Subject:

Dulberg v. Popvich

Date:

Thursday, September 20, 2018 at 1:59:42 PM Central Daylight Time

From:

Nikki

To:

pdulberg@comcast.net

CC:

Office Office, Sabina Walczyk

Attachments: 9.12.18 Report of Proceedings.pdf

Mr. Dulberg,

Please see attached Report of Proceedings from the September 12, 2018 Hearing. I was out sick yesterday and was sent the transcript from the court report from McHenry County Circuit Court via email yesterday, September 19, 2018.

Thank you,

Nikki Justiniani Office Assistant

The Gooch Firm 209 S. Main Street Wauconda, IL 60084 P: 847-526-0110

F: 847-526-0603

E: nikki@goochfirm.com

This communication is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, found at 18 U.S.C. 2510 et. seq. and is intended to remain confidential and is subject to applicable attorney/client and/or work product privileges. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, or if this message has been addressed to you in error, please immediately alert the sender by reply e-mail and then delete this message and all attachments. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this message and/or any attachments and if you are not the intended recipient, do not disclose the contents or take any action in reliance upon the information contained in this communication or any attachments.

```
1
   STATE OF ILLINOIS
                       ) SS:
   COUNTY OF MCHENRY
3
             IN THE TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
                    MCHENRY COUNTY, ILLINOIS
4
     PAUL DULBERG,
5
             Plaintiff,
6
       vs.
7
                                       No. 17 LA 377
     THE LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS
8
     J. POPOVICH, P.C., and
     HANS MAST,
9
             Defendants.
10
11
12
                  ELECTRONICALLY RECORDED Report of
13
   Proceedings had in the above-entitled cause before
   The Honorable Thomas A. Meyer, Judge of the Circuit
14
   Court of McHenry County, Illinois, on the 12th day of
15
   September, 2018, in the McHenry County Government
16
17
   Center, Woodstock, Illinois.
18
        APPEARANCES:
19
             CLAUSEN MILLER, PC, by:
             MR. GEORGE K. FLYNN,
20
                  on behalf of the Defendants.
21
22
23
24
```

- 1 | THE COURT: Counsel, which one you on?
- 2 MR. FLYNN: Dulberg.
- 3 THE COURT: Is opposing counsel here?
- 4 MR. FLYNN: She's not. I received an email. She
- 5 | said she was going to be late. She's in Waukegan.
- 6 THE COURT: I'm sorry, how late?
- 7 MR. FLYNN: I'm not sure how late, Judge. She said
- 8 | she's in Waukegan. Mr. Gooch was apparently ill today,
- 9 so she's going to be covering today's hearing.
- 10 THE COURT: And she's in Waukegan now?
- 11 MR. FLYNN: She's in Waukegan. Originally thought
- 12 | she might be able to be here by 10:30, but she said the
- 13 | judge stepped up 15 minutes late on her other matter,
- 14 so --
- THE COURT: I mean, that's about an hour drive.
- 16 MR. FLYNN: The email I received was -- I was in the
- 17 car as well, so 10 or 15 minutes ago.
- 18 THE COURT: Okay. See if you can email her and find
- 19 out if we can get an ETA.
- 20 MR. FLYNN: Okay.
- 21 THE COURT: And we'll work from there.
- 22 MR. FLYNN: Okay. Thanks, Judge.
- 23 THE COURT: Thank you.

24

1 (Whereupon, the above-entitled cause

was passed and subsequently recalled.)

THE COURT: Okay. Counsel, if you can approach. So

4 | Dulberg versus Mast.

MR. FLYNN: Good morning, Your Honor. George Flynn

6 on behalf of the defendants. I did -- I received

7 communication from counsel. She was walking to her car

8 at the Waukegan courthouse at 11 -- I'm sorry, at 10:10,

9 and she indicated that her GPS estimated she would

10 arrive here at one hour and six minutes.

11 | THE COURT: 11:30-ish. Fair?

12 MR. FLYNN: Fair.

23

13 THE COURT: All right. Well, rather than delay

14 this, I'm going to rule from the bench based upon my

15 review of the amended complaint and consideration of the

16 briefs in support of and opposition to.

