## CONTRACT FOR LEGAL SERVICES

	(hereinafter 'persons or er	I agree to emple 'my attorney") to re utities responsible fo	oy the LAW OFFICES present me in the prosecution of the causing me to suffer in	OF THOMAS J. Poution or settlement of juries and damages on	OPOVICH, P.C. my claim agains the day o
	in my claim, consent.	My attorney agree The approval of an	s to make no charge for y settlement amount cann	legal services unless a tot be made without m	recovery is made y knowledge and
	including, but video fees, rec	the event my claim y attorney may need		e (1) trial and/or an ap penses in properly has ports, filing fees, cour those expenses will be	will increase to opeal of a trial. It ndling my claim it reporters fees, taken out of my
-	Cilient		LAW OFFIG	ES OF THOMAS J.	POPOVICH
	Client	ver not demy high highest his principal principal course was a way to be placed as a play	Ву;	replana dest radinas quantos a folias en mental si se	
	Date:	•	<i>y</i>	- promite and the second secon	કર્માં, કુ વાગુરું કહ્યાં — 4 <u>480 સ્થાપન</u> 14 કરવામાં 3 ફ્રિક્સ ફ્રિક્ટ ફ્ર
	well,	PS N FOI Wash - Access of a Springer control of Section (Section (	Date:		•
	LAW OFFICE 3416 West Elm McHenry, Illino 815/344-3797		POPOVICH, P.C.	navan erakya di kinemanahan mara wasa di masaka kependadi kenah inamenananan di	ega <del>u jimaadaa maload</del> i



STATE OF ILLINOIS

COUNTY OF MCHENRY



IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT MôHENRY COUNTY, ILLINOIS

PAUL DULBERG

DAVID GAGNON, Individually, and as Agent of CAROLINE MCGUIRE and BILL MCGUIRE, and CAROLINE MCGUIRE and BILL MCGUIRE, Individually,

Defendants,

#### COMPLAINT

NOW COMES the Plaintiff, PAUL DULBERG, by his attorneys, LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS J. POPOVICH, P.C., and complaining against the Defendants, DAVID GAGNON, Individually, and as Agent of CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE, and CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE, individually, and states as follows:

#### Count I

## Paul Dulberg vs. David Gagnon, individually, and as Agent of Caroline and Bill McGuire

- On June 28, 2011, the Plaintiff, PAUL DULBERG, lived in the City of McHenry, County of McHenry, Illinois.
- On June 28, 2011, Defendants CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE lived, controlled, managed and maintained a single family home located at 1016 W. Elder

Avenue, in the City of McHell DERAULT BEING ENTERED. eeing disched or an order exicaill , violet of gigging of McHenry, Illinoiss each of the property of the prope this gase is hereby set for scheduling arad aht ni tubban yan arahga ot amunaf CONFERENCE IN COURTROOM

FAILURE TO APPEAR MAY RESULT IN THE CASE BEING BISMISSED ON AN ORDER

омилиданов яоттав уваяты DOOAL RULE 8,10 NOTICE

EXHIBIT

- On June 28, 2011, the Defendant, DAVID GAGNON, was living and/or staying at his parent's home at 1016 W. Elder Avenue, in the City of McHenry, County of McHenry, Ellinois.
- On June 28, 2011, the Defendants, CAROLINE MCGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE contracted, hired the Defendant, DAVID GAGNON, to cut down, trim and/or maintain the trees and brush at their premises at 1016 W. Elder Avenue, in the City of McHenry, County of McHenry, Illinois.
- On June 28, 2011, and at the request and with the authority and permission of the Defendants CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE, and for their benefit, the Defendant, DAVID-GAGNON, was working under their supervision and control while engaged in cutting, trimming and maintaining trees and brush at the premises at 1016 W. Elder Avenue, in the City of McHenry; County of McHenry, Illinois.
- On June 28, 2011, as part of his work at the subject property, the Defendant, DAVID GAGNON, was authorized, instructed, advised and permitted to use a chainsaw to assist him in his work for Defendants, CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE, which was owned by the McGuires.
- 7. On June 28, 2011, the Defendant, DAVID GAGNON, was under the supervision and control of Defendants, CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE, and was working as their apparent and actual agent, and was then acting and working in the scope of his agency for Defendants, CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE.

