	Page 1
1	
	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS
2	COLINERY DEDADEMENTS TALL DIVITORON
3	COUNTY DEPARTMENT - LAW DIVISION
4	
	PAUL R. DULBERG and THE PAUL )
5	R. DULBERG REVOCABLE TRUST, )
	)
6	Plaintiff, )
	)
7	vs. ) No. 2022 L 010905
	)
8	KELLY N. BAUDIN, et al, )
	)
9	Defendants. )
10	
11	Zoom video conference of the Hearing
12 13	held before the HONORABLE MICHAEL F. OTTO,
13 14	Courtroom 1407, taken pursuant to notice, commencing at 11:15 a.m., Thursday, September 21, 2023.
15	at 11.13 a.m., marbady, peptember 21, 2023.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	Reported by: Connie L. James, CSR
23	License No. 084.002510
24	

	Page 3
1	INDEX
2	
3	HEARING ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT:
4	
5	Arguments:
6	By Ms. TinajeroPg. 5
	By Mr. TalaricoPg. 7
7	By Ms. TinajeroPg. 14
	By Mr. TalaricoPg. 16
8	
9	Court's Ruling on the Motion
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

THE COURT: Good morning. Would everyone please identify themselves for the record?

MR. TALARICO: Good morning, Your Honor.

Alphonse Talarico. I represent the Plaintiffs.

MS. TINAJERO: Good morning, Judge Otto. My name is Michelle Tinajero. I represent Allstate Property and Casualty Insurance Company.

THE COURT: Thank you.

2.2

Mr. is it Mr. Jochum?

MR. JOCHUM: Jochum, Your Honor. Sorry.

THE COURT: All right. Good morning.

MR. JOCHUM: Jason Jochum representing what we refer to as the Olsen Defendants, Joseph Olsen, Craig Willette and Raphael Yalden. They were dismissed earlier, but I'm just observing because we're still on appeal.

THE COURT: Thank you. I see we are also joined by Mr. Dulberg, individually, as well as Thomas Kost, K O S T, who is identified in his Zoom window as, the quote, full trustee of Paul R. Dulberg Revocable Trust, unquote.

We're up today for hearing on Allstate's

Motion For Summary Judgment, which has been fully

briefed. Anything to discuss before we move forward

- 1 | with that hearing?
- Mr. Talarico for the Plaintiff?
- 3 MR. TALARICO: Judge, no, nothing.
- THE COURT: Ms. Tinajero, ready?
- 5 MS. TINAJERO: Yes, Your Honor.
- 6 THE COURT: Okay. You'll have the first and last
- 7 word.
- I will say for the record I have read the

  briefs, I have, of course, reviewed the exhibits as

  well as the authority that the parties have cited. So

  no within should feel that they need to read their

  brief to me, but I will, of course, give both sides an

  opportunity to be heard.
- Ms. Tinajero, it's your motion, you'll have the first and last word.
- MS. TINAJERO: Thank you, Your Honor. I will keep it brief this morning since you have gone through the briefs.
- 19 ARGUMENT BY
- 20 MS. TINAJERO:
- 21 The arguments set forth in our Motion For 22 Summary Judgment are very straight forward. You know, 23 as to the unsigned agreement, the position Plaintiffs 24 have taken that a party is bound by and, therefore, can

breach an unsigned, undated agreement is a legally flawed position. Simply put, Allstate cannot be bound by an unsigned agreement.

As to the binding mediation agreement, which is the only executed valid agreement between the parties, which is undisputed, to the extent terms were modified and/or admitted between the unsigned agreement and the executed binding mediation agreement, it is not alleged Allstate does not maintain that it played any role in drafting anything about the mediation agreement. And, importantly, such amendments were made before the binding mediation was executed.

Additionally, the monetary parameters set forth in the agreement remains the same in both the unsigned agreement and the executed binding mediation agreement.

The damage that Dulberg identifies in the complaint, you know, in an amount in excess of \$261,000 do not flow from any breach complained of as against Allstate. And it's our position that there are no set of facts under which Dulberg can prove a breach of an unsigned agreement or the executed binding mediation agreement.

2.

2.2

Further, Dulberg executed a release, which is attached to our Motion For Summary Judgment. The terms of the release are clear, certain, free from doubt and Dulberg admits to signing the release in his reply to Allstate's affirmative defenses. That's all I have, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Tinajero.

Mr. Talarico?

ARGUMENT BY

2.2

MR. TALARICO:

I think I would like to start in reverse order. I would like to address, Judge, the release.

Mr. Dulberg -- I filed on behalf of
Mr. Dulberg, he signed a counter-affidavit, which was
sent to the Court and I believe it's actually been
delivered personally to your courtroom today. It was
filed yesterday. Mr. Dulberg had been sick for a few
days and he couldn't cooperate or give me any of his
time, but I filed it yesterday and it's in opposite to
the release, the reasons why the release is not
effective. His reasoning is that he was forced to do
this against his will.

