

**Date : 8/15/2023 3:48:20 PM**

**From : "Paul Dulberg"**

**To : "Alphonse Talarico"**

**Cc : "Tom Kost"**

**BCc : "Paul Dulberg"**

**Subject : Gagnon exhibit 1 - omni**

**Attachment : Gagnon Exhibit 1-All copies-marked up.pdf; ATT00002.txt; Gagnon Exhibit 1-All copies-NOT marked up.pdf; ATT00004.txt;**

Dear Mr Talarico,

I tried to send this as a reply to your email but it got stuck in my outbox so I created this new email.

I thought we sent Gagnon exhibit 1 to OMNI but from your response is it safe to assume we did not send it to OMNI?

In a very quick search I am showing that I created a folder named "Sent to OMNI Document Experts" earlier than March 24, 2022. On March 8, 2022 I created the folder "Sent to OMNI Document Experts" with 2 files named "Gagnon Exhibit 1-All copies-marked up.pdf" and "Gagnon Exhibit 1-All copies-NOT marked up.pdf". Both files are attached.

I haven't looked at the email discussions yet but if my memory isn't failing me, I collected all of the copies of gagnon exhibit 1 in the file "Gagnon Exhibit 1-All copies-NOT marked up.pdf" to send to OMNI and I marked up a copy named "Gagnon Exhibit 1-All copies-marked up.pdf" with the issues we found and I wanted to give to omni as well.

Are the issues we found with Gagnon exhibit 1, in its various forms, so obvious that we don't need OMNI to opine on them before using them as an exhibit in the Popovich ARDC Complaint?

Please advise.

Thanks,  
Paul