- 8. On June 28, 2011, and while the Defendant, DAVID GAGNON, was working in the course and scope of his agency for Defendants, CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE, and was under their supervision and control, Defendant, DAVID GAGNON was in use of a chainsaw while trinining a tree and branch.
- 9. On June 28, 2011, and while Defendant, DAVID GAGNON, was in use of a chainsaw while trimming a tree and branch, Defendant, DAVID GAGNON, asked for and/or requested the assistance of the Plaintiff, PAUL DULBERG, to hold the tree branch while Defendant, DAVID GAGNON, trimmed the branch with the chainsaw.
- 10: On June 28, 2011, and while Defendant, DAVID GAGNON, was in sole control use and operation of the subject chainsaw, the chainsaw was caused to strike and injure the Plaintiff, PAUE DULBERG.
- At all relevant times, Defendants, CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE, knew of Defendant, DAVID GAGNON's use of the chainsaw in the presence of the Plaintiff, PAUL DULBERG, and knew that such created a danger to the Plaintiff, PAUL DULBERG's safety.
- 12. That at all relevant times, the Defendants, DAVID GAGNON, as agent of CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE, owed a duty to use care and caution in his operation of a known dangerous instrumentality.

- 13. On June 28, 2011, the Defendant, DAVID GAGNON, was negligent in one or more of the following ways:
  - A. Failed to maintain control over the operating of the chainsaw;
  - b. Failed to take precaution not to allow the chainsaw to move toward the Rightiff,
    PAUL DULBERG, so as to cause injury;
  - o. Failed to warn the Plaintiff, PAUL DULBERG, of the dangers existing from the Defendant, DAVID GAGNON's inability to control the chainsaw;
  - d. Failed to keep a proper distance from the Plaintiff, PAUL DULBERG, while operating the chainsaw;
  - e. Otherwise was negligent in operation and control of the chainsaw.
- That as a proximate result of the Defendant's negligence, the Plaintiff, PAUL DULBERG, was injured externally; he has experienced and will in the future experience pain and suffering; he has been permanently scarred and/or disabled; and has become obligated for large sums of money for medical bills and will in the future become obligated for additional sums of money for medical care, and has lost time from work and/or from earning wages due to such injury.
- 15. That at the above time and date, the Defendant's negligence can be inferred from the circumstances of the occurrence as the instrument of the injury was under the control of the Defendant and therefore, negligence can be presumed under the doctrine of Res Ipsa Loguitur.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, PAUL DULBERG, demands judgment against Defendants, DAVID GAGNON, and CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE in an amount in excess of \$50,000.00, plus costs of this action.

#### Count II

## Paul Dulberg vs. Caroline McGuire and Bill McGuire

- 1-15. That the Plaintiff, PAUL DULBERG, restates and realleges paragraphs 1 through 14, in Count I, above, as paragraphs 1 through 15 of Count II, as if fully alleged herein.
- That at all relevant times, the Defendants, CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE, owned, controlled, maintained and supervised the premises whereat the accident to the Plaintiff, PAUL DULBERG, occurred.
- 17. That at all relevant times, the Defendants, CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE, were in control of and had the right to advise, instruct and demand that the Defendant, DAVID GAGNON, aut or work in a safe and reasonable manner.
- 18. That at all relevant times, the Defendant, DAVID GAGNON, was acting as the agent, actual and apparent, of Defendants, CAROLINE MoCUIRE and BILL McGUIRE, and was acting at their request and in their best interests and to their benefit as in a joint enterprise.
- 19. That at all relevant times, Defendants, CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE, knew DAVID GAGNON was operating a chainsaw with the assistance of the Plaintiff, PAUL DULBERG, and had the right to discharge or terminate the Defendant, DAVID GAGNON's work for any reason.
- That at all relevant times, Defendants, CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE, owed a duty to supervise and control Defendant, DAVID GAGNON's activities on the property so as not to create a unreasonable hazard to others, including the Plaintiff, PUAL, DULBERG.

