

From: Paul Dulberg <pdulberg@comcast.net>
Subject: Fwd: Conservative jury
Date: December 28, 2016 10:34:40 AM CST
To: paul_dulberg@comcast.net

From: Paul Dulberg <pdulberg@comcast.net>
Date: November 19, 2013 at 1:32:42 AM CST
To: Hans Mast <hansmast@comcast.net>
Subject: Conservative jury

Hans,

A while back you told me that the jury's here in this county are primarily conservative and that they know the only reason we are before them is for money.

Not sure if that statement was meant to scare me or not but I do agree, they are, for the most part conservative and I would hope we should make it known we want money for damages, lawyers fees and the medical bills, etc... loud and clear.

We should also make it known to the jury that the parties or their insurance companies have never even offered to pay 1 cent for any of the medical damage and that's why we seek the juries help in settling this dispute. Perhaps if the insurance companies would have paid for these basic things none of us would even be here. but they didn't and now yes after years of waiting I am seeking money to pay for the medical treatments, you as the lawyer and finally myself as I'm the one who has had to suffer the consequences of the Gagnon/McGuire choices on that day.

I cannot believe that a conservative jury isn't going to award anything less than the cost of the medical damages and lawyer fees from them unless something catastrophic changes. I do see them being conservative as to what I will end up with at the end but not the real medical and lawyers bills. Even the conservative juries in this county are not so conservative that they won't give the base bills.

The McGuires insurance is free to go after David for damages if they lose.

Other than fearing a motion to dismiss the suit against the McGuire's insurance based on some false concept that because they didn't have their finger directly on the chainsaw trigger they hold no responsibility for damages.

what are the real benefits of letting them off so easy?

And I don't want to hear its because 2 parties vs 1 is much easier.

Letting off the McGuires insurance for such a small amount is anything but reasonable and I just can't see any ethical judge in this county not keeping them in the suit all the way for a jury to decide whether they had any part to play in the days events and the level of responsibility they share with David for the consequences considering it was the McGuires project, their land, their choice of who did the labor etc. etc...

When you advised me to seek a settlement with the McGuires insurance, I agreed to look at it only because they didn't have their hands directly on the trigger of the chainsaw and That you would get at the least the medical bills paid for out of it. I thought that was made clear in your office.

I know you work on approximately 33%. Is 33% of 5,000 even worth the time and money you already invested? It's only \$1650 for you and I'm sure your hourly fee eats that up rather quickly, I know mine did back when I had hands and arms that worked so I could charge.

Paul Dulberg
847-497-4250
Sent from my iPad