



T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>

ADR Amended Complaint

25 messages


T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>Wed, Jun 14, 2023 at 3:48 PM

To: Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net>

This version is edited and updated with the latest 'Olsen Appeal' information (including Kujawa).

Let's get this corrected and sent to Alphonse also (since the logic of both documents should fit together). This is obviously the same copy-paste arguments we will use in the Baudin Answer.

attached

 Draft ADR Systems Amended Complaint .pdf

143K

Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net>Thu, Jun 15, 2023 at 9:16 AM

To: Tom Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>

Corrections to the ADR Amended Complaint (Draft ADR Systems Amended Complaint copy.pdf) and Olsen appeal (2023-06-14\_Draft of Olsen Appeal prepared by client copy.pdf) - see attached .txt file


I am sending changes as I go. This is the first correction and it applies to both.

On Jun 14, 2023, at 3:48 PM, T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> wrote:

This version is edited and updated with the latest 'Olsen Appeal' information (including Kujawa).

Let's get this corrected and sent to Alphonse also (since the logic of both documents should fit together). This is obviously the same copy-paste arguments we will use in the Baudin Answer.

attached  
<Draft ADR Systems Amended Complaint .pdf>

 corrections to the ADR Amended Complaint and Olsen appeal.txt

3K

T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>Thu, Jun 15, 2023 at 9:27 AM


To: Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net>

Corrections added. Updated version of ADR Amended Complaint attached. Is this ready to send out to Mr Talerico?

On Thu, Jun 15, 2023 at 9:17 AM Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net> wrote:  
Corrections to the ADR Amended Complaint (Draft ADR Systems Amended Complaint copy.pdf) and Olsen appeal (2023-06-14\_Draft of Olsen Appeal prepared by client copy.pdf) - see attached .txt file

I am sending changes as I go. This is the first correction and it applies to both.

[Quoted text hidden]  
[Quoted text hidden]  
<Draft ADR Systems Amended Complaint .pdf>

 Draft ADR Systems Amended Complaint .pdf

143K

Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net>Thu, Jun 15, 2023 at 9:28 PM


To: Tom Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>


You wanted it done quick, here it is in.txt form. I did not finish marking up the PDF you provided with pretty highlights and marked changes and the .txt file has had the nomenclatures removed since the were out of sequence in the PDF you provided.


This was done fast so pay close attention for obvious errors.


> On Jun 14, 2023, at 3:48 PM, T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> wrote:  
>  
> This version is edited and updated with the latest 'Olsen Appeal' information (including Kujawa).  
>  
> Let's get this corrected and sent to Alphonse also (since the logic of both documents should fit together). This is obviously the same copy-paste arguments we will use in the Baudin Answer.  
>  
> attached  
> <Draft ADR Systems Amended Complaint .pdf>


17 attachments


 **corrections to the ADR Amended Complaint.txt**  
41K


 **Subpoena Joseph Olsen served October 24, 2019.pdf**  
177K


 **Fwd Re Paul Dulberg 1483578 12LA178-2.pdf**  
190K


 **2022-09-26\_1633 PM\_Fwd REQUEST FOR TRANSCRIPTREPORT OF PROCEEDING BK No 1483578.pdf**  
95K


 **DULBERG 10-31-16.pdf**  
136K


 **PAULINV.pdf**  
81K


 **2022-10-28\_0752 AM\_RE 20221026Scan of Signed Authority to Release ADR System File 33391BMAGpdf.pdf**  
101K


 **2022\_10\_25\_10\_34\_55.pdf**  
670K


 **CC-Civil - 12LA000178 - 2\_24\_2022 - - - REOP - -.pdf**  
119K


 **Filed Complaint December 8 2022 DisplayFromAWS.pdf**  
1644K


 **CC-Civil - 12LA000178 - 3\_3\_2022 - - - REOP - - (4).pdf**  
56K


 **12LA000178--2016-08-10--ORD\_0097.pdf**  
74K

 **2016-04-04\_Dr Kujawa Letter to Kelly Baudin\_Dulberg 000003-Dulberg 000004.pdf**  
183K

 **2017-04-20\_SSA-Notice of Decision — Fully Favorable.pdf**  
2372K

 **CC-Civil - 12LA000178 - 3\_2\_2022 - - - REOP - - (3).pdf**  
162K

 **12LA000178--2015-11-06--APE\_0083.pdf**  
35K

 **12LA000178--2016-12-12--ORD\_0098.pdf**  
69K

---


**Paul Dulberg** <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net>  
To: Tom Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>

Fri, Jun 16, 2023 at 9:22 AM

See attached for a date correction

> On Jun 15, 2023, at 9:28 PM, Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net> wrote:  
>  
> You wanted it done quick, here it is in .txt form. I did not finish marking up the PDF you provided with pretty highlights and marked changes and the .txt file has had the nomenclatures removed since the were out of sequence in the PDF you provided.  
>  
> This was done fast so pay close attention for obvious errors.  
>  
> <corrections to the ADR Amended Complaint.txt><Subpoena Joseph Olsen served October 24, 2019.pdf><Fwd Re Paul Dulberg 1483578 12LA178-2.pdf><2022-09-26\_1633 PM\_Fwd REQUEST FOR TRANSCRIPTREPORT OF PROCEEDING BK No 1483578.pdf><DULBERG 10-31-16.pdf><PAULINV.pdf><2022-10-28\_0752 AM\_RE 20221026Scan of Signed Authority to Release ADR System File 33391BMAGpdf.pdf><2022\_10\_25\_10\_34\_55.pdf><CC-Civil - 12LA000178 - 2\_24\_2022 - - - REOP - -.pdf><Filed Complaint December 8 2022 DisplayFromAWS.pdf><CC-Civil - 12LA000178 - 3\_3\_2022 - - - REOP - - (4).pdf><12LA000178--2016-08-10--ORD\_0097.pdf><2016-04-04\_Dr Kujawa Letter to Kelly Baudin\_Dulberg 000003-Dulberg 000004.pdf><2017-04-20\_SSA-Notice of Decision — Fully Favorable.pdf><CC-Civil - 12LA000178 - 3\_2\_2022 - - - REOP - - (3).pdf><12LA000178--2015-11-06--APE\_0083.pdf><12LA000178--2016-12-12--ORD\_0098.pdf>  
>  
>> On Jun 14, 2023, at 3:48 PM, T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> wrote:  
>>  
>> This version is edited and updated with the latest 'Olsen Appeal' information (including Kujawa).  
>>  
>> Let's get this corrected and sent to Alphonse also (since the logic of both documents should fit together). This is obviously the same copy-paste arguments we will use in the Baudin Answer.  
>>  
>> attached  
>> <Draft ADR Systems Amended Complaint .pdf>  
>