- 21. On June 28, 2011, the Defendants, CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE, were negligent in one or more of the following ways:
  - a. Falled to control operation of the chainsaw;
  - b. Failed to take precaution not to allow the chainsaw to move toward the Plaintiff
    PAUL DULBERG, so as to cause injury;
  - c. Falled to warn the Plaintiff, PAUL DULBERG, of the dangers existing from the Defendant's inability to control the chainsaw;
  - d. Failed to keep the chainsaw a proper distance from the Plaintiff, PAUL DLILBERG, while operating the chainsaw;
  - e. ..... Otherwise was negligent in operation and control of the chainsaw.
- DULBERG, was injured externally; he has experienced and will in the future experience pain and suffering; he has been permanently scarred and/or disabled; and has become obligated for large sums of meney for medical bills and will in the future become obligated for additional sums of money for medical care, and has lost time from work and/or from earning wages due to such injury.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, PAUL DULBERG, demands judgment against Defendants,

CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE, in an amount in excess of \$50,000.00, plus costs

of this action.

LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS I. POPOVICH, P.C.

One of the Attorneys for Plaintiff

Hans A. Mast
LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS J. POPOVICH,
3416 West Elm Street
Lake, Illippis 60050
(815) 344-3797
ARDC No. 06203684

From: Paul Dulberg <pdulberg@comcast.net>
Subject: Fwd: Dave's Best and oldest friend John
Date: December 28, 2016 10:33:35 AM CST

To: paul\_dulberg@comcast.net

From: Paul Dulberg comcast.net>
Date: November 20, 2013 at 7:26:53 AM CST
To: Hans Mast 
hansmast@comcast.net>

Subject: Re: Dave's Best and oldest friend John

Morning Hans,

Ok we can meet. I will call Sheila today and set up a time.

Please send me a link to the current Illinois statute citing that the property owner is not liable for work done on their property resulting in injury to a neighbor.

I need to read it myself and any links to recent case law in this area would be helpful as well.

Thanks,

Paul

Paul Dulberg 847-497-4250 Sent from my iPad

On Nov 20, 2013, at 6:59 AM, Hans Mast < hansmast@comcast.net > wrote:

Paul, lets meet again to discuss. The legality of it all is that a property owner does not have legal liability for a worker (whether friend, son or otherwise) who does the work on his time, using his own independent skills. Here, I deposed the McGuires, and they had nothing to do with how Dave did the work other than to request the work to be done. They had no control on how Dave wielded the chain saw and cut you. its that simple. We don't have to accept the \$5,000, but if we do not, the McGuires will get out for FREE on a motion. So that's the situation.

---- Original Message ----

From: Paul Dulberg <a href="mailto:pdu|berg@comcast.net">pdu|berg@comcast.net</a>
To: Hans Mast <a href="mailto:hansmast@comcast.net">hansmast@comcast.net</a>
Sent: Tue, 19 Nov 2013 02:29:56 -0000 (UTC)
Subject: Re: Dave's Best and oldest friend John

I still don't get how they don't feel responsible for work done on their property by their own son that ended up cutting through 40% of my arm.

Perhaps their negligence is the fact that they didn't supervise the work close enough but they did oversee much of the days activity with David. Just because Dave was doing the work doesn't mean they were not trying to tell their kid what to do. They told him plenty of times throughout the day what to do. How is that not supervising?

Paul

Paul Dulberg 847-497-4250 Sent from my iPad

On Nov 18, 2013, at 8:07 PM, Hans Mast < hansmast@comcast.net > wrote:

Paul whether you like it or not they don't have a legal liability for your injury because they were not directing the work. So if we do not accept their 5000 they will simply file a motion and get out of the case for free. That's the only other option is letting them file motion getting out of the case

Sent from my iPhone

On Nov 18, 2013, at 7:40 PM, Paul Dulberg comcast.net wrote:

Only 5. That's not much at all.

Is this a take it or leave it or do we have any other options?

If you want a negligence case for the homeowners ask what happened immediately after the accident.

Neither of them offered me any medical assistance nor did either of them call 911 and all Carol could think of besides calling David an idiot was calling her homeowners insurance.