In his counter-affidavit he indicated, and I think copied transcripts of e-mails that he received

from his own attorney forcing -- telling him that if he didn't sign the release, the Judge would hold him in contempt and he would be jailed, different than the general terms of contract by signing a release, which is a contract, under those terms cannot be enforced against the person that's being threatened with jail, and/or being held in contempt. Plus, that particular release makes no sense, Judge.

2.

2.2

If you really think about all of the things they talk about in their motion and affidavit, especially the affidavit.

I would like to bring up the fact that the statement, the affiant says the money was paid and then the releases were sent out to be signed. Judge, I would ask you to take judicial notice that insurance companies do not pay first and then send out releases.

Furthermore, since this matter was already adjudicated and an order was entered by the arbitration judge, there was no need for a release; that Allstate had paid, according to their own affidavit, and now they're trying to enforce and ask for and force Mr. Dulberg to sign a release. I think that brings up a considerable amount of questionable contrary facts just on its own, Judge.

what I'm saying and see that summary judgment is so inappropriate, that's just one of hundreds of things that we are at different ends of the spectrum on. And I think that's all been supplied to Your Honor, but, most importantly, this release issue, it is contrary to the logic that the money was paid first and released. In my practice, Judge, but I've never settled with an insurance company that would pay first and then ask for a release. That's all I have to say today, Judge. Thank you.

2.2

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Talarico, the only argument you want to make today is a brand new argument based on, I believe, duress that did not appear anywhere in your response brief. It is based exclusively on an affidavit that was filed yesterday and hand delivered to the Court minutes before this proceeding began.

That's the only argument you want to make?
You don't want to address anything that Ms. Tinajero
spelled out in the motion or the reply regarding the
enforceability of an unsigned agreement, which is the
entire basis of Count 5? I just want to make sure that
you have a full opportunity to make whatever arguments

Page 10

1 | you wish.

2.1

2.2

- 2 FURTHER ARGUMENT
- 3 BY MR. TALARICO:

First, I would like to get back to the release. The release was filed yesterday, Your Honor. It was filed and it was accepted. I sent it out to Your Honor this morning at about 6:00 a.m. with the attached exhibits and all the other counsel of record. What response I got was please send a hard copy from your support staff. So I had a hard copy delivered to the courtroom today, but this was filed yesterday.

Judge, this was filed pursuant to, and I think it's clear there, Subsection C of the Motion For Summary Judgment. I'm allowed to do that, Your Honor. And I just complied with the statute, 735 5 -- Well, you know, Judge, better than I know. But 105 Subsection C says I can file counter-affidavits up to the time of hearing and I did that.

So I don't think I violated anyone and I tried -- I sent a message to everybody that if anybody objects, they want more time to review, they want more time to respond, we have no objection. And I also -- counsel suggested the reason why Mr. Dulberg is here because if the Court wants to examine him as to the

affidavit, he's more than willing to answer questions of the Court.

2.2

THE COURT: This is not an evidentiary hearing.

MR. TALARICO: You're right, Your Honor. I just made that option.

THE COURT: The other question I asked you, and, again, you focused exclusively on this 11th hour affidavit, which only addresses the effectiveness of the release, I want to make sure that you've had the opportunity to address today, if you choose, because I didn't see anything really in your brief that addresses why the draft agreement attached as Exhibit 6B to the complaint would be enforceable?

MR. TALARICO: Why it wouldn't be enforceable? Is that what you said? I'm sorry.

THE COURT: Why it would be enforceable because that's your claim. Count 5 is for breach of contract.

MR. TALARICO: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: The breach that you claim in

Paragraph 106 is that Defendant breached the contract

by not following the terms regarding amending the

contract, but the only amendments that you cite are the

changes between Exhibit 6B and Exhibit 11. So for

there to possibly be a breach of contract, Exhibit 6B

must have been enforceable, otherwise you can't possibly have a claim for its breach. And Ms. Tinajero on behalf of Allstate has argued in the motion and the reply that because Exhibit B was unsigned it was not enforceable.

2.2

THE COURT: Judge, Exhibit B was the only exhibit permitted by the bankruptcy court. It was presented to the bankruptcy judge as unsigned and the bankruptcy judge, Judge Lynch, said to the trustee "sign the contract and you can go forward." Trustee never signed it. They refused to sign -- They didn't actually refuse to sign it, but he didn't. Then another contract appeared.

One of the things we said, Judge, is that this matter -- this binding mediation agreement had been already presented to the trial court judge maybe 6 months before. So there's all of these issues of what's the valid contract, names are changing from the Defendant being liable, the contract now says a relative of the Dulbergs is liable to Paul, but there's a person, David Dulberg, he exists.

Again, the bankruptcy judge saw an unsigned contract and told and instructed his trustee to sign it.

We have an enforceable contract, I believe.

And I think that the fact that all of these things were done -- and forgive me, Judge, because I don't practice bankruptcy -- but in violation of the stay that had been in effect that whole time. I think that with all of those issues I should be allowed as representative of the Plaintiff to do some discovery upon to see really what the truth of the matter is, we've had allegations back and forth.