---

 **one more correction.txt**  
1K

---

**Paul Dulberg** <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net>  
To: Tom Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>

Fri, Jun 16, 2023 at 9:23 AM

Also please change all instances of P2A to 2A

> On Jun 16, 2023, at 9:22 AM, Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net> wrote:  
>  
> See attached for a date correction  
> <one more correction.txt>  
>  
>> On Jun 15, 2023, at 9:28 PM, Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net> wrote:  
>>  
>> You wanted it done quick, here it is in .txt form. I did not finish marking up the PDF you provided with pretty highlights and marked changes and the .txt file has had the nomenclatures removed since the were out of sequence in the PDF you provided.  
>>  
>> This was done fast so pay close attention for obvious errors.

>>  
>> <corrections to the ADR Amended Complaint.txt><Subpoena Joseph Olsen served October 24, 2019.pdf><Fwd Re Paul Dulberg 1483578 12LA178-2.pdf><2022-09-26\_1633 PM\_Fwd REQUEST FOR TRANSCRIPTREPORT OF PROCEEDING BK No 1483578.pdf><DULBERG 10-31-16.pdf><PAULINV.pdf><2022-10-28\_0752 AM\_RE 20221026Scan of Signed Authority to Release ADR System File 33391BMAGpdf.pdf><2022\_10\_25\_10\_34\_55.pdf><CC-Civil - 12LA000178 - 2\_24\_2022 - - - REOP - -.pdf><Filed Complaint December 8 2022 DisplayFromAWS.pdf><CC-Civil - 12LA000178 - 3\_3\_2022 - - - REOP - - (4).pdf><12LA000178--2016-08-10--ORD\_0097.pdf><2016-04-04\_Dr Kujawa Letter to Kelly Baudin\_Dulberg 000003-Dulberg 000004.pdf><2017-04-20\_SSA-Notice of Decision — Fully Favorable.pdf><CC-Civil - 12LA000178 - 3\_2\_2022 - - - REOP - - (3).pdf><12LA000178--2015-11-06--APE\_0083.pdf><12LA000178--2016-12-12--ORD\_0098.pdf>  
>>  
>>> On Jun 14, 2023, at 3:48 PM, T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> wrote:  
>>>  
[Quoted text hidden]  
[Quoted text hidden]

T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>

Fri, Jun 16, 2023 at 12:53 PM

To: Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net>

why did you not tell me which paragraphs the edits belong to? Bullet lists are useless to me because there is no information about where the edit should be inserted.  
[Quoted text hidden]

Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net>

Fri, Jun 16, 2023 at 2:28 PM

To: Tom Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>

After doing exhaustive searches on the facts and finding the best exhibits I was tired and falling asleep and needed to get it done and skipped finding out how many numbers were screwed up so I used bullet points.  
  
Today I learned the issue I ran into last night.  
The nomenclature is screwed up in the PDF, there were 2 number 19's  
  
The numbers in the attached .txt file are from your PDF but will need to be renumbered anyway.

On Jun 16, 2023, at 12:53 PM, T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> wrote:  
  
why did you not tell me which paragraphs the edits belong to? Bullet lists are useless to me because there is no information about where the edit should be inserted.  
  
On Fri, Jun 16, 2023 at 9:23 AM Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net> wrote:  
Also please change all instances of P2A to 2A  

> On Jun 16, 2023, at 9:22 AM, Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net> wrote:  
>  
> See attached for a date correction  
> <one more correction.txt>  
>  
>> On Jun 15, 2023, at 9:28 PM, Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net> wrote:  
>>  
>> You wanted it done quick, here it is in.txt form. I did not finish marking up the PDF you provided with pretty highlights and marked changes and the .txt file has had the nomenclatures removed since the were out of sequence in the PDF you provided.  
>>  
>> This was done fast so pay close attention for obvious errors.  
>>  
>> <corrections to the ADR Amended Complaint.txt><Subpoena Joseph Olsen served October 24, 2019.pdf><Fwd Re Paul Dulberg 1483578 12LA178-2.pdf><2022-09-26\_1633 PM\_Fwd REQUEST FOR TRANSCRIPTREPORT OF PROCEEDING BK No 1483578.pdf><DULBERG 10-31-16.pdf><PAULINV.pdf><2022-10-28\_0752 AM\_RE 20221026Scan of Signed Authority to Release ADR System File 33391BMAGpdf.pdf><2022\_10\_25\_10\_34\_55.pdf><CC-Civil - 12LA000178 - 2\_24\_2022 - - - REOP - -.pdf><Filed Complaint December 8 2022 DisplayFromAWS.pdf><CC-Civil - 12LA000178 - 3\_3\_2022 - - - REOP - - (4).pdf><12LA000178--2016-08-10--ORD\_0097.pdf><2016-04-04\_Dr Kujawa Letter to Kelly Baudin\_Dulberg 000003-Dulberg 000004.pdf><2017-04-20\_SSA-Notice of Decision — Fully Favorable.pdf><CC-Civil - 12LA000178 - 3\_2\_2022 - - - REOP - - (3).pdf><12LA000178--2015-11-06--APE\_0083.pdf><12LA000178--2016-12-12--ORD\_0098.pdf>  
>>  
>>> On Jun 14, 2023, at 3:48 PM, T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> wrote:  
>>>  
>>> This version is edited and updated with the latest 'Olsen Appeal' information (including Kujawa).  
>>>  
>>> Let's get this corrected and sent to Alphonse also (since the logic of both documents should fit together). This is obviously the same copy-paste arguments we will use in the Baudin Answer.  
>>>  
>>> attached  
>>> <Draft ADR Systems Amended Complaint .pdf>  
>>  
>

corrections to the ADR Amended Complaint copy2.txt

41K

T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>

Sat, Jun 17, 2023 at 9:04 AM

To: Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net>

corrections added. attached  
  
[Quoted text hidden]  
[Quoted text hidden]  
[Quoted text hidden]

Draft ADR Systems Amended Complaint .pdf

153K

Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net>

Sun, Jun 18, 2023 at 12:09 PM

To: Tom Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>

3 of 15

3/12/2024, 4:33 PM

Tom,

please change the parts that cite statutes in the attached .txt file.  
I noticed this is my second time having to send the changes to the statutes.  
My point in doing this is to show only the relevant parts of the statute and it makes sense since your already quoting shortened statutes in some instances but not all relevant portions of the statute..  
  