They all left me out in the yard screaming for help while they were busy making sure they were covered.

She even went as far as to finally call the Emergency Room after I was already there just to tell me she was covered.

How selfish are people when they worry about if their insured over helping the person who was hurt and bleeding badly in their yard.

I'm glad she got her answer and had to share it with me only to find out her coverage won't even pay the medical bills.

I'm not happy with the offer.

As far as John Choyinski, he knows he has to call you and said he will tomorrow.

Paul

Paul Dulberg 847-497-4250 Sent from my iPad

On Nov 18, 2013, at 1:28 PM, Hans Mast < hansmast@comcast.net> wrote:

Im waiting to hear from John. I tried calling him last week, but no one answered.

In addition, the McGuire's atty has offered us (you) \$5,000 in full settlement of the claim against the McGuires only. As we discussed, they have no liability in the case for what Dave did as property owners. So they will likely get out of the case on a motion at some point, so my suggestion is to take the \$5,000 now. You probably won't see any of it due to liens etc. but it will offset the costs deducted from any eventual recovery....

Let me know what you think...

Hans

---- Original Message -----

From: Paul Dulberg <a href="mailto:pdu/decage:pdu/decage:pdu-decag

Hans,

Just spoke with John Choyinski again about talking with you.

I am leaving your number with him as he has agreed to talk with you about David Gagnon.

I believe he will try and call sometime tomorrow.

Paul

Oh and I know that nothing that happened right after the incident makes any difference as to the validity of the injuries but David's conduct immediately after the incident does show his lack of moral values for other humans and what he was willing and was not willing to do to help me get medical help. For his actions towards me or any other human being is enough to sue the shit out him alone. It is the things that happened afterwards that upset me the most.

Sorry for the rant but Dave was a complete ass all the way and deserves this.

Paul Dulberg 847-497-4250 Sent from my iPad



# The Law Offices of Thomas J. Popovich P.C.

3416 W. ELM STREET

McHenry, Illinois 60050

TELEPHONE: 815,344,3797

FACSIMILE: 815.344,5280

www.popovichlaw.com

MARK J. VOGG JAMES P. TUTAJ ROBERT J. LUMBER THERESA M. PREEMAN

THOMAS J. POPOVICH HANS A. MAST JOHN A. KORNAK

January 24, 2014

Paul Dulberg 4606 Hayden Court McHenry, IL 60051

> Paul Dulberg vs. David Gagnon, Caroline McGuire and Bill McGuire RE:

McHenry County Case: 12 LA 178

Dear Paul:

Please find enclosed the General Release and Settlement Agreement from defense counsel for Caroline and Bill McGuire. Please Release and return it to me in the enclosed self-addressed stamped envelope at your earliest convenience.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Very truly yours,

Enclosure



WAUKEGAN OFFICE 210 North Martin Luther KING IR. AVENUE WAUKKOAN, IL 60085

# GENERAL RELEASE AND SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

NOW COMES PAUL DULBERG, and in consideration of the payment of Five-Thousand (\$5,000.00) Dollars to him, by or on behalf of the WILLIAM MCGUIRE and CAROLYN MCGUIRE (aka Bill McGuire; improperly named as Caroline McGuire) and AUTO-OWNERS INSURANCE COMPANY, the payment and receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, PAUL DULBERG does hereby release and discharge the WILLIAM MCGUIRE and CAROLYN MCGUIRE and AUTO-OWNERS INSURANCE COMPANY, and any agents or employees of the WILLIAM MCGUIRE and CAROLYN MCGUIRE and AUTO-OWNERS INSURANCE COMPANY, of and from any and all causes of action, claims and demands of whatsoever kind or nature including, but not limited to, any claim for personal injuries and property damage arising out of a certain chain saw incident that allegedly occurred on or about June 28, 2011, within and upon the premises known commonly as 1016 West Elder Avenue, City of McHenry, County of

IT IS FURTHER AGREED AND UNDERSTOOD that there is presently pending a cause of action in the Circuit Court of the 22<sup>nd</sup> Judicial Circuit, McHenry County, Illinois entitled "Paul Dulberg, Plaintiff, vs. David Gagnon, Individually, and as agent of Caroline McGuire and Bill McGuire, and Caroline McGuire and Bill McGuire, Individually, Defendants", Cause No. 2012 LA 178, and that this settlement is contingent upon WILLIAM McGUIRE and CAROLYN McGUIRE being dismissed with prejudice as parties to said lawsuit pursuant to a finding by the Circuit Court that the settlement between the parties constitutes a good faith settlement for purposes of the Illinois Joint Tortfeasor Contribution Act, 740 ILCS 100/0.01, et seq.

