

**Date : 11/17/2022 4:50:46 AM**

**From : "Paul Dulberg"**

**To : "Alphonse Talarico"**

**BCc : "Paul Dulberg" , "Tom Kost"**

**Subject : Dulberg Subpoena Work Product Privilege 1-13 Redacted.pdf vs ...not redacted.pdf**

**Attachment : Dulberg Subpoena Work Product Privilege 1-13 Redacted.pdf; Dulberg Subpoena Work Product Privilege 1-13 not redacted.pdf;**

Dulberg Subpoena Work Product Privilege 1-13 Redacted.pdf (Attached)

Vs

Dulberg Subpoena Work Product Privilege 1-13 not redacted.pdf (Attached)

Total pages: 13

In the "redacted" version there are 9 pages with redactions listed below:  
1-3, 8-13

All 9 pages show the email header with a date and are outside the scope of the subpoena.

All 9 pages have proper redactions per the scope of the subpoena.

On this one again, Flynn has everything in the "redacted" version and any issues he has with the 8 pages that do show redactions is not an overburden on the Judge to decide if the redacted copy is within the scope of the subpoena, particularly since the date makes it obvious it non of the redacted copy is within the scope of the subpoena.