Paul

On Jun 17, 2023, at 9:04 AM, T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> wrote:

corrections added. attached

On Fri, Jun 16, 2023 at 2:28 PM Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net> wrote:

After doing exhaustive searches on the facts and finding the best exhibits I was tired and falling asleep and needed to get it done and skipped finding out how many numbers were screwed up so I used bullet points.

Today I learned the issue I ran into last night.  
The nomenclature is screwed up in the PDF, there were 2 number 19's

The numbers in the attached .txt file are from your PDF but will need to be renumbered anyway.


On Jun 16, 2023, at 12:53 PM, T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> wrote:

why did you not tell me which paragraphs the edits belong to? Bullet lists are useless to me because there is no information about where the edit should be inserted.

On Fri, Jun 16, 2023 at 9:23 AM Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net> wrote:  
Also please change all instances of P2A to 2A

> On Jun 16, 2023, at 9:22 AM, Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net> wrote:  
>  
> See attached for a date correction  
> <one more correction.txt>  
>  
>> On Jun 15, 2023, at 9:28 PM, Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net> wrote:  
>>  
>> You wanted it done quick, here it is in .txt form. I did not finish marking up the PDF you provided with pretty highlights and marked changes and the .txt file has had the nomenclatures removed since the were out of sequence in the PDF you provided.  
>>  
>> This was done fast so pay close attention for obvious errors.  
>>  
>> <corrections to the ADR Amended Complaint.txt><Subpoena Joseph Olsen served October 24, 2019.pdf><Fwd Re Paul Dulberg 1483578 12LA178-2.pdf><2022-09-26\_1633 PM\_Fwd REQUEST FOR TRANSCRIPTREPORT OF PROCEEDING BK No 1483578.pdf><DULBERG 10-31-16.pdf><PAULINV.pdf><2022-10-28\_0752 AM\_RE 20221026Scan of Signed Authority to Release ADR System File 33391BMAGpdf.pdf><2022\_10\_25\_10\_34\_55.pdf><CC-Civil - 12LA000178 - 2\_24\_2022 - - - REOP - -.pdf><Filed Complaint December 8 2022 DisplayFromAWS.pdf><CC-Civil - 12LA000178 - 3\_3\_2022 - - - REOP - - (4).pdf><12LA000178--2016-08-10--ORD\_0097.pdf><2016-04-04\_Dr Kujawa Letter to Kelly Baudin\_Dulberg 000003-Dulberg 000004.pdf><2017-04-20\_SSA-Notice of Decision -- Fully Favorable.pdf><CC-Civil - 12LA000178 - 3\_2\_2022 - - - REOP - - (3).pdf><12LA000178--2015-11-06--APE\_0083.pdf><12LA000178--2016-12-12--ORD\_0098.pdf>  
>>  
>>> On Jun 14, 2023, at 3:48 PM, T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> wrote:  
>>>  
>>> This version is edited and updated with the latest 'Olsen Appeal' information (including Kujawa).  
>>>  
>>> Let's get this corrected and sent to Alphonse also (since the logic of both documents should fit together). This is obviously the same copy-paste arguments we will use in the Baudin Answer.  
>>>  
>>> attached  
>>> <Draft ADR Systems Amended Complaint .pdf>  
>>  
>

<Draft ADR Systems Amended Complaint .pdf>


 corrections to the ADR Amended Complaint copy3 copy.txt  
25K

T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>  
To: Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net>

Sun, Jun 18, 2023 at 2:16 PM

updated attached

[Quoted text hidden]  
[Quoted text hidden]  
[Quoted text hidden]

 Draft ADR Systems Amended Complaint .pdf  
139K

T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>  
To: Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net>

Sun, Jun 18, 2023 at 2:23 PM

This is a .docx file (microsoft) and not an .odt file (libreoffice)  
[Quoted text hidden]

 Draft ADR Systems Amended Complaint .docx

30K

Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net>  
To: Tom Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>

Sun, Jun 18, 2023 at 8:38 PM

NOT sure why you sent me a docx file.  
Had to completely rebuild and reformat it to use.  
Id look ar age PDF attached and steal the text from the .txt attached.  
I included a export to .docx if that's what you want to use now.

On Jun 18, 2023, at 2:23 PM, T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> wrote:

This is a .docx file (microsoft) and not an .odt file (libreoffice)

On Sun, Jun 18, 2023 at 2:16 PM T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> wrote:  
updated attached

On Sun, Jun 18, 2023 at 12:09 PM Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net> wrote:  
Tom,  
  
please change the parts that cite statutes in the attached .txt file.  
I noticed this is my second time having to send the changes to the statutes.  
My point in doing this is to show only the relevant parts of the statute and it makes sense since your already quoting shortened statutes in some instances but not all relevant portions of the statute..  
  
Paul

On Jun 17, 2023, at 9:04 AM, T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> wrote:

corrections added. attached

On Fri, Jun 16, 2023 at 2:28 PM Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net> wrote:  
After doing exhaustive searches on the facts and finding the best exhibits I was tired and falling asleep and needed to get it done and skipped finding out how many numbers were screwed up so I used bullet points.  
  
Today I learned the issue I ran into last night.  
The nomenclature is screwed up in the PDF, there were 2 number 19's  
  
The numbers in the attached .txt file are from your PDF but will need to be renumbered anyway.

On Jun 16, 2023, at 12:53 PM, T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> wrote:

why did you not tell me which paragraphs the edits belong to? Bullet lists are useless to me because there is no information about where the edit should be inserted.