2.2

THE COURT: You said that the bankruptcy court directed the trustee to sign the agreement. So what possible relevance can any prior alleged violations of the stay have to do with Allstate's liability under any contract?

MR. TALARICO: Judge, the terms of the contract, we say that the signed contract is a contract. The terms of the contract as accepted and signed by all the parties were -- when I say parties I mean Dulberg denies he signed it, but, anyway, the terms of the contract are sufficient, they say that the breach is that they changed the terms of the contract from the contract they presented to the Court; that the plain language of the contract says if you do this you have to do it, you have to submit hard copies, you have to

- do it in advance, I think it's 2 weeks, you have to get permission by the ADR. None of that was done. And both Baudin and the attorney for Allstate were participants in that. So I believe that's a breach of contract.
  - THE COURT: Mr. Talarico, that assumes that the unsigned contract was enforceable. What factual or legal support do you have for that proposition because I saw not a single case cited in your brief at all?

    And the extensive factual recitation appears completely irrelevant. So what did you point me to that I overlooked in your brief that provides factual or legal support for the proposition that the unsigned contract is enforceable?
  - MR. TALARICO: Judge, I will rest now. I said what I have to say. What I pointed you to was the fact that the only contract empowered was the unsigned one. So I'm going to stay on that and I'm finished talking. Thank you, Judge.
    - THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Talarico.
- 21 Ms. Tinajero, if you wish?
- 22 FURTHER ARGUMENT

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

- 23 BY MS. TINAJERO:
- Judge, just briefly. I will say as to the

counter-affidavit that was filed this morning, or at least a courtesy copy of which we only received this morning, it's Allstate's position it should be stricken.

2.1

2.2

A briefing schedule was entered in this case. Dulberg, Plaintiff's counsel received an extension of time to file his response. But, more importantly, procedural matters aside, all the information contained in the counter-affidavit it doesn't create a genuine issue of material fact as to Allstate's breach of an unsigned agreement:

Any representations that the Baudin

Defendants made or the Olsen Defendants made to Dulberg
in the course of the binding mediation proceedings, any
execution of the binding mediation agreement or in

Dulberg's execution of the release have no bearing on
the specific claim against Allstate here, which is
breach of an unsigned contract. That's all, Your

Honor.

MR. TALARICO: Your Honor, may I respond?

THE COURT: You had a full opportunity to argue,

Mr. Talarico, but in the interest of making a complete

record, what did you wish to say, bearing in mind that

Ms. Tinajero will have the last word?

- 1 FURTHER ARGUMENT
- 2 BY MR. TALARICO:
- 3 Yes. I'm repeating myself but --
- THE COURT: Then why are you taking everybody's
- 5 time if all you're going to do is repeat yourself?
- 6 MR. TALARICO: One sentence, Judge, will be all I
  7 want.
- 8 I'm allowed to do that pursuant to 735 ILCS 9 5/2-1005(c). Thank you, Judge.
- THE COURT: All right. You did, indeed, repeat
  yourself, but I suppose if you wanted to say that a
  second time for the record, fine.
- 13 COURT'S RULING
- 14 ON THE MOTION:

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Plaintiff's counsel is correct, Section 2

  1005 of the Illinois Code of Civil Procedure, 735 ILCS

  5/2-1005, Subparagraph (c), does permit an opposing

  party to, quote, prior to or at the time of the hearing

  on the motion file counter-affidavits, unquote.
- I remain unconvinced of the wisdom of that provision of the Illinois Code of Civil Procedure, but there's nothing unconstitutional about it and judges don't ignore or overlook a statute simply because they can't understand why in the world that would be the

law. So, yes, there is nothing procedurally improper about launching an affidavit at the opposing parties and the Court hours before a hearing on a fully briefed Motion For Summary Judgment. So I have received the affidavit.

2.

2.1

2.2

The affidavit, as I said, essentially seeks to lay ground work for a claim of duress in executing the release. I don't see anything about duress by Allstate and I don't see anything in the affidavit, nor have I heard anything in counsel's argument today, that would suggest that Allstate was not entitled to rely on the release, but the release issue is only one of the arguments that Allstate makes in support of its Motion For Summary Judgment.

Even if I were to find that there were an issue of material fact as to the enforceability of the release, Allstate is entitled to summary judgment on Count 5 of the complaint as pled because the only breach of contract that the Plaintiff alleges is failure to follow, quote, the terms regarding amending the contract. But the only amendments of the contract that the Plaintiff identifies are changes from an unsigned version of the contract to the final signed version of the contract.

The Plaintiff provides no authority to support the proposition that the unsigned contract was binding on Allstate or anyone else. And if the contract, itself, was not binding, definitionally the terms regarding amending the contract, quote, unquote, cannot have been bind. There is no other breach pled. So Allstate ask entitled to summary judgment.

