

From: Paul Dulberg <pdulberg@comcast.net>
Subject: **Fwd: Certified mail**
Date: December 27, 2016 4:39:46 PM CST
To: paul_dulberg@comcast.net

From: Paul Dulberg <pdulberg@comcast.net>
Date: March 12, 2015 at 1:47:28 PM CDT
To: Hans Mast <hansmast@att.net>
Subject: **Re: Certified mail**

I'll see you tomorrow in court room 201 at 9 am.

Paul Dulberg
847-497-4250
Sent from my iPad

On Mar 12, 2015, at 12:14 PM, Paul Dulberg <pdulberg@comcast.net> wrote:

Hans,

Your cornering of me and my brother in your office, bullying me into signing off on The McGuires policy citing cases that have nothing to do with the agency clause in the homeowners policy, which clearly makes her policy accountable. You sir are the one whom told me that I would never see a dime from either case if I didn't sign that piece of paper before leaving your office that day. You wouldn't even let me sleep on it as I had asked. You said you needed the \$5,000 settlement with the McGuire's insurance to help fund your costs for the case with David Gagnon.

Then when the physical therapists tried to demand payment in full you told me and my mother that medical leans against lawsuits often expire before the case is resolved saying yes the medical providers can proceed in collecting funds from my assets. Meaning my home. Forcing me into bankruptcy. Then, after filing for bankruptcy, denying you ever said that to both me and mother and going on to say to us that filing for bankruptcy protection had ruined the case.

All that followed up by getting a brief to review from you on the David Gagnon case that was of such a poor understanding of the facts are what lost any hope of respect I had.

I am and have been trying to get you to be professional and earn that respect back at every step.

If you had not taken advantage of my ignorance you would not be receiving this letter nor trying to squirm your way out of representing me today.

As far as your costs and in light of your poor performance to date, it throws into question all of the work you have done on this case. Even the depositions you sat in on are in question. Do you actually think anyone in their right mind wouldn't see it that way.

You may argue strategy as a defense for incompetence but since you sat in on the medical depositions and don't even know what medications I was and wasn't prescribed after almost 3-1/2 years on this case I highly doubt anyone will see your defense as viable.

Exactly, What part of being harmed by both the McGuires and Gagnon through no fault of my own is 9 out of 10 times a losing case?

Exactly what part of you manipulating me into a settlement for only \$5000 was seeing to my best interests?

Exactly how is lying in a briefing you were prepared to put before a judge is in my best interests?

Exactly how is giving you chance after chance to correct yourself not giving you the respect you think you deserve from me?

I can keep going...
I have witnesses to all of this. It's not just your word vs mine.

Bottom line is if you want out simply because you feel I have no respect for you then all of your fees are subject and any lean you have is subject.

I'm giving you the opportunity to remedy this coarse of action you have chosen.

Do you chose to be professional and do the job your capable of or do you chose to remove yourself for some made up reason. Our emails alone show there is no breakdown in communication. We are still communicating. This email proves that.

So, unless you can explain your action to remove yourself from this case for some excusable reason I see no reason why you feel your entitled to being able to get excused from it at all.

I put you to task to do as you agreed to and represent me to whatever ends this case comes to. Will you be professional and finish what you started?

Damn these emails hurt to type...

Wish you had it in you to go after the bad guy who did this and not your innocent client.

Paul

Paul Dulberg
847-497-4250
Sent from my iPad

On Mar 12, 2015, at 10:36 AM, Hans Mast <hansmast@att.net> wrote:

I have done nothing to lose your respect. I have gone far above what you would get from any other attorney you just don't realize it or appreciate it. Instead you continue to focus on issues that have nothing to do with your case. You don't listen to my advice. Which is fine. You don't have to listen to my advice. But 9 out of 10 times you will lose this case for trial. As I told you before that is why the defense is never going to offer the policy limits. To settle the case you will need to take far less than the limits. But you don't have to you definitely can go to trial. That is your personal choice. In my view your case is not worth the limits given the issues we've already discussed. So I have done what I can do for you.

We have I think \$3000 in costs that we will be paid no matter who is handling Case. We have worked on the case for years without pay....but if it will allow you to find another attorney we will consider waiving Our fee unless your eventual recovery exceeds \$100,000.

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 12, 2015, at 10:19 AM, Paul Dulberg <pdulberg@comcast.net> wrote:

Hans,

I have found several attorneys and none are willing to represent me as long as you hold the lean on this case and apparently it is not legal for me to finance their representation by other means.

Respect for your thoughts does not constitute a breakdown in communications.

Respect is earned through truth, hard work and results.

I am asking for an honest brief to go before the judge. That is all.

Earn my respect and you will receive the best recommendation I have ever given anyone.

Paul

Paul Dulberg
847-497-4250
Sent from my iPad

On Mar 12, 2015, at 10:06 AM, Hans Mast <hansmast@att.net> wrote:

Paul I will be happy to deal with any attorney considering your case directly regarding my lien. But not until they call me to talk. As is clear with your email and many others you do not respect my thoughts and/or knowledge about these cases and there is no point in continuing any further in dealing with an unsatisfied client.

Find an attorney and I will talk to them about the lien.

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 12, 2015, at 9:12 AM, Paul Dulberg <pdulberg@comcast.net> wrote:

Hi Hans,

Received your certified mail requesting to be released from my case due to a breakdown in communication between you and I.

I ask that you not follow through with this action unless you are willing to also sign off on your lean against my case. As I have no chance in hell of getting another attorney willing to take this case on without your lean being freed from this case. Bottom line... No attorney I have spoken with is willing to trust that you will pay them from your lean.

Please withdraw your motion as there has been no breakdowns in communications between you and I.

The only breakdown was a very poorly written brief you asked me to look over in which the only truthful substance in it was the names and dates. Oh and the fact that you did manage to put the chainsaw in the correct persons hands.

I hope to be able to review your revised copy of this brief again soon.

Best wishes and Thank you,
Paul

I ask that if
Paul Dulberg
847-497-4250
Sent from my iPad