On Fri, Jun 16, 2023 at 9:23 AM Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net> wrote:  
Also please change all instances of P2A to 2A  
  
> On Jun 16, 2023, at 9:22 AM, Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net> wrote:  
>  
> See attached for a date correction  
> <one more correction.txt>  
>  
>> On Jun 15, 2023, at 9:28 PM, Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net> wrote:  
>>  
>> You wanted it done quick, here it is in.txt form. I did not finish marking up the PDF you provided with pretty highlights and marked changes and the .txt file has had the nomenclatures removed since the were out of sequence in the PDF you provided.  
>>  
>> This was done fast so pay close attention for obvious errors.  
>>  
>> <corrections to the ADR Amended Complaint.txt><Subpoena Joseph Olsen served October 24, 2019.pdf><Fwd Re Paul Dulberg 1483578 12LA178-2.pdf><2022-09-26\_1633 PM\_Fwd REQUEST FOR TRANSCRIPTREPORT OF PROCEEDING BK No 1483578.pdf><DULBERG 10-31-16.pdf><PAULINV.pdf><2022-10-28\_0752 AM\_RE 20221026Scan of Signed Authority to Release ADR System File 33391BMAGpdf.pdf><2022\_10\_25\_10\_34\_55.pdf><CC-Civil - 12LA000178 - 2\_24\_2022 - - - REOP - -.pdf><Filed Complaint December 8 2022 DisplayFromAWS.pdf><CC-Civil - 12LA000178 - 3\_3\_2022 - - - REOP - - (4).pdf><12LA000178--2016-08-10--ORD\_0097.pdf><2016-04-04\_Dr Kujawa Letter to Kelly Baudin\_Dulberg 000003-Dulberg 000004.pdf><2017-04-20\_SSA-Notice of Decision --- Fully Favorable.pdf><CC-Civil - 12LA000178 - 3\_2\_2022 - - - REOP - - (3).pdf><12LA000178--2015-11-06--APE\_0083.pdf><12LA000178--2016-12-12--ORD\_0098.pdf>  
>>  
>>> On Jun 14, 2023, at 3:48 PM, T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> wrote:  
>>>  
>>> This version is edited and updated with the latest 'Olsen Appeal' information (including Kujawa).  
>>>  
>>> Let's get this corrected and sent to Alphonse also (since the logic of both documents should fit together). This is obviously the same copy-paste arguments we will use in the Baudin Answer.  
>>>  
>>> attached  
>>> <Draft ADR Systems Amended Complaint .pdf>  
>>  
>

<Draft ADR Systems Amended Complaint .pdf>

<Draft ADR Systems Amended Complaint .docx>

3 attachments

 **Draft ADR Systems Amended Complaint 4.txt**  
44K

 **Draft ADR Systems Amended Complaint 4.docx**  
51K

 **Draft ADR Systems Amended Complaint 4.pdf**  
676K

**Paul Dulberg** <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net>  
To: Tom Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>

Sun, Jun 18, 2023 at 8:40 PM

Forgot to add the new exhibits for number 14, here they are

On Jun 18, 2023, at 8:38 PM, Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net> wrote:

NOT sure why you sent me a docx file.  
Had to completely rebuild and reformat it to use.  
Id look ar age PDF attached and steal the text from the .txt attached.  
I included a export to .docx if that's what you want to use now.  
<Draft ADR Systems Amended Complaint 4.txt>  
<Draft ADR Systems Amended Complaint 4.docx>  
<Draft ADR Systems Amended Complaint 4.pdf>

On Jun 18, 2023, at 2:23 PM, T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> wrote:

This is a .docx file (microsoft) and not an .odt file (libreoffice)

On Sun, Jun 18, 2023 at 2:16 PM T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> wrote:  
updated attached

On Sun, Jun 18, 2023 at 12:09 PM Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net> wrote:  
Tom,  
  
please change the parts that cite statutes in the attached .txt file.  
I noticed this is my second time having to send the changes to the statutes.  
My point in doing this is to show only the relevant parts of the statute and it makes sense since your already quoting shortened statutes in some instances but not all relevant portions of the statute..  
  
Paul

On Jun 17, 2023, at 9:04 AM, T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> wrote:

corrections added. attached

On Fri, Jun 16, 2023 at 2:28 PM Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net> wrote:  
After doing exhaustive searches on the facts and finding the best exhibits I was tired and falling asleep and needed to get it done and skipped finding out how many numbers were screwed up so I used bullet points.  
  
Today I learned the issue I ran into last night.  
The nomenclature is screwed up in the PDF, there were 2 number 19's  
  
The numbers in the attached .txt file are from your PDF but will need to be renumbered anyway.

On Jun 16, 2023, at 12:53 PM, T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> wrote:

why did you not tell me which paragraphs the edits belong to? Bullet lists are useless to me because there is no information about where the edit should be inserted.

On Fri, Jun 16, 2023 at 9:23 AM Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net> wrote:  
Also please change all instances of P2A to 2A

> On Jun 16, 2023, at 9:22 AM, Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net> wrote:  
>  
> See attached for a date correction  
> <one more correction.txt>  
>  
>> On Jun 15, 2023, at 9:28 PM, Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net> wrote:  
>>  
>> You wanted it done quick, here it is in.txt form. I did not finish marking up the PDF you provided with pretty highlights and marked changes and the .txt file has had the nomenclatures removed since the were out of sequence in the PDF you provided.  
>>  
>> This was done fast so pay close attention for obvious errors.  
>>  
>> <corrections to the ADR Amended Complaint.txt><Subpoena Joseph Olsen served October 24, 2019.pdf><Fwd Re Paul Dulberg 1483578 12LA178-2.pdf><2022-09-26\_1633 PM\_Fwd REQUEST FOR TRANSCRIPTREPORT OF PROCEEDING BK No 1483578.pdf><DULBERG 10-31-16.pdf><PAULINV.pdf><2022-10-28\_0752 AM\_RE 20221026Scan of Signed Authority to Release ADR System File 33391BMAgpdf.pdf><2022\_10\_25\_10\_34\_55.pdf><CC-Civil - 12LA000178 - 2\_24\_2022 - -- REOP - -.pdf><Filed Complaint December 8 2022 DisplayFromAWS.pdf><CC-Civil - 12LA000178 - 3\_3\_2022 - -- REOP - - (4).pdf><12LA000178--2016-08-10--ORD\_0097.pdf><2016-04-04\_Dr Kujawa Letter to Kelly Baudin\_Dulberg 000003-Dulberg 000004.pdf><2017-04-20\_SSA-Notice of Decision --- Fully Favorable.pdf><CC-Civil - 12LA000178 - 3\_2\_2022 - -- REOP - - (3).pdf><12LA000178--2015-11-06--APE\_0083.pdf><12LA000178--2016-12-12--ORD\_0098.pdf>

>>  
>>> On Jun 14, 2023, at 3:48 PM, T Kost <[tkost999@gmail.com](mailto:tkost999@gmail.com)> wrote:  
>>>  
>>> This version is edited and updated with the latest 'Olsen Appeal' information (including Kujawa).  
>>>  
>>> Let's get this corrected and sent to Alphonse also (since the logic of both documents should fit together). This is obviously the same copy-paste arguments we will use in the Baudin Answer.  
>>>  
>>> attached  
>>> <Draft ADR Systems Amended Complaint .pdf>  
>>  
>

<Draft ADR Systems Amended Complaint .pdf>

<Draft ADR Systems Amended Complaint .docx>

---

5 attachments



**RetainerAgreement1of4.jpg**  
751K



**RetainerAgreement2of4.jpg**  
717K



**RetainerAgreement3of4.jpg**  
477K



**RetainerAgreement4of4.jpg**  
519K



**17LA000377--2017-11-28--COA\_0002.pdf**  
608K

---

**T Kost** <[tkost999@gmail.com](mailto:tkost999@gmail.com)>  
To: Paul Dulberg <[Paul\\_Dulberg@comcast.net](mailto:Paul_Dulberg@comcast.net)>

Mon, Jun 19, 2023 at 7:18 AM

I cannot edit this. Is every paragraph supposed to be replaced on the 4th edit? Not a single paragraph remains the same?