IT IS FURTHER AGREED AND UNDERSTOOD that as part of the consideration for this agreement the undersigned represents and warrants as follows (check applicable boxes):

I	was m	ot	<b>6</b> 5 თ	r older c	n tha			.1		· ~ ( 0110
		•	- ·	O THOL C	TI CITÉ	; qare	or	ще	occur:	'ence.

- I was not receiving SSI or SSDI on the date of the occurrence.
- I am not eligible to receive SSI or SSDI.
- I am not currently receiving SSI or SSDI.

# IT IS FURTHER AGREED AND UNDERSTOOD:

- a. That any subrogated claims or liens for medical expenses paid by or on behalf of PAUL DULBERG shall be the responsibility PAUL DULBERG, including, but not limited to, any Medicare liens. reimbursements of medical expenses to subrogated parties, including Medicare's rights of reimbursement, if any, shall be PAUL DULBERG's responsibility, and not the responsibility of the parties released herein.
- b. That any outstanding medical expenses are PAUL DULBERG's responsibility and all payment of medical expenses hereafter shall be PAUL DULBERG's responsibility, and not the responsibility of the parties released

c. That PAUL DULBERG agrees to save and hold harmless and indemnify the parties released herein against any claims made by any medical providers, including, but not limited to Medicare or parties subrogated to the rights to recover medical or Medicare payments.

IT IS FURTHER AGREED AND UNDERSTOOD by the parties hereto that this agreement contains the entire agreement between the parties with regard to materials set forth herein, and shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the parties hereto, jointly and severally, and the executors, conservators, administrators, guardians, personal representatives, heirs and successors of each.

IT IS FURTHER AGREED AND UNDERSTOOD that this settlement is a compromise of a doubtful and disputed claim and no liability is admitted as a consequence hereof,

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal on the dates set forth below.

ut she o uses

From: Paul Dulberg <pdulberg@comcast.net>

Subject: Fwd: McGuire settlement

Date: December 28, 2016 10:21:55 AM CST

To: paul\_dulberg@comcast.net

From: Paul Dulberg < pdulberg@comcast.net> Date: January 29, 2014 at 1:59:31 PM CST To: Hans Mast < hansmast@comcast.net> Subject: Re: McGuire settlement

Ok, it's signed and in the mail.

Hope that some valoo in the govt, doesn't someday decide to go after everyone they think they might get a dollar out of and end up holding me responsible for the McGuires fees incurred while they fight it out.

I'm not in the business of warranting, insuring or protecting the McGuires from government. Especially for only 5 grand. For that kind of protection it could cost millions but I trust your judgement.

Paul

Paul Dulberg 847-497-4250 Sent from my iPad

On Jan 29, 2014, at 11:49 AM, Hans Mast <a href="mailto:kansmast@comcast.net">hansmast@comcast.net</a> wrote:

SSD has to be part of it...its not going to effect anything...

We can't prevent disclosure of the amount...

---- Original Message -----

From: Paul Dulberg comcast.net To: Hans Mast <<u>hansmast@comcast.net</u>> Sent: Wed, 29 Jan 2014 17:47:39 -0000 (UTC)

Subject: Re: McGuire settlement

What and why do those questions have any relevance at all and why do they need to be part of this agreement? Particularly the one about being eligible.

Also, I cannot warranty against what SSDI, Medicare or any other government institution wishes to do. Is it possible to make this agreement blind to the McGuires or David Gagnon?

What I mean is can we make it so that the amount of money cannot be told to them in any way?