2.2

In addition, even if hypothetically, because I understand Mr. Talarico's point, that the unsigned version of the contract was the version presented to the bankruptcy judge in advance, even if theoretically there might be some potential claim for hoodwinking the bankruptcy judge, or something like that, I don't know what it would be, even if hoodwinking the bankruptcy judge, quote, unquote, were a basis for finding a contract enforceable, even so the breaches that the Plaintiff claims did not cause any injury.

Mr. Talarico notes that there were a few changes and one does appear to have introduced a typographical error in terms of the individual who would be responsible to the Plaintiff, but both contracts, the unsigned and the signed, were identical as far as Allstate's obligation and there is no suggestion, there is not even any suggestion, let alone

an issue of material fact that Allstate paid the full amount that it was obligated to pay under either version of the contract.

2.2

So Allstate is entitled to summary judgment not only on the grounds that there was no breach, but, even if there were a breach, there was no injury.

The motion for summary judgment is granted in its entirety.

And the parties will have to remind me, I don't believe there are any other parties remaining, but, perhaps, I'm overlooking someone.

Mr. Talarico, to your knowledge are there any other party defendants remaining in the case?

MR. TALARICO: Not at this moment, Your Honor. There are no more, you've already released everyone.

THE COURT: Okay. So then today's order will be final and appealable.