On Sun, Jun 18, 2023 at 8:40 PM Paul Dulberg <[Paul\\_Dulberg@comcast.net](mailto:Paul_Dulberg@comcast.net)> wrote:  
Forgot to add the new exhibits for number 14, here they are

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

<Draft ADR Systems Amended Complaint 4.txt>  
<Draft ADR Systems Amended Complaint 4.docx>  
<Draft ADR Systems Amended Complaint 4.pdf>

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

<Draft ADR Systems Amended Complaint .docx>

---

**Paul Dulberg** <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net>  
To: Tom Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>

Mon, Jun 19, 2023 at 7:27 AM

Did you import the docx?

I am currently creating a txt file with double spaces but I don't think I can match what you have in office Libre but it should make copy/pasting easier.  
Correction, I am stopping trying to match what I don't have.

Why don't you just send me the .odt and a pdf of what you sent as a docx at 2:23 PM yesterday so I can match what you have and I will make the changes and send back?

Simple, No headaches that way.

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**Paul Dulberg** <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net>  
To: Tom Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>

Mon, Jun 19, 2023 at 9:01 AM

Why don't I see the same docx file you sent on 6/18 at 2:23 pm as a PDF and ODT in my inbox?

Are you refusing to give me the data in the same format as you did on the the previous edits?

Did you purposely send the docx rather than a PDF to create an issue? - seeing as how you called with some BS about me sending you the DOCX then turning it around about why I didn't contact you about it then saying "continue, rock on" when I told you I had to rebuild it from scratch and today the bitching over the 3 different attachments I sent to you from the rebuild. I'm thinking this whole argument is an engineered set up just to argue.

WHY ARE YOU MAKING THE CORRECTIONS DIFFICULT AND NOT ALLOWING ME TO FIX IT SO IT'S EASIER FOR YOU?

I CANNOT GIVE YOU THE EDITS IN THE SAME FORMAT AS PREVIOUS EDITS IF YOU SEND IT BACK TO ME IN A DIFFERENT FORMAT THAN THE PREVIOUS ONES.

I WILL WAIT FOR YOU TO DECIDE WHEN I GET TO FIX IT CAUSE I CANT UNLESS I GET IT THE SAME WAY YOU PREVIOUSLY SENT IT.

HOW HARD IS THAT TO UNDERSTAND?

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**T Kost** <tkost999@gmail.com>  
To: Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net>

Mon, Jun 19, 2023 at 9:17 AM

Obviously we do not want to keep living like this, so....

This is a good time to establish a single document that gives RULES of how to edit and we will follow the same rules each time.

RULE #1: In the future I will use a simple text editor like notepad and Libreoffice with only .odt files (I refuse to use anything associated with 'microsoft' or 'word' ever again).

RULE #2: Paul will have his own office software and when Paul wants a particular format he can format the document himself and send it out as a pdf. Nobody should have to format for Paul. He has his own software and can format for himself. Do not ever send out a giant wall of text for somebody else to format for you.

RULE #3: IF anyone sends me a microsoft word document again I will immediately convert it to .odt and I will refuse to ever work with anything with microsoft word formatting again.

RULE #4: The first edit is the most complex. The second edit may be complex but certainly should be simpler than the first. The third edit should be much simpler and any 4th edit should be only certain details.

Any time edits become more complex it is a sign that something is WRONG.

You can add your own rules but we need to use a consistent system.

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**Paul Dulberg** <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net>  
To: Tom Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>

Mon, Jun 19, 2023 at 9:38 AM

The way I see it:

1. you could easily use the previous edits before #4.
2. You are correct, Microsoft is the issue, Microsoft office is a nightmarish program where if you don't pay them you can only view the document. FYI - the importing of the docx into libreoffice may work but its changing the original Microsoft Office formatting only you don't notice it till you open the same document side by side in both programs.
3. Why not send me the PDF from libreoffice of the same docx file you sent yesterday so I can stop this incessant banter and provide you with the 5th round of correction in the format you're used to?
4. I don't know why we don't just go back to using unformatted .TXT files. We have been doing it for years with no issues. Then after all changes are done and it is good it can be formatted into something that is double line spaced, tabs and only one space after periods with modern typesetting standards rather than the 1950's standards of setting type on a typewriter.

I liked the unformatted .TXT files - no issues - final formatting after all editing is done cause the final formatting is only for presentation purposes and has nothing to do with the editing of the content.

What do you want/ require so we can just get to the important stuff and stop the meaningless banter?

[Quoted text hidden]



T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>  
To: Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net>

Mon, Jun 19, 2023 at 10:33 AM

I prefer all text files between us until the final edit. The final edit could be where the formatting enters.

Also, you can be involved with the final formatting as easily as me. I have no advantage using Libreoffice and .odt files that you do not have using the Adobe package.

Third, it would be nice if we had an edit log so we can see our edit history in a simple way without having a giant wall of text. It is nice if we can easily identify past edits so we know if we are wasting our time (going in circles)


[Quoted text hidden]

T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>  
To: Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net>

Mon, Jun 19, 2023 at 1:21 PM

Attached is the latest pdf of the last version of ADR I sent you. It does not have any changes included from the last edited text file you sent me.

[Quoted text hidden]

 **Draft ADR Systems Amended Complaint .pdf**  
139K

Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net>  
To: Tom Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>

Tue, Jun 20, 2023 at 9:29 AM

Many of these have just a word or two that changes but I provided the complete numbered point so you can just copy and replace the whole point.