It would drive David's ego crazy if he thought it was a large sum and was banned from seeing how much it is.

Paul Dulberg 847-497-4250 Sent from my iPad

On Jan 29, 2014, at 10:51 AM, Hans Mast <a href="mailto:hansmast@comcast.net">hansmast@comcast.net</a>> wrote:

Its not a big deal...if you weren't receiving it than don't check it...not sure what the question is...

---- Original Message -----

From: Paul Dulberg < pdulberg@comcast.net> To: Hans Mast < hansmast@comcast.net> Sent: Wed, 29 Jan 2014 16:16:04 -0000 (UTC)

Subject: McGuire settlement Here is a copy of the first page.

It has check boxes and one of the check boxes says;

I am not eligible to receive SSI or SSDI.

Another says;

I am not receiving SSI or SSDI.

As you know, I have applied for SSDI and SSI



From: Paul Dulberg <pdulberg@comcast.net>

Subject: Fwd: Memo

Date: December 27, 2016 6:11:20 PM CST

To: paul\_dulberg@comcast.net

From: Paul Dulberg comcast.net
Date: February 22, 2015 at 7:42:25 PM CST

To: Hans Mast < hansmast@att.net >

Subject: Re: Memo

To believe David's version of events you must believe I was committing suicide. Who in their right mind puts his arm into a chainsaw?

I figured you would cop out again...

Now I'm left wondering... How hard is it to sue an atty?

And yes I am and have been looking for someone who will take this case...

The issue of my word vs David Gagnons... Did he cut me or did I cut myself?

Of coarse he cut me.

Next issue please?

Paul Dulberg 847-497-4250 Sent from my iPad

On Feb 22, 2015, at 7:20 PM, Hans Mast < hansmast@att.net > wrote:

Paul I no longer can represent you in the case. We obviously have differences of opinion as to the value of the case. I've been telling you over a year now the problems with the case and you just don't see them. You keep telling me how injured you are and completely ignore that it doesn't matter if you passed away from the accident because we still have to prove that the defendant was at fault. While you think it is very clear - it is not. My guess is that seven out of 10 times you will lose the case outright. That means zero. That's why I have been trying to convince you to agree to a settlement. You clearly do not want to. There's only \$100,000 in coverage. Allstate will never offer anything near the policy limits therefore there's no chance to settle the case. The only alternative is to take the case to trial and I am not interested in doing that. I will wait for you to find a new attorney. I can't assist you any further in this case. Just let me know.

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 22, 2015, at 7:14 PM, Paul Dulberg < pdulberg@comcast.net> wrote:

Let's not be harsh, We have a couple of weeks till dr Kujawa's billing arrives.

I agree showing me the memo is a good idea it's just not the accuracy I expected.

I know I'm being confrontative about all of this but let's face it, my working days are over let alone a career I have been building since I was in high school. My dreams of family are over unless I have enough to provide and pay for the care of children and a roof.

What's left for me?

Facebook, scrap booking, crafts, etc... A life of crap...

With ongoing pain and grip issues in my dominate arm/hand that are degenerative.

This is as total as it gets for us in the working class short of being paralyzed or dead.

I need someone who is on my side, top of their game and will see to it that I'm comfortable after all this is over.

What I feel is an attempt to settle for far less than this is remotely worth just to get me off the books.





### Binding Mediation Award

	Milleri A Mari	ignon Award	
Paul Dulberg	}		
V. ;		ADR Systems File #	33391BMAG
David Gagnon	)		•
Voluntary settlement	i6, the matter was called for , in Chicago, IL. According to through negotiation could nich would be binding to the ows:	o the agreement entered	' into by the parties, if a
Finding in favor of:	Paul Dul	berg	•
Gross Award:	\$ 660,000.	~	
Comparative fault:	,% (If applica	able)	
Net Award:	561,000		
Comments/Explanatio		\$	60,000.
<u>fut</u>	are medical	\$	200,000,
	ulge	\$ 2	TO, 000,
//	· ·	p Hills de la constitución de la	75,000.
Love N	Lo		75,000.

The Honerable James P. Etchingham, (Ret.)