Ms. Tinajero, I'll ask you to draft a short written order. It doesn't need to say anything more than that the parties appearing on Allstate's Motion For Summary Judgment, Court having heard argument of both sides, having considered all briefs and all exhibits, including the recent affidavit by Plaintiff Paul Dulberg grants summary judgment for the reasons

```
Page 20
     stated on the record. This is a final and appealable
 1
     order.
 2
                 Thank you, everybody. Have a good day.
 3
 4
     We're in recess.
            MS. TINAJERO: Thank you, Judge.
 5
            MR. TALARICO: Thank you, Your Honor.
 6
          (Whereupon the hearing concluded at 11:40 a.m.)
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

24

&	5	addition 18:8	alleges 17:19
	<b>5</b> 3:6 9:23	additionally	allowed 10:14
<b>&amp;</b> 2:12,13	10:15 11:17	6:13	13:6 16:8
0		address 7:12	allstate 2:8 4:6
<b>010905</b> 1:7	17:18	9:20 11:10	6:2,9,20 8:19
084.002510	<b>5/2-1005</b> 16:9	addresses 11:8	12:3 14:3
1:23 21:3,20	16:17 <b>550</b> 2:14	11:11	15:17 17:9,11
1		adjudicated	17:13,17 18:3
<b>1005</b> 16:16	6	8:18	18:7 19:1,4
<b>105</b> 10:16	<b>6</b> 12:17	admits 7:4	allstate's 4:22
<b>106</b> 11:20	<b>600</b> 2:6	admitted 6:7	7:5 13:13 15:3
<b>11</b> 11:23	<b>60062</b> 2:6	<b>adr</b> 14:2	15:10 18:23
<b>11:15</b> 1:14	<b>60601</b> 2:10	advance 14:1	19:20
<b>11:40</b> 20:7	<b>60661</b> 2:14	18:11	alphonse 2:5,5
<b>11th</b> 11:7	<b>6:00</b> 10:7	affiant 8:13	4:4
<b>14</b> 3:7	<b>6b</b> 11:12,23,24	affidavit 7:14	amending
<b>1407</b> 1:13	7	7:23 8:10,11	11:21 17:20
<b>150</b> 2:10	7 3:6	8:20 9:16 11:1	18:5
<b>16</b> 3:7,9	<b>707</b> 2:6	11:8 15:1,9	amendments
2	<b>735</b> 10:15 16:8	17:2,5,6,9	6:11 11:22
	16:16	19:23	17:21
<b>2</b> 14:1 16:15 <b>2022</b> 1:7	8	affidavits 10:17	amount 6:18
<b>2022</b> 1.7 <b>2023</b> 1:14	<b>808-1410</b> 2:7	16:19	8:23 19:2
21:18	<b>894-3200</b> 2:11	affirmative 7:5	amundsen 2:9
<b>21</b> .18 <b>21</b> .14		agreement 5:23	answer 11:1
25th 21:18	a	6:1,3,4,5,7,8,11	anybody 10:20
<b>261,000</b> 6:18	<b>a.m.</b> 1:14 10:7	6:14,15,16,22	<b>anyway</b> 13:19
<b>27558</b> 21:19	20:7	6:23 9:22	appeal 4:16
	accepted 10:6	11:12 12:15	appealable
3	13:17	13:11 15:11,15	19:17 20:1
<b>300</b> 2:14	<b>action</b> 21:15	<b>al</b> 1:8	appear 9:14
<b>312</b> 2:7,11,15	actually 7:15	allegations	18:19
<b>3300</b> 2:10	12:11	13:9	appeared 12:13
<b>345-1718</b> 2:15	adams 2:14	alleged 6:9	appearing 2:2
		13:12	19:20
	Varitant La		

	1		
appears 14:10	bearing 15:16	<b>bring</b> 8:12	complained
arbitration	15:23	brings 8:22	6:19
8:18	<b>began</b> 9:18	brisbois 2:13	complaint 6:18
<b>argue</b> 15:21	behalf 7:13	c	11:13 17:18
argued 12:3	12:3	<b>c</b> 2:1 10:13,17	complete 15:22
argument 5:19	believe 7:15	16:9,17	completely
7:9 9:13,13,19	9:14 13:1 14:4	case 14:9 15:5	14:10
10:2 14:22	19:10	19:13	complied 10:15
16:1 17:10	<b>better</b> 10:16		concluded 20:7
19:21	<b>bind</b> 18:6	casualty 4:7	conference
arguments 3:5	<b>binding</b> 6:4,8	cause 18:17	1:11 2:2
5:21 9:24	6:12,15,22	certain 7:3	connie 1:22
17:13	12:15 15:14,15	certificate 21:1	21:3,20
aside 15:8	18:3,4	certified 21:4	considerable
asked 11:6	bisgaard 2:13	certify 21:4,13	8:23
assumes 14:6	boulevard 2:6	changed 13:21	considered
attached 7:2	<b>bound</b> 5:24 6:2	changes 11:23	19:22
10:8 11:12	<b>brand</b> 9:13	17:22 18:19	contained 15:8
attorney 8:1	<b>breach</b> 6:1,19	changing 12:18	contemplate
14:3 21:14	6:21 11:17,19	<b>chicago</b> 2:10,14	9:1
authority 5:10	11:24 12:2	choose 11:10	contempt 8:3,7
18:1	13:20 14:4	circuit 1:1	contract 8:4,5
avenue 2:10	15:10,18 17:19	<b>cite</b> 11:22	11:17,20,22,24
	18:6 19:5,6	<b>cited</b> 5:10 14:9	12:10,13,18,19
b	<b>breached</b> 11:20	<b>civil</b> 16:16,21	12:23 13:1,14