On Jun 19, 2023, at 1:21 PM, T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> wrote:

Attached is the latest pdf of the last version of ADR I sent you. It does not have any changes included from the last edited text file you sent me.

On Mon, Jun 19, 2023 at 10:33 AM T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> wrote:

I prefer all text files between us until the final edit. The final edit could be where the formatting enters.

Also, you can be involved with the final formatting as easily as me. I have no advantage using Libreoffice and .odt files that you do not have using the Adobe package.

Third, it would be nice if we had an edit log so we can see our edit history in a simple way without having a giant wall of text. It is nice if we can easily identify past edits so we know if we are wasting our time (going in circles)

On Mon, Jun 19, 2023 at 9:38 AM Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net> wrote:

The way I see it:

1. you could easily use the previous edits before #4.
2. You are correct, Microsoft is the issue, Microsoft office is a nightmarish program where if you don't pay them you can only view the document. FYI - the importing of the docx into libreoffice may work but its changing the original Microsoft Office formatting only you don't notice it till you open the same document side by side in both programs.
3. Why not send me the PDF from libreoffice of the same docx file you sent yesterday so I can stop this incessant banter and provide you with the 5th round of correction in the format you're used to?
4. I don't know why we don't just go back to using unformatted .TXT files. We have been doing it for years with no issues. Then after all changes are done and it is good it can be formatted into something that is double line spaced, tabs and only one space after periods with modern typesetting standards rather than the 1950's standards of setting type on a typewriter.

I liked the unformatted .TXT files - no issues - final formatting after all editing is done cause the final formatting is only for presentation purposes and has nothing to do with the editing of the content.

What do you want/ require so we can just get to the important stuff and stop the meaningless banter?

On Jun 19, 2023, at 9:17 AM, T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> wrote:

Obviously we do not want to keep living like this, so...

This is a good time to establish a single document that gives RULES of how to edit and we will follow the same rules each time.

- RULE #1: In the future I will use a simple text editor like notepad and Libreoffice with only .odt files (I refuse to use anything associated with 'microsoft' or 'word' ever again).
- RULE #2: Paul will have his own office software and when Paul wants a particular format he can format the document himself and send it out as a pdf. Nobody should have to format for Paul. He has his own software and can format for himself. Do not ever send out a giant wall of text for somebody else to format for you.
- RULE #3: IF anyone sends me a microsoft word document again I will immediately convert it to .odt and I will refuse to ever work with anything with microsoft word formatting again.
- RULE #4: The first edit is the most complex. The second edit may be complex but certainly should be simpler than the first. The third edit should be much simpler and any 4th edit should be only certain details.
- Any time edits become more complex it is a sign that something is WRONG.
- You can add your own rules but we need to use a consistent system.

On Mon, Jun 19, 2023 at 9:01 AM Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net> wrote:

Why don't I see the same docx file you sent on 6/18 at 2:23 pm as a PDF and ODT in my inbox?

Are you refusing to give me the data in the same format as you did on the the previous edits?

Did you purposely send the docx rather than a PDF to create an issue? - seeing as how you called with some BS about me sending you the DOCX then turning it around about why I didn't contact you about it then saying "continue, rock on" when I told you I had to rebuild it from scratch and today the bitching over the 3 different attachments I sent to you from the rebuild. I'm thinking this whole argument is an engineered set up just to argue.

WHY ARE YOU MAKING THE CORRECTIONS DIFFICULT AND NOT ALLOWING ME TO FIX IT SO IT'S EASIER FOR YOU?

I CANNOT GIVE YOU THE EDITS IN THE SAME FORMAT AS PREVIOUS EDITS IF YOU SEND IT BACK TO ME IN A DIFFERENT FORMAT THAN THE PREVIOUS ONES.

I WILL WAIT FOR YOU TO DECIDE WHEN I GET TO FIX IT CAUSE I CANT UNLESS I GET IT THE SAME WAY YOU PREVIOUSLY SENT IT.

HOW HARD IS THAT TO UNDERSTAND?

On Jun 19, 2023, at 7:27 AM, Paul Dulberg <[Paul\\_Dulberg@comcast.net](mailto:Paul_Dulberg@comcast.net)> wrote:

Did you import the docx?

I am currently creating a txt file with double spaces but I don't think I can match what you have in office Libre but it should make copy/pasting easier.

Correction, I am stopping trying to match what I don't have.

Why don't you just send me the .odt and a pdf of what you sent as a docx at 2:23 PM yesterday so I can match what you have and I will make the changes and send back?

Simple, No headaches that way.

On Jun 19, 2023, at 7:18 AM, T Kost <[tkost999@gmail.com](mailto:tkost999@gmail.com)> wrote:

I cannot edit this. Is every paragraph supposed to be replaced on the 4th edit? Not a single paragraph remains the same?

On Sun, Jun 18, 2023 at 8:40 PM Paul Dulberg <[Paul\\_Dulberg@comcast.net](mailto:Paul_Dulberg@comcast.net)> wrote:  
Forgot to add the new exhibits for number 14, here they are

On Jun 18, 2023, at 8:38 PM, Paul Dulberg <[Paul\\_Dulberg@comcast.net](mailto:Paul_Dulberg@comcast.net)> wrote:

NOT sure why you sent me a docx file.  
Had to completely rebuild and reformat it to use.  
I'd look at age PDF attached and steal the text from the .txt attached.  
I included an export to .docx if that's what you want to use now.  
<Draft ADR Systems Amended Complaint 4.txt>  
<Draft ADR Systems Amended Complaint 4.docx>  
<Draft ADR Systems Amended Complaint 4.pdf>

On Jun 18, 2023, at 2:23 PM, T Kost <[tkost999@gmail.com](mailto:tkost999@gmail.com)> wrote:

This is a .docx file (microsoft) and not an .odt file (libreoffice)

On Sun, Jun 18, 2023 at 2:16 PM T Kost <[tkost999@gmail.com](mailto:tkost999@gmail.com)> wrote:  
updated attached

On Sun, Jun 18, 2023 at 12:09 PM Paul Dulberg <[Paul\\_Dulberg@comcast.net](mailto:Paul_Dulberg@comcast.net)> wrote:  
Tom,

please change the parts that cite statutes in the attached .txt file.  
I noticed this is my second time having to send the changes to the statutes.  
My point in doing this is to show only the relevant parts of the statute and it makes sense since you already quoting shortened statutes in some instances but not all relevant portions of the statute..

