

Hi Julia,

Below are notes on the pdf that was attached.
It contains my thoughts on possible changes and edits.
Please forgive my sloppyness.

From items 1 to 49 red font is something that Dulberg added to the original. These are only suggestions and we will defer to your judgement as to whether these comments will become permanent.

A few notes on item 50:

The judge has already ruled on most of these. The judge's comments can be seen in the order he issued and the transcripts of the hearing.

We color-code each item listed like this:

black font means he has already agreed to the item

orange font means he needs more information to allow the item to stand

green font means the judge called the item conclusory

blue font means the judge has called the item redundant

red are our comments on an item

We changed the order of the list to put the black font ones first (since the judge is already in agreement with these). black is followed by brown (because judge may allow them if more information is provided). Green is next (which the judge called conclusory). Blue items are last (because the judge sees them as redundant).

We also wrote what the judge said about some of the items in red after the item. These are direct quotes from the transcript.

Notes on item k: k and f rewritten are basically the same thing

Notes on item f: F is to be rewritten as shown and combined with k

Notes on item g: combine with j or drop as redundant. Judge already agreed to j.

Notes on item h: h was reworded to remove redundancy

Further notes:

WHy are there two item 14?

Why two item 15?

item 51 is mistkenly listed as item 37

item 34 was changed

Numbers and letters are out of order from me adding comments etc...