

**From:** Paul Dulberg <pdulberg@comcast.net>  
**Subject:** Fwd: Dave's Best and oldest friend John  
**Date:** December 28, 2016 10:33:35 AM CST  
**To:** paul\_dulberg@comcast.net

**From:** Paul Dulberg <pdulberg@comcast.net>  
**Date:** November 20, 2013 at 7:26:53 AM CST  
**To:** Hans Mast <hansmast@comcast.net>  
**Subject:** Re: Dave's Best and oldest friend John

Morning Hans,  
Ok we can meet. I will call Sheila today and set up a time.  
Please send me a link to the current Illinois statute citing that the property owner is not liable for work done on their property resulting in injury to a neighbor.  
I need to read it myself and any links to recent case law in this area would be helpful as well.  
Thanks,  
Paul

Paul Dulberg  
847-497-4250  
Sent from my iPad

On Nov 20, 2013, at 6:59 AM, Hans Mast <hansmast@comcast.net> wrote:

Paul, lets meet again to discuss. The legality of it all is that a property owner does not have legal liability for a worker (whether friend, son or otherwise) who does the work on his time, using his own independent skills. Here, I deposed the McGulres, and they had nothing to do with how Dave did the work other than to request the work to be done. They had no control on how Dave wielded the chain saw and cut you. Its that simple. We don't have to accept the \$5,000, but if we do not, the McGulres will get out for FREE on a motion. So that's the situation.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Paul Dulberg <pdulberg@comcast.net>  
**To:** Hans Mast <hansmast@comcast.net>  
**Sent:** Tue, 19 Nov 2013 02:29:56 -0000 (UTC)  
**Subject:** Re: Dave's Best and oldest friend John

I still don't get how they don't feel responsible for work done on their property by their own son that ended up cutting through 40% of my arm.

Perhaps their negligence is the fact that they didn't supervise the work close enough but they did oversee much of the days activity with David. Just because Dave was doing the work doesn't mean they were not trying to tell their kid what to do. They told him plenty of times throughout the day what to do. How is that not supervising?

Paul  
Paul Dulberg  
847-497-4250

Sent from my iPad

On Nov 18, 2013, at 8:07 PM, Hans Mast <hansmast@comcast.net> wrote:

Paul whether you like it or not they don't have a legal liability for your injury because they were not directing the work. So if we do not accept their 5000 they will simply file a motion and get out of the case for free. That's the only other option is letting them file motion getting out of the case

Sent from my iPhone

On Nov 18, 2013, at 7:40 PM, Paul Dulberg <pdulberg@comcast.net> wrote:

Only 5, That's not much at all.  
Is this a take it or leave it or do we have any other options?

If you want a negligence case for the homeowners ask what happened immediately after the accident.

Neither of them offered me any medical assistance nor did either of them call 911 and all Carol could think of besides calling David an idiot was calling her homeowners insurance.



They all left me out in the yard screaming for help while they were busy making sure they were covered.

She even went as far as to finally call the Emergency Room after I was already there just to tell me she was covered.

How selfish are people when they worry about if their insured over helping the person who was hurt and bleeding badly in their yard.

I'm glad she got her answer and had to share it with me only to find out her coverage won't even pay the medical bills.

I'm not happy with the offer.

As far as John Choyinski, he knows he has to call you and said he will tomorrow.

Paul

Paul Dulberg  
847-497-4250  
Sent from my iPad

On Nov 18, 2013, at 1:28 PM, Hans Mast <[hansmast@comcast.net](mailto:hansmast@comcast.net)> wrote:

Im waiting to hear from John. I tried calling him last week, but no one answered.

In addition, the McGuire's atty has offered us (you) \$5,000 in full settlement of the claim against the McGuires only. As we discussed, they have no liability in the case for what Dave did as property owners. So they will likely get out of the case on a motion at some point, so my suggestion is to take the \$5,000 now. You probably won't see any of it due to liens etc. but it will offset the costs deducted from any eventual recovery....

Let me know what you think..

Hans

----- Original Message -----

From: Paul Dulberg <[pdulberg@comcast.net](mailto:pdulberg@comcast.net)>

To: Hans Mast <[hansmast@comcast.net](mailto:hansmast@comcast.net)>

Sent: Fri, 15 Nov 2013 22:41:26 -0000 (UTC)

Subject: Dave's Best and oldest friend John

Hans,

Just spoke with John Choyinski again about talking with you.

I am leaving your number with him as he has agreed to talk with you about David Gagnon.

I believe he will try and call sometime tomorrow.

Paul

Oh and I know that nothing that happened right after the incident makes any difference as to the validity of the injuries but David's conduct immediately after the incident does show his lack of moral values for other humans and what he was willing and was not willing to do to help me get medical help. For his actions towards me or any other human being is enough to sue the shit out him alone. It is the things that happened afterwards that upset me the most.

Sorry for the rant but Dave was a complete ass all the way and deserves this.

Paul Dulberg  
847-497-4250  
Sent from my iPad