<b>b</b> 12:4,6	breaches 18:16	<b>claim</b> 11:17,19	13:15,16,16,17
<b>back</b> 10:4 13:9		12:2 15:17	, , ,
bankruptcy	<b>brief</b> 5:12,17	17:7 18:12	13:20,21,22,23
12:7,8,8,22	9:15 11:11	<b>claims</b> 18:17	14:5,7,13,17
13:4,10 18:11	14:9,12	<b>clear</b> 7:3 10:13	15:18 17:19,21
18:13,14	briefed 4:24	<b>code</b> 16:16,21	17:21,23,24
<b>based</b> 9:14,15	17:3	commencing	18:2,4,5,10,16
<b>basis</b> 9:23	briefing 15:5	1:13	19:3
18:15	briefly 14:24	companies 8:16	contracts 18:22
<b>baudin</b> 1:8	<b>briefs</b> 5:9,18	company 4:7	contrary 8:23
14:3 15:12	19:22	9:9	9:6

Veritext Legal Solutions 888-391-3376

[cook - extent] Page 3

	I		
<b>cook</b> 1:1	craig 4:13	<b>drafting</b> 6:10	entire 9:23
cooperate 7:18	create 15:9	dulberg 1:4,5	entirety 19:8
copied 7:24	csr 1:22 21:3	4:18,20 6:17	entitled 17:11
<b>copies</b> 13:24	21:20	6:21 7:1,4,13	17:17 18:7
<b>copy</b> 10:9,10	d	7:14,17 8:22	19:4
15:2	<b>d</b> 3:1	10:23 12:21	<b>error</b> 18:20
correct 16:15		13:18 15:6,13	especially 8:11
21:11,17	damage 6:17	19:24	essentially 17:6
<b>counsel</b> 10:8,23	dated 21:18	dulberg's 15:16	et 1:8
15:6 16:15	david 12:21	dulbergs 12:20	everybody
counsel's 17:10	davis 2:9	duress 9:14	10:20 20:3
<b>count</b> 9:23	day 20:3 21:18	17:7,8	everybody's
11:17 17:18	days 7:18	e	16:4
<b>counter</b> 7:14,23	declare 21:16		evidentiary
10:17 15:1,9	defendant 2:8	e 2:1,1 3:1 7:24	11:3
16:19	11:20 12:19	earlier 4:15	examine 10:24
<b>county</b> 1:1,2	defendants 1:9	effect 13:5	excess 6:18
course 5:9,12	2:12 4:13	effective 7:21	exclusively
15:14	15:13,13 19:13	effectiveness	9:16 11:7
court 1:1 4:1,8	defenses 7:5	11:8	executed 6:5,8
4:11,17 5:4,6	definitionally	either 19:2	6:12,15,22 7:1
7:7,15 9:12,17	18:4	employee 21:14	executing 17:7
10:24 11:2,3,6	delivered 7:16	empowered	execution execution
11:16,19 12:6	9:17 10:10	14:17	15:15,16
12:7,16 13:10	<b>denies</b> 13:19	<b>ends</b> 9:4	<b>exhibit</b> 11:12
13:10,22 14:6	department 1:2	enforce 8:21	
14:20 15:21	different 8:3	enforceability	11:23,23,24
	9:4	9:22 17:16	12:4,6,6
16:4,10 17:3	directed 13:11	enforceable	<b>exhibits</b> 5:9
19:16,21	discovery 13:7	11:13,14,16	10:8 19:23
<b>court's</b> 3:9	discuss 4:24	12:1,5 13:1	exists 12:21
16:13	dismissed 4:14	14:7,14 18:16	extension 15:6
courtesy 15:2	division 1:2	enforced 8:5	extensive 14:10
<b>courtroom</b> 1:13	doubt 7:3	entered 8:18	extent 6:6
7:16 10:11	<b>draft</b> 11:12	15:5	
	19:18		

[f - james] Page 4

· ·	foregoing 21.6	hoond 5.12	importantly
f	<b>foregoing</b> 21:6	heard 5:13	importantly
<b>f</b> 1:12	21:11,17	17:10 19:21	6:11 9:6 15:7
<b>fact</b> 8:12 13:2	<b>forgive</b> 13:3	hearing 1:11	improper 17:1
14:16 15:10	forth 5:21 6:14	3:3 4:22 5:1	inappropriate
17:16 19:1	13:9 21:7	10:18 11:3	9:3
<b>facts</b> 6:21 8:23	forward 4:24	16:18 17:3	including 19:23
<b>factual</b> 14:7,10	5:22 12:10	20:7 21:9	indicated 7:23
14:12	<b>free</b> 7:3	<b>held</b> 1:12 8:7	individual
failure 17:20	<b>full</b> 4:20 9:24	<b>hold</b> 8:2	18:20
<b>far</b> 18:23	15:21 19:1	<b>honor</b> 4:3,10	individually
<b>feel</b> 5:11	<b>fully</b> 4:23 17:3	5:5,16 7:6 9:5	4:18
<b>file</b> 10:17 15:7	further 7:1	10:5,7,14 11:4	information
16:19	10:2 14:22	15:19,20 19:14	15:8
<b>filed</b> 7:13,17,19	16:1 21:13	20:6	<b>injury</b> 18:17
9:16 10:5,6,11	furthermore	honorable 1:12	19:6
10:12 15:1	8:17	hoodwinking	instructed
<b>final</b> 17:23	g	18:12,14	12:23
19:17 20:1	general 8:4	<b>hope</b> 9:1	insurance 4:7
financially	genuine 15:9	<b>hour</b> 11:7	8:15 9:9
21:15	give 5:12 7:18	<b>hours</b> 17:3	interest 15:22
<b>find</b> 17:15	go 12:10	hundreds 9:3	interested
<b>finding</b> 18:15	going 14:18	hypothetically	21:15
<b>fine</b> 16:12	16:5	18:8	introduced
finished 14:18	<b>good</b> 4:1,3,5,11	i	18:19