Paul

On Jun 17, 2023, at 9:04 AM, T Kost <[tkost999@gmail.com](mailto:tkost999@gmail.com)> wrote:

corrections added. attached

On Fri, Jun 16, 2023 at 2:28 PM Paul Dulberg <[Paul\\_Dulberg@comcast.net](mailto:Paul_Dulberg@comcast.net)> wrote:  
After doing exhaustive searches on the facts and finding the best exhibits I was tired and falling asleep and needed to get it done and skipped finding out how many numbers were screwed up so I used bullet points.

Today I learned the issue I ran into last night.  
The nomenclature is screwed up in the PDF, there were 2 number 19's

The numbers in the attached .txt file are from your PDF but will need to be renumbered anyway.

On Jun 16, 2023, at 12:53 PM, T Kost <[tkost999@gmail.com](mailto:tkost999@gmail.com)> wrote:

why did you not tell me which paragraphs the edits belong to? Bullet lists are useless to me because there is no information about where the edit should be inserted.

On Fri, Jun 16, 2023 at 9:23 AM Paul Dulberg

<Draft ADR Systems Amended Complaint .pdf>

RetainerAgreement2of4.jpg  
717K



**RetainerAgreement3of4.jpg**  
477K



**RetainerAgreement4of4.jpg**  
519K



**Draft ADR Systems Amended Complaint 5.txt**  
17K



**17LA000377--2017-11-28--COA\_0002.pdf**  
608K

**T Kost** <tkost999@gmail.com>  
To: Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net>

Tue, Jun 20, 2023 at 10:37 AM

updated ADR amended complaint attached

On Tue, Jun 20, 2023 at 9:29 AM Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net> wrote:

Many of these have just a word or two that changes but I provided the complete numbered point so you can just copy and replace the whole point.

[Quoted text hidden]



**Draft ADR Systems Amended Complaint .pdf**  
142K

**Paul Dulberg** <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net>  
To: Tom Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>

Tue, Jun 20, 2023 at 11:07 AM

Approved.

Please Send this to alphonse and CC me in on the email

[Quoted text hidden]

**Paul Dulberg** <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net>  
To: Tom Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>

Tue, Jun 20, 2023 at 2:25 PM

This is the one I approved this morning

On Jun 20, 2023, at 11:07 AM, Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net> wrote:

Approved.

Please Send this to alphonse and CC me in on the email

On Jun 20, 2023, at 10:37 AM, T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> wrote:

updated ADR amended complaint attached

On Tue, Jun 20, 2023 at 9:29 AM Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net> wrote:

Many of these have just a word or two that changes but I provided the complete numbered point so you can just copy and replace the whole point.

On Jun 19, 2023, at 1:21 PM, T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> wrote:

Attached is the latest pdf of the last version of ADR I sent you. It does not have any changes included from the last edited text file you sent me.

On Mon, Jun 19, 2023 at 10:33 AM T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> wrote:

I prefer all text files between us until the final edit. The final edit could be where the formatting enters.

Also, you can be involved with the final formatting as easily as me. I have no advantage using Libreoffice and .odt files that you do not have using the Adobe package.

Third, it would be nice if we had an edit log so we can see our edit history in a simple way without having a giant wall of text. It is nice if we can easily identify past edits so we know if we are wasting our time (going in circles)

On Mon, Jun 19, 2023 at 9:38 AM Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net> wrote:

The way I see it:

1. you could easily use the previous edits before #4.
2. You are correct, Microsoft is the issue, Microsoft office is a nightmarish program where if you don't pay them you can only view the document. FYI - the importing of the docx into libreoffice may work but its changing the original Microsoft Office formatting only you don't notice it till you open the same document side by side in both programs.
3. Why not send me the PDF from libreoffice of the same docx file you sent yesterday so I can stop this incessant banter and provide you with the 5th round of correction in the format you're used to?
4. I don't know why we don't just go back to using unformatted .TXT files. We have been doing it for years with no issues. Then after all changes are done and it is good it can be formatted into something that is double line spaced, tabs and only one space after periods with modern typesetting standards rather than the 1950's standards of setting type on a typewriter.

I liked the unformatted .TXT files - no issues - final formatting after all editing is done cause the final formatting is only for presentation purposes and has nothing to do with the editing of the content.

What do you want/ require so we can just get to the important stuff and stop the meaningless banter?

On Jun 19, 2023, at 9:17 AM, T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> wrote:

Obviously we do not want to keep living like this, so....

This is a good time to establish a single document that gives RULES of how to edit and we will follow the same rules each time.

RULE #1: In the future I will use a simple text editor like notepad and Libreoffice with only .odt files (I refuse to use anything associated with 'microsoft' or 'word' ever again).

RULE #2: Paul will have his own office software and when Paul wants a particular format he can format the document himself and send it out as a pdf. Nobody should have to format for Paul. He has his own software and can format for himself. Do not ever send out a giant wall of text for somebody else to format for you.

RULE #3: IF anyone sends me a microsoft word document again I will immediately convert it to .odt and I will refuse to ever work with anything with microsoft word formatting again.

RULE #4: The first edit is the most complex. The second edit may be complex but certainly should be simpler than the first. The third edit should be much simpler and any 4th edit should be only certain details.

Any time edits become more complex it is a sign that something is WRONG.

You can add your own rules but we need to use a consistent system.

On Mon, Jun 19, 2023 at 9:01 AM Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net> wrote:

Why don't I see the same docx file you sent on 6/18 at 2:23 pm as a PDF and ODT in my inbox?

Are you refusing to give me the data in the same format as you did on the the previous edits?

Did you purposely send the docx rather than a PDF to create an issue? - seeing as how you called with some BS about me sending you the DOCX then turning it around about why I didn't contact you about it then saying "continue, rock on" when I told you I had to rebuild it from scratch and today the bitching over the 3 different attachments I sent to you from the rebuild. I'm thinking this whole argument is an engineered set up just to argue.

WHY ARE YOU MAKING THE CORRECTIONS DIFFICULT AND NOT ALLOWING ME TO FIX IT SO IT'S EASIER FOR YOU?

I CANNOT GIVE YOU THE EDITS IN THE SAME FORMAT AS PREVIOUS EDITS IF YOU SEND IT BACK TO ME IN A DIFFERENT FORMAT THAN THE PREVIOUS ONES.

I WILL AWAIT FOR YOU TO DECIDE WHEN I GET TO FIX IT CAUSE I CANT UNLESS I GET IT THE SAME WAY YOU PREVIOUSLY SENT IT.

HOW HARD IS THAT TO UNDERSTAND?

