

From: Paul Dulberg <pdulberg@comcast.net>
Subject: **Fwd: Release**
Date: December 27, 2016 4:01:42 PM CST
To: paul_dulberg@comcast.net

From: Paul Dulberg <pdulberg@comcast.net>
Date: June 9, 2015 at 4:52:13 PM CDT
To: Brad J Balke <brad@balkelaw.com>
Subject: **Re: Release**

Brad,

You could have just stopped with this is the best deal you could get but you didn't.

You pulled a Hans and assaulted me when you threatened to remove yourself from this case if I didn't sign this release before you see the judge.

That's an ultimatum and it's not something I'm going to react to positively.

The way I see it, there is more than just the 2 options in your ultimatum...

A.) I can take this deal, shut up, go away and keep suffering and praying for SSDI

B.) I can refuse to take this deal, lose you as an attorney and have to find new council or simply represent myself and start over with the same 15k on the table and possibly an extra 15k in bankruptcy surplus while still suffering and preying for SSDI.

C.) I can stop this suit all together and lose 15k, in which no one gets anything while I suffer and prey for SSDI

Any which way I go, I'm the one who's getting thrown under the buss and I'm tired of being a victim of others threats.

As of now I'm leaning towards option "C".

As you said, the medical providers have already written off my accounts as loses and that leaves Option "C", me losing 15k, well, it is kind of a cheap way of saying how I feel about the state of my legal representation and their efforts because their afraid they can't prove the defendant put a chainsaw into my arm and that the defendants mother is an elderly woman with whom the jury may sympathize yet didn't see the actual incident, refused to call 911 for help, but did call her insurance followed by a call to the hospital and lied to the staff claiming to be my mother only to disrupt the emergency room treatment not to ask how I was doing but to tell me she was insured. Good for granny, she is insured. Where was her slick phone skills when I needed an ambulance and for god sakes why lie to the hospital staff and call me in the emergency room to tell me the most important thing on her mind... The fact that she was insured. Big deal what did it do to help me?

These people had no concern for anyone's well being other than their own.
They are the type that deserve to get sued. Granny or not.

This may all make a great documentary one day about the state of legal representation and their ethical commitment to following through once they commit themselves to a client. A series false dichotomies of sorts.

It is just a leaning towards option "C" not an actual commitment yet.

I need to sleep on the options for a couple nights

I'll get back to you with my answer just before court starts later this week.

Please remind me, what is the time and date the judge set?

Thanks for everything,
Paul

On Jun 9, 2015, at 2:46 PM, Brad J Balke <brad@balkelaw.com> wrote:

I noticed the address, too. Doesn't really make any difference from our perspective. These agreements are boilerplate, so it is a one-way street for the most part.

From: Paul Dulberg
Sent: Tuesday, June 9, 2015 1:48 PM
To: Brad J Balke
Subject: Re: Release

Just started to read this and I think all those years of proofreading my work in the printing industry gets me in trouble.

The address of the location of the incident in the first paragraph is incorrect. If the address was in Wisconsin wouldn't we be in a different court?

On Jun 9, 2015, at 1:40 PM, Brad J Balke <brad@balkelaw.com> wrote:

Allstate. It isn't written particularly for your case. They use the same release for everyone.

From: Paul Dulberg
Sent: Tuesday, June 9, 2015 1:39 PM
To: <brad@balkelaw.com>
Subject: Re: Release

Who wrote this, you or Allstate?

On Jun 9, 2015, at 12:24 PM, Brad Balke <brad@balkelaw.com> wrote:

Paul,

Please sign on the line near the red "X". If you need a notary, you can go to Donahue's office and sign there.

Brad
<release DULBERG.pdf>