first 5:6,15	20:3	identical 18:22	irrelevant
8:16 9:7,9 10:4	granted 19:7	identified 4:19	14:11
<b>flawed</b> 6:2	grants 19:24	identifies 6:17	<b>issue</b> 9:6 15:10
flow 6:19	ground 17:7	17:22	17:12,16 19:1
focused 11:7	grounds 19:5	identify 4:2	<b>issues</b> 12:17
follow 17:20		ignore 16:23	13:6
following 11:21	h	ilcs 16:8,16	j
force 8:21	<b>hand</b> 9:17	illinois 1:1 2:6	jail 8:6
<b>forced</b> 7:21	<b>hard</b> 10:9,10	2:10,14 16:16	jailed 8:3
forcing 8:1	13:24	16:21 21:17	james 1:22 21:3
10101115 0.1		10.21 21.17	21:20
			21.2U

<b>jason</b> 2:13 4:12	language 13:23	message 10:20	0
<b>jochum</b> 2:13	launching 17:2	michael 1:12	<b>o</b> 4:19
4:9,10,10,12,12	law 1:2 2:5	michelle 2:9	objection 10:22
<b>joined</b> 4:17	17:1	4:6	objects 10:21
<b>joseph</b> 4:13	<b>laws</b> 21:16	michigan 2:10	obligated 19:2
<b>judge</b> 4:5 5:3	<b>lay</b> 17:7	<b>mind</b> 15:23	obligation
7:12 8:2,8,14	<b>legal</b> 14:8,12	minutes 9:17	18:23
8:19,24 9:8,10	legally 6:1	<b>modified</b> 6:7	observing 4:15
10:12,16 11:18	lewis 2:13	<b>moment</b> 19:14	offices 2:5
12:6,8,9,9,14	liability 13:13	monetary 6:13	okay 5:6 9:12
12:16,22 13:3	liable 12:19,20	<b>money</b> 8:13 9:7	19:16
13:15 14:15,19	license 1:23	<b>months</b> 12:17	olsen 2:12 4:13
14:24 16:6,9	<b>llp</b> 2:13	<b>morning</b> 4:1,3	4:13 15:13
18:11,13,15	<b>logic</b> 9:7	4:5,11 5:17	opportunity
20:5	<b>lynch</b> 12:9	10:7 15:1,3	5:13 9:24
<b>judges</b> 16:22	m	<b>motion</b> 3:3,9	11:10 15:21
judgment 3:3	<b>m0tion</b> 16:14	4:23 5:14,21	opposing 16:17
4:23 5:22 7:2	made 6:11 11:5	7:2 8:10 9:21	17:2
9:2 10:14 17:4	15:13,13 21:8	10:13 12:3	opposite 7:19
17:14,17 18:7	mails 7:24	16:19 17:4,13	option 11:5
19:4,7,21,24	maintain 6:9	19:7,20	order 7:12 8:18
judicial 8:15	make 9:13,19	<b>move</b> 4:24	19:16,19 20:2
k	9:23,24 11:9	n	otto 1:12 4:5
<b>k</b> 4:19	makes 8:8	<b>n</b> 1:8 2:1,10 3:1	overlook 16:23
<b>keep</b> 5:17	17:13	name 4:5	overlooked
kelly 1:8	<b>making</b> 15:22	<b>names</b> 12:18	14:12
<b>know</b> 5:22 6:18	material 15:10	<b>need</b> 5:11 8:19	overlooking
10:16,16 18:13	17:16 19:1	19:19	19:11
knowledge	matter 8:17	<b>never</b> 9:8 12:10	own 8:1,20,24
19:12	12:15 13:8	<b>new</b> 9:13	p
<b>kost</b> 4:18	matters 15:8	northbrook 2:6	<b>p</b> 2:1,1
l	mean 13:18	<b>notes</b> 18:18	paid 8:13,20
l 1:7,22 21:3,20	mediation 6:4,8	21:12	9:7 19:1
1.7,22 21.3,20	6:10,12,15,22	notice 1:13	paragraph
	12:15 15:14,15	8:15	11:20
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	11.20

naramatara	played 6:0	provides 14:12	recorded 21:9
parameters 6:13	<b>played</b> 6:9 <b>please</b> 4:1 10:9	18:1	refer 4:13
	pled 17:18 18:6		refuse 12:12
participants 14:4	-	provision 16:21	refused 12:11
	<b>plus</b> 8:7	<b>pursuant</b> 1:13 10:12 16:8	
particular 8:7 parties 2:2 5:10	<b>point</b> 14:11 18:9		regarding 9:21 11:21 17:20
6:6 13:18,18		<b>put</b> 6:2	-
· ·	pointed 14:16	q	18:5
17:2 19:9,10 19:20 21:14	position 5:23	question 11:6	relative 12:20
	6:2,20 15:3	questionable	21:13
<b>party</b> 5:24 16:18 19:13	possible 13:12	8:23	release 7:1,3,4
paul 1:4,4 4:20	<b>possibly</b> 11:24 12:2	questions 11:1	7:12,20,20 8:2 8:4,8,19,22 9:6
12:20 19:24		quote 4:20	9:10 10:5,5
	potential 18:12	16:18 17:20	11:9 15:16
<b>pay</b> 8:16 9:9	<b>practice</b> 9:8 13:3	18:5,15	17:8,12,12,17
		$\mathbf{r}$	released 9:7
penalty 21:16	<b>presented</b> 12:7 12:16 13:22	r 1:4,5 2:1 4:20	19:15
perjury 21:16		raphael 4:14	
permission 14:2	18:10	read 5:8,11	releases 8:14
	<b>prior</b> 13:12 16:18	ready 5:4	8:16
permit 16:17		really 8:9 11:11	relevance 13:12
permitted 12:7	procedural 15:8	13:8	
<b>person</b> 8:6		<b>reason</b> 10:23	rely 17:11
	procedurally	reasoning 7:21	remain 16:20
personally 7:16	17:1	reasons 7:20	remaining
