

From: Paul Dulberg <pdulberg@comcast.net>
Subject: **Fwd: Dave's Best and oldest friend John**
Date: December 28, 2016 10:35:38 AM CST
To: paul_dulberg@comcast.net

From: Paul Dulberg <pdulberg@comcast.net>
Date: November 18, 2013 at 9:03:30 PM CST
To: Hans Mast <hansmast@comcast.net>
Subject: **Re: Dave's Best and oldest friend John**

That's their personal issues of control with their own son. I will testify all day long about the things they wanted him to do that he did do throughout the day.

By claiming they had no control over the work dave did after all the preparation, money and time spent out in the yard yelling at him that they wanted certain things done in a particular way I don't see how they get out of the direct over site of the project because now that there is an injury they don't feel they had any real direct control over their own workers actions?

This is ridiculous.

Hans, they have to do better than claim they had no control over David that day. If that's the case why were they there watching the work most of the day? Even Bill had hands on doing some of the work and rarely let David go to long without checking and seeing if things were being done the way Carol and Him wanted it.

Paul Dulberg
847-497-4250
Sent from my iPad

On Nov 18, 2013, at 8:36 PM, Hans Mast <hansmast@comcast.net> wrote:

Cause they had no say on how Dave did the work. That is what the evidence from all shows.

Sent from my iPhone

On Nov 18, 2013, at 8:29 PM, Paul Dulberg <pdulberg@comcast.net> wrote:

I still don't get how they don't feel responsible for work done on their property by their own son that ended up cutting through 40% of my arm.

Perhaps their negligence is the fact that they didn't supervise the work close enough but they did oversee much of the days activity with David. Just because Dave was doing the work doesn't mean they were not trying to tell their kid what to do. They told him plenty of times throughout the day what to do. How is that not supervising?

Paul

Paul Dulberg
847-497-4250
Sent from my iPad

On Nov 18, 2013, at 8:07 PM, Hans Mast <hansmast@comcast.net> wrote:

Paul whether you like it or not they don't have a legal liability for your injury because they were not directing the work. So if we do not accept their 5000 they will simply file a motion and get out of the case for free. That's the only other option is letting them file motion getting out of the case

Sent from my iPhone

On Nov 18, 2013, at 7:40 PM, Paul Dulberg <pdulberg@comcast.net> wrote:

Only 5, That's not much at all.

Is this a take it or leave it or do we have any other options?

If you want a negligence case for the homeowners ask what happened immediately after the accident.

Neither of them offered me any medical assistance nor did either of them call 911 and all Carol could think of besides calling David an idiot was calling her homeowners insurance.

They all left me out in the yard screaming for help while they were busy making sure they were covered.

She even went as far as to finally call the Emergency Room after I was already there just to tell me she was covered.

How selfish are people when they worry about if their insured over helping the person who was hurt and bleeding badly in their yard.

I'm glad she got her answer and had to share it with me only to find out her coverage won't even pay the medical bills.

I'm not happy with the offer.

As far as John Choyinski, he knows he has to call you and said he will tomorrow.

Paul

Paul Dulberg
847-497-4250
Sent from my iPad

On Nov 18, 2013, at 1:28 PM, Hans Mast <hansmast@comcast.net> wrote:

Im waiting to hear from John. I tried calling him last week, but no one answered.

In addition, the McGuire's atty has offered us (you) \$5,000 in full settlement of the claim against the McGuires only. As we discussed, they have no liability in the case for what Dave did as property owners. So they will likely get out of the case on a motion at some point, so my suggestion is to take the \$5,000 now. You probably won't see any of it due to liens etc. but it will offset the costs deducted from any eventual recovery....

Let me know what you think..

Hans

----- Original Message -----

From: Paul Dulberg <pdulberg@comcast.net>

To: Hans Mast <hansmast@comcast.net>

Sent: Fri, 15 Nov 2013 22:41:26 -0000 (UTC)

Subject: Dave's Best and oldest friend John

Hans,

Just spoke with John Choyinski again about talking with you.

I am leaving your number with him as he has agreed to talk with you about David Gagnon.

I believe he will try and call sometime tomorrow.

Paul

Oh and I know that nothing that happened right after the incident makes any difference as to the validity of the injuries but David's conduct immediately after the incident does show his lack of moral values for other humans and what he was willing and was not willing to do to help me get medical help. For his actions towards me or any other human being is enough to sue the shit out him alone. It is the things that happened afterwards that upset me the most.

Sorry for the rant but Dave was a complete ass all the way and deserves this.

Paul Dulberg
847-497-4250
Sent from my iPad