

Date : 6/1/2023 10:07:41 AM
From : "Paul Dulberg"
To : "Alphonse Talarico"
BCc : "Paul Dulberg" , "Tom Kost"
Subject : Questions of fact and new defendants

from our phone conversation...

The legal question becomes:

Did Baudins lie to Olsen about Dulberg agreeing to the proposal or did Olsen lie to the BK Judge? Was Olsen misled by the Baudins or did he intentionally lie to the BK Judge?

Were they both lying or was one misled by the other?

This is a QUESTION OF FACT which the current judge cannot decide. It is not a QUESTION OF LAW for the judge to determine on his own.

On this same note:

Trustee Heeg was dismissed on 11/2/2016 after "the imposter" Trustee Olsen filed and had the Bk judge sign the order on 10/31/2016.

There is no Bk Order allowing for co trustees to exist.

This now names Heeg as a defendant for failure to maintain control over her responsibilities to the estate during her time as trustee.

This now names the clerk of the Bk Court for allowing "the imposter" Trustee Olsen to file a motion in a case when there is already a trustee (Heeg).

This now names Judge Lynch for not recognizing that he had "the imposter" Trustee Olsen before him on 10/31/2016 two days before he dismissed Trustee Heeg on 11/2/2016.

Who errored and who is pulling the strings are all Questions of Fact that Circuit Judge Otto cannot decide for himself in a motion to dismiss.