On Jun 19, 2023, at 7:27 AM, Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net> wrote:

Did you import the docx?

I am currently creating a txt file with double spaces but I don't think I can match what you have in office Libre but iy should make copy/pasting easier.  
Correction, I am stopping trying to match what I don't have.

Why don't you just send me the .odt and a pdf of what you sent as a docx at 2:23 PM yesterday so I can match what you have and I will make the changes and send back?

Simple, No headaches that way.

On Jun 19, 2023, at 7:18 AM, T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com> wrote:

I cannot edit this. Is every paragraph supposed to be replaced on the 4th edit? Not a single paragraph remains the same?

On Sun, Jun 18, 2023 at 8:40 PM Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net> wrote:

Forgot to add the new exhibits for number 14, here they are

On Jun 18, 2023, at 8:38 PM, Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net> wrote:

NOT sure why you sent me a docx file.  
Had to completely rebuild and reformat it to use.

Id look ar age PDF attached and steal the text from the .txt attached.  
I included a export to .docx if that's what you want to use now.  
<Draft ADR Systems Amended Complaint 4.txt>  
<Draft ADR Systems Amended Complaint 4.docx>  
<Draft ADR Systems Amended Complaint 4.pdf>

On Jun 18, 2023, at 2:23 PM, T Kost <[tkost999@gmail.com](mailto:tkost999@gmail.com)> wrote:

This is a .docx file (microsoft) and not an .odt file (libreoffice)

On Sun, Jun 18, 2023 at 2:16 PM T Kost <[tkost999@gmail.com](mailto:tkost999@gmail.com)> wrote:  
updated attached

On Sun, Jun 18, 2023 at 12:09 PM Paul Dulberg  
<[Paul\\_Dulberg@comcast.net](mailto:Paul_Dulberg@comcast.net)> wrote:

Tom,

please change the parts that cite statutes in the attached .txt file.  
I noticed this is my second time having to send the changes to the statutes.

My point in doing this is to show only the relevant parts of the statute and it makes sense since your already quoting shortened statutes in some instances but not all relevant portions of the statute..

Paul

On Jun 17, 2023, at 9:04 AM, T Kost  
<[tkost999@gmail.com](mailto:tkost999@gmail.com)> wrote:

corrections added. attached

On Fri, Jun 16, 2023 at 2:28 PM Paul Dulberg  
<[Paul\\_Dulberg@comcast.net](mailto:Paul_Dulberg@comcast.net)> wrote:

After doing exhaustive searches on the facts and finding the best exhibits I was tired and falling asleep and needed to get it done and skipped finding out how many numbers were screwed up so I used bullet points.

Today I learned the issue I ran into last night.  
The nomenclature is screwed up in the PDF, there were 2 number 19's

The numbers in the attached .txt file are from your PDF but will need to be renumbered anyway.

On Jun 16, 2023, at 12:53 PM, T Kost  
<[tkost999@gmail.com](mailto:tkost999@gmail.com)> wrote:

why did you not tell me which paragraphs the edits belong to? Bullet lists are useless to me because there is no information about where the edit should be inserted.

On Fri, Jun 16, 2023 at 9:23 AM Paul Dulberg

<[Paul\\_Dulberg@comcast.net](mailto:Paul_Dulberg@comcast.net)> wrote:  
Also please change all instances of P2A to 2A

> On Jun 16, 2023, at 9:22 AM,  
Paul Dulberg

<[Paul\\_Dulberg@comcast.net](mailto:Paul_Dulberg@comcast.net)>  
wrote:

>

> See attached for a date correction  
> <one more correction.txt>

>

>> On Jun 15, 2023, at 9:28 PM,  
Paul Dulberg

<[Paul\\_Dulberg@comcast.net](mailto:Paul_Dulberg@comcast.net)>  
wrote:

>>

>> You wanted it done quick, here it is in .txt form. I did not finish marking up the PDF you provided with pretty highlights and marked changes and the .txt file has had the nomenclatures removed since the were out of sequence in the PDF you provided.

>>

>> This was done fast so pay close attention for obvious errors.

>>

>> <corrections to the ADR Amended Complaint.txt><Subpoena Joseph Olsen served October 24, 2019.pdf><Fwd Re Paul Dulberg 1483578 12LA178-2.pdf><2022-09-26\_1633 PM\_Fwd REQUEST FOR

TRANSCRIPTREPORT OF  
PROCEEDING BK No  
1483578.pdf><DULBERG  
10-31-16.pdf><PAULINV.pdf><  
2022-10-28\_0752 AM\_RE  
20221026Scan of Signed Authority  
to Release ADR System File  
33391BMAGpdf.pdf><2022\_10\_25\_  
10\_34\_55.pdf><CC-Civil -  
12LA000178 - 2\_24\_2022 - - -  
REOP - -.pdf><Filed Complaint  
December 8 2022  
DisplayFromAWS.pdf><CC-Civil -  
12LA000178 - 3\_3\_2022 - - -  
REOP - -  
(4).pdf><12LA000178--2016-08-  
10--ORD\_0097.pdf><2016-04-04\_  
Dr Kujawa Letter to Kelly  
Baudin\_Dulberg 000003-Dulberg  
000004.pdf><2017-04-20\_SSA-  
Notice of Decision — Fully  
Favorable.pdf><CC-Civil -  
12LA000178 - 3\_2\_2022 - - -  
REOP - -  
(3).pdf><12LA000178--2015-11-06--  
APE\_0083.pdf><12LA000178--  
2016-12-12--ORD\_0098.pdf>  
>>  
>>> On Jun 14, 2023, at 3:48 PM, T  
Kost <[tkost999@gmail.com](mailto:tkost999@gmail.com)> wrote:  
>>>  
>>> This version is edited and  
updated with the latest 'Olsen  
Appeal' information (including  
Kujawa).  
>>>  
>>> Let's get this corrected and  
sent to Alphonse also (since the  
logic of both documents should fit  
together). This is obviously the  
same copy-paste arguments we will  
use in the Baudin Answer.  
>>>  
>>> attached  
>>> <Draft ADR Systems Amended  
Complaint .pdf>  
>>  
>


<Draft ADR Systems Amended Complaint .pdf>

<Draft ADR Systems Amended Complaint .docx>

<Draft ADR Systems Amended Complaint .pdf>

<Draft ADR Systems Amended Complaint .pdf>

---

 **Draft ADR Systems Amended Complaint .pdf**  
142K