition for Leave to Appeal to the Illinois Supreme Court.

To: T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>

Sir,

Please see the attached Illinois Supreme Court Rule.

Thank you, Alphonse A. Talarico, Esq.