Date: 10/5/2022 10:50:47 AM

From: "Paul Dulberg"
To: "Alphonse Talarico"
BCc: "Paul Dulberg"
Subject: Fwd: Mast dep

Begin forwarded message:

From: Paul Dulberg <pdulberg@comcast.net>

Subject: Re: Mast dep

Date: June 26, 2020 at 12:59:33 PM CDT

To: The Clinton Law Firm < juliawilliams@clintonlaw.net>

Cc: Ed Clinton <<u>ed@clintonlaw.net</u>>, Mary Winch <<u>marywinch@clintonlaw.net</u>>

Hi Julia,

I was sending those because the more I thought about the things he said the hotter I got.

I finally walked away and took the night to cool down, in fact I'm walking away from it all till Monday.

I don't think its a good idea for me to comment anymore on the deep until we see it in writing

Thank you, Paul

On Jun 25, 2020, at 4:02 PM, Julia WIlliams < juliawilliams@clintonlaw.net > wrote:

Dear Paul,

Thank you for your feedback related to the deposition today. As most of your questions appear to be rhetorical, I am not actually going to address each one, instead, I will reiterate what we discussed. If you do have specific action items/items of discussion, please compile them in a single email and we can set up a time to discuss them.

As I stated in our phone call after, Mast was not testifying as to any independent recollection of the events. He stated several times that he did not recall the individual conversations and testified very generally about the advice provided throughout the representation. He stated that

he did not independently recall any of the documents presented—only that he recognized his signature and that he did either create them or cause them to be created. Meaning that he was not disputing the authenticity of the document or email, but could not expound on it. Essentially, we have the documents, but very little first hand testimony from Mast about the case.

Again, I will regroup with Ed Clinton, Jr. and we will reach out with next steps.

Best Regards,

Julia Williams
Of Counsel
The Clinton Law Firm
111 W. Washington, Ste. 1437
Chicago, IL 60602
P:312.357.1515
F: 312.201.0737
juliawilliams@clintonlaw.net

This message may be privileged and confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete the email and notify the sender immediately.

On Jun 25, 2020, at 2:27 PM, Paul Dulberg <pdulberg@comcast.net> wrote:

Why did mast feel it was appropriate to not send me the depositions as soon as he got them?

Every attorney I had since including yourself sends me the depositions as soon as they are available

I actually had to ask for the depositions 3/4 of a year after they were taken because Mast wanted me to make settlement decisions before knowing what the other parties testified to

What kind of attorney doesn't want their client to see what the other side or his own dr's testified to before making decisions?

A bad attorney is my answer

On Jun 25, 2020, at 2:21 PM, Paul Dulberg <pdulberg@comcast.net> wrote:

Also, Mast seemed to hang a lot on one dr whom only seen me twice for less than 10 minutes each visit and was not specialized in dystonia while ignoring the testimonies of 2 dr's who specialize in the area and 2 more dr's who actually performed the surgeries

I want to know why he would take the word of one unfavorable dr over 4 favorable treating dr's who specialized in what they testified about

On Jun 25, 2020, at 2:07 PM, Paul Dulberg <pdulberg@comcast.net> wrote:

I would also like to see any proof that the McGuires attorney drafted or filed a motion for summary judgement

Would this require subpoening and possibly deposing McGuires attorney to see if there was any actual intent or threat of filing such a motion and what grounds they were using to threaten to file the motion?

Paul

On Jun 25, 2020, at 1:56 PM, Paul Dulberg <pdulberg@comcast. net> wrote:

Is it possible to subpoena the phone records of Mast, the law odffice of Tom Popovich and myself from the phone companies?

I want to show there was no communication between us just prior to the October 22 \$7500 offer. I don't believe there was any communication for a few weeks prior to that offer

Paul

On Jun 25, 2020, at 1:40 PM, Paul Dulberg <pdulber g@comc ast.net> wrote:

May I introduce a few cases in my past where I did actually testify in front of a judge and was found to be very credible?

It would take a trip

to the county clerks office and a bit of digging to find the auto accident cases and other stuff

I was not deposed in those cases but I did testify in court

Paul

Ο

n

J

u

n

2

5

,

2

0

2

0

,

a

t

1

.

3 2 P M P a u l D u l b e r < p
d
u
l
b
e
r
g
@
c

a s t . . n e t . . w r o

o m c

t e :

W h y

d i d

n ,

t

w e

d i

g

i

n

t

o

W

h

a t

m

a

S

t

b

e 1 i

e v

e

S

m

a

k

e s

a

--

g

o

o d

w i

t

n

e

S

s ?

Н

e

0

p

e

n e

d

t

h

e

d

o

0

r

a

S

a

n

e

X

p e

r

W

h

e

n

h

e

p

u

t

m e

o

n

a

S

c

a

1

e

o f

1

t

o

1 0

I

W

a

n

t

m

a

S

t

i

n

f

r

0

n t

o f

a

j u

r

y

A

n d

I

W

a

n

t

a n

e x

p e

t o

t e

S

t

i f y

O k

I

n

e e d

t

o

c

o

o 1

d

o W

n

T h

a n k y o u f o r t o d a y P a u ; l

O n

J u n

2 5 ,

2 0 2 ,

a t

: 0 7 P M P a u l D u l b e r g < p d u l b e r g @ c o m c a s t n e t >

> w r

o t e

 $_{h}^{W} \\$ y

i S

t h e r e

n 0

a \mathbf{c} t

u

a 1

 \mathbf{c} o

n t

a \mathbf{c}

t

b

e

t W

e

e

n

t

h e S u p p o s e d i n d e p e n d e n t c o n t r a c t o r (G a g n o n) a n

d t h e h o m e o W n e r / c h a i n s a w o W n e r / (M c G u i e s) ?

o

u

 \mathbf{c}

a n

n

o t

c 1

a

i

m

S

o

m e

o

n e

i

S

a

n

i

n d

e

p e

n d

e

n

t

c

o

n

t

r

a

c t

o

r

a f

t

e

r

t h

e

f

a

 \mathbf{c} t

u

n 1

e

S S

t

h

e

r e

i S

S

o

m

e

p r o

o f

h

e

W

a S

a

n

i

n d

e

p e

n d

e n t

 \mathbf{c}

o n

t

r

a

c t

o r

b

e f

o r

e

t

h e

f a c t

> S i n c e

w h e n

d o o i n d e p e n d e n

b

r i

n g

n

o

t o

0

1 S

o f

t h

e i r

o

W

n

a

n

d

o

n 1

y

u

S

e

t

h

e

t o

o 1 s

o f

t

h

e

p e

r

S

o

n

W h

o

 \mathbf{c}

o n

t

r a

 \mathbf{c}

t

e d

t h

e

m ?

A

S

S u

m i

n g

t h

e

r e

i s

a

c

o n

t

r

a

c t

T h

e

o

n 1

y

t

o

o 1

g a

g n

o n

p

r o

v i

d e d

W

a S

h i

S

o

W

n

b

o d

y

a

S

1

a b

0

r

f

o

r

t h e

M

c G u

i r e S

t h a

ţ,

S

W h a

t

e m

p l o

y e e

S

b r i

n

g

t o

t h e

j o b

n o t

i n d

e p e

n d

e

n t

c

o

n t

r

a c

t o

r

S

O k

I

c

a

n

g o

o n

a n

d

o

n

h

e

r e

I

w i 1

W

a i

t

t

o

h e

a r

f

r

o m

y o

u

a

n d

g e

t

t h

e

t r

a

n

S

c r i

p t

S

P

a

u 1