

**From:** Paul Dulberg <pdulberg@comcast.net>  
**Subject:** Fwd: New counsel  
**Date:** December 27, 2016 6:24:56 PM CST  
**To:** paul\_dulberg@comcast.net

---

**From:** Paul Dulberg <pdulberg@comcast.net>  
**Date:** December 19, 2014 at 12:43:45 PM CST  
**To:** Hans Mast <hansmast@comcast.net>  
**Subject:** Fwd: New counsel

I have to wonder...

Is it the fact that David Gagnon doesn't have a bigger insurance policy?

Or perhaps that I didn't lose my arm?

What is it that isn't good enough for your firm that they would actually drop a client they chose to represent?

I'm betting in the end it's all about the amount of money your firm can make off of my injuries because I've done nothing to exaggerate or hide anything.

Have a merry Xmas, now I'm late to see a dr and my arm muscles are in spasm from trying to type this wasted email as fast as I can.  
Paul

On another note,

David Gagnon already received more than any lawsuit will bring me...  
If this was someone I didn't know...  
I'd find out how to put the guy in prison for as many years as I could.

No one should be allowed to use a chainsaw like he did and get away with it!

The gift I gave David by providing him with the benefit of the doubt has turned out priceless for him...

What I received is a life sentence even if I win some lawsuit...  
What can I do with the money, pay someone else to do the things I would have been able to do myself if this didn't happen?  
What part of life makes that appealing?  
And how long will that last?

I went from being a doer with some potential to being a watcher and beggar. There is nothing that can change that...

Neither David nor his insurance policy can fix any of this.

Your position of fear in this case is appalling.

Oh and one more thing...  
Those dr's, vocational experts and federal judge were all in agreement that I was disabled and already gave me a partially favorable judgement.

I believe the only outstanding question was whether the ongoing treatment of injections was going to make it better or not?

Since then, The injections only made me weaker in a different part of the arm for a period and had no positive effect and now I just have to wait and see.

Ending up on disability and having to take meds 3x a day is not a choice or outcome I wanted. Nor did I want to have to fend off an incoming chainsaw or go through a couple of surgeries. I wouldn't wish this on anyone

All I wanted was to heal enough to go back to work. I really did try.  
I used to make more money in a few months then I'm going to make all year now. That's no exaggeration.

Oh and that stupid statement I heard you say in the past that part of my injuries were to distal from the cut...

I dare you to Try fending off a chainsaw that's violently cutting into you unexpectedly and survive without any other issue other than where the chainsaw cut you.

And if during that event you should twist an ankle, hit your head, another part of the body or anything else from trying to save yourself... Remember it's too distal from the wound so you lose...

That is absolutely retarded to think that my elbow was too distal from the cut... Think about what it takes to fend off an aggressive chainsaw cutting into you and then tell me if any other smaller, at first unnoticed injury is too distal to be real?

I'm tired of this crap

Talk with you after Monday,  
Paul

Oops, hit send accidentally. . Another issue from a shaky muscle in my forearm...

Hans,

What and where does "you weren't hurt that bad" mean or come from?

It was a deep laceration from a chainsaw and I'm only hurt as bad as it is and that's it.  
I obviously have long term issues with/from the injury so no exaggeration is needed on my part.

Whether or not it's considered disabling is the only real question and that is being decided for us at the federal level with other dr's and vocational experts that I didn't pick.

All that I hope for is that I'm treated by those like you as you would want to be treated.

Thanks for the honesty and I will get back with you after Monday.  
Paul

Paul Dulberg  
847-497-4250  
Sent from my iPad

On Dec 19, 2014, at 10:11 AM, Hans Mast <[hansmast@comcast.net](mailto:hansmast@comcast.net)> wrote:

Here's the deal...they are going to be reviewing the case with a doctor. The doctor will probably say you weren't hurt that bad. So, even if they concede that Mr. Gagnon was at fault, they will likely not offer much on the case. How much, I don't know. But I'm not interested in trying the case. I think it would be very difficult to win. So unless you want to work out a settlement, I think you should consider other counsel. It's tough to make these decisions. I know it makes it tough on you.

But these decisions are the reality in working on such cases sometimes.

The good news is that you have a chance to recover in a jury trial. It would be tough, but there is a chance that the jury will believe you. But it will take some time and expense to take the case to trial. If the jury believes your specialist, the damages could be good for you. But there are a lot of "ifs" and I'm not prepared to undertake the risks at this point.

----- Original Message -----

From: Paul Dulberg <[pdulberg@comcast.net](mailto:pdulberg@comcast.net)>

To: Hans Mast <[hansmast@comcast.net](mailto:hansmast@comcast.net)>

Sent: Fri, 19 Dec 2014 15:46:43 -0000 (UTC)

Subject: New counsel

Morning Hans,

I have a meeting with Randal Baudin's office on Monday.

Do you really wish that I find new counsel?

Paul

Paul Dulberg  
847-497-4250

||| | Sent from my iPad