<b>pg</b> 3:6,6,7,7,9	procedure 16:16,21	19:24	19:10,13
place 21:7	·	received 7:24	remains 6:14 remind 19:9
<b>plain</b> 13:22	proceeding 9:18	15:2,6 17:4	
<b>plaintiff</b> 1:6 2:4 5:2 13:7 17:19		recent 19:23	repeat 16:5,10
	proceedings	recess 20:4	repeating 16:3
17:22 18:1,17	15:14 21:6	recitation	reply 7:4 9:21 12:4
18:21 19:23	property 4:6	14:10	
<b>plaintiff's</b> 15:6	proposition	record 4:2 5:8	reported 1:22
	14:8,13 18:2	10:8 15:23	reporter 21:4
plaintiffs 4:4 5:23	prove 6:21	16:12 20:1	reporter's 21:1
3.23		10.12 20.1	
	<u> </u>		

	17.00	• 6• • • • • •	1. 1. 0.7
represent 4:4,6	17:8,9	specific 15:17	supplied 9:5
representations	seeks 17:6	spectrum 9:4	support 10:10
15:12	<b>send</b> 8:16 10:9	spelled 9:21	14:8,13 17:13
representative	sense 8:8	<b>staff</b> 10:10	18:2
13:6	<b>sent</b> 7:15 8:14	<b>start</b> 7:11	suppose 16:11
representing	10:6,20	stated 20:1	<b>sure</b> 9:23 11:9
4:12	sentence 16:6	statement 8:13	t
respond 10:22	september 1:14	statements	<b>t</b> 4:19
15:20	21:18	21:8	take 8:15
response 9:15	set 5:21 6:13,20	statute 10:15	take 6.15 taken 1:13 5:24
10:9 15:7	21:7	16:23	21:6,12
responsible	settled 9:8	<b>stay</b> 13:4,13	talarico 2:5,5
18:21	<b>short</b> 19:18	14:18	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
rest 14:15	shorthand 21:4	stenographic	3:6,7 4:3,4 5:2
reverse 7:11	21:12	21:9	5:3 7:8,10 9:12
review 10:21	sick 7:17	straight 5:22	10:3 11:4,14
reviewed 5:9	sides 5:12	street 2:14	11:18 13:15
revocable 1:5	19:22	stricken 15:4	14:6,15,20
4:20	sign 8:2,22 12:9	<b>submit</b> 13:24	15:20,22 16:2
<b>right</b> 4:11 11:4	12:11,12,23	subparagraph	16:6 18:18
16:10	13:11	16:17	19:12,14 20:6
<b>role</b> 6:10	signature 21:19	subsection	talarico's 18:9
ruling 3:9	signed 7:14	10:13,17	talk 8:10
16:13	8:14 12:10	sufficient 13:20	talking 14:18
	13:16,17,19	suggest 17:11	telling 8:1
S	17:23 18:22	suggested	terms 6:6 7:2
s 2:1 4:19	signing 7:4 8:4	10:23	8:4,5 11:21
saw 12:22 14:9	simply 6:2	suggestion	13:15,17,19,21
saying 9:2	16:23	18:24,24	17:20 18:5,20
says 8:13 10:17		· ·	thank 4:8,17
12:19 13:23	single 14:9	suite 2:6,10,14	5:16 7:7 9:11
schedule 15:5	skokie 2:6	summary 3:3	14:19,20 16:9
<b>second</b> 16:12	smith 2:13	4:23 5:22 7:2	20:3,5,6
section 16:15	sorry 4:10	9:2 10:14 17:4	theoretically
see 4:17 9:2	11:15	17:14,17 18:7	18:11
11:11 13:7		19:4,7,21,24	

[things - zoom] Page 8

things 8:9 9:3	trustee 4:20	violated 10:19	10:11
12:14 13:2	12:9,10,23	violation 13:4	Z
think 7:11,24	13:11	violations	
8:9,22 9:5	<b>truth</b> 13:8	13:12	<b>zoom</b> 1:11 2:2
10:13,19 13:2	trying 8:21	vs 1:7	4:19
13:5 14:1	typographical		
thomas 4:18	18:20	W	_
threatened 8:6	u	<b>w</b> 2:14	
thursday 1:14		want 9:13,19	
time 7:19 10:18	unconstitutio	9:20,23 10:21	
10:21,22 13:5	16:22	10:21 11:9	
15:7 16:5,12	unconvinced	16:7	
16:18 21:7,8	16:20	wanted 16:11	
tinajero 2:9 3:6	<b>undated</b> 6:1	<b>wants</b> 10:24	
3:7 4:5,6 5:4,5	<b>under</b> 6:21 8:5	<b>we've</b> 13:8	
5:14,16,20 7:7	13:13 19:2	weeks 14:1	
9:20 12:2	21:16,16	willette 2:12	
14:21,23 15:24	understand	4:14	
19:18 20:5	16:24 18:9	willing 11:1	
	<b>undisputed</b> 6:6	window 4:19	
today 4:22 7:16	unquote 4:21	<b>wisdom</b> 16:20	
9:10,13 10:11	16:19 18:5,15	<b>wish</b> 10:1 14:21	
11:10 17:10	unsigned 5:23	15:23	
today's 19:16	6:1,3,7,15,22	<b>word</b> 5:7,15	
told 12:23	9:22 12:4,8,22	15:24	
transcribed	14:7,13,17	work 17:7	
21:10	15:11,18 17:23	<b>world</b> 16:24	
transcript	18:2,9,22	<b>written</b> 19:19	
21:12	v	X	
transcripts	<b>valid</b> 6:5 12:18	<b>x</b> 3:1	
7:24	<b>version</b> 17:23		-
trial 12:16		<b>y</b>	
tried 10:20	17:24 18:10,10 19:3	yalden 2:12	
true 21:11,17	video 1:11 2:2	4:14	
<b>trust</b> 1:5 4:20	viue0 1.11 2.2	yesterday 7:17	
		7:19 9:16 10:5	