17 I'm going to strike the complaint. The basis

18 of my decision is I think the complaint states a cause

19 of action, but there are so many things in there that

20 are unsupported by factual allegations that I think it

21 best just to deal with them now rather than at a later

22 date. I reviewed -- and I'm looking for the specific

allegations of negligence within the amended complaint.

24 | I felt that in paragraph 31, subparagraph (a) included

```
1 enough of a fact that I -- I'm going to tell you the
```

- 2 ones I think can stand. Then I'm going to strike the
- 3 rest of them and try to explain it. I think paragraph
- 4 | (a) gave me enough of a fact that I would allow it to
- 5 stand. I felt that (b) was a conclusion; (c) was
- 6 redundant of (a); (d) I was going to allow to stand, it
- 7 | alleges something; (e) I was going to allow to stand;
- 8 (f) is a conclusion, it's not a fact -- Where are we?
- 9 | -- (g) I'm just going to strike, it's a conclusion;
- 10 (h), it's a conclusion, strike it; (i) it's a
- 11 | conclusion, strike it; (j) I'm going to allow to stand;
- 12 (k) I'm -- I'm going to strike. It says there were
- 13 | necessary facts, but doesn't tell me what those
- 14 | necessary facts were. I think an allegation of coercion
- 15 | can stand, but I'm not quite sure what it is we're
- 16 | alleging.
- 17 MR. FLYNN: So just to clarify, Judge, you're ruling
- 18 that there can be an allegation of coercion, but it's
- 19 not supported by facts here --
- 20 THE COURT: Yeah.
- 21 MR. GLYNN: -- under the 615 standard?
- 22 | THE COURT: Yeah.
- 23 MR. GLYNN: Okay.
- 24 THE COURT: (1) there might be some facts in there,

- 1 but I'm not sure what they are, so I'm going to strike
- 2 | it. I mean, there might be a factual basis to support
- 3 | what they're getting at, but I don't know what it is. I
- 4 | don't think it's supported, so I think it's a
- 5 | conclusion. I'll strike -- (m) is a conclusion, I'll
- 6 | strike it; (n) is I think duplicative of (a) and (c);
- 7 and (o) is just a conclusion.
- 8 I will allow them to replead because I think
- 9 the ones I've -- and I hate to make you the note-taker,
- 10 | but it saves you a return trip, and I was going to ask
- 11 | questions, but these -- this is what I felt about the
- 12 | allegations in the complaint. I think there is -- this
- 13 | -- for going -- as far as going forward is concerned, if
- 14 there were more paragraphs that weren't conclusions, I
- 15 | might have allowed the complaint to stand and just
- 16 | strike -- strike them on their face rather than go
- 17 | through the trouble of re-pleading. Unfortunately, most
- 18 of the paragraphs were conclusions that I felt had to be
- 19 | stricken, and I'm dealing with that now. As a result,
- 20 I'm striking the complaint.
- 21 Plaintiff gets to re-plead and the -- and if
- 22 | they just -- and if they limit it to the ones I've
- 23 | allowed to stand that I've advised you about that I
- 24 | think are adequate, then I'm going to -- I would deny

1 | future 615 based on the same concepts.

Does that make sense?

MR. FLYNN: I -- without having gone through each of the subparagraphs, yes, I understand the Court's ruling.

I think that the general theme of our motion was that the plaintiff hasn't set forth what a breach of any duty would have been as far as the McGuires and what legal standard they would have been held to and how they

10 THE COURT: I think --

breached that.

MR. GLYNN: Just because they're a land owners and an accident happened on their property doesn't mean they're liable on this.

THE COURT: And I -- actually, I take that back. I agree, but I think that there was enough implicit in the allegations that I still felt that there was going to be an adequate cause of action, and to clarify what I said earlier, I would agree that they've got to explain that better, but it's -- I probably -- since I'm striking the complaint, I'm going to direct them to do that. I felt that I could read enough in here to understand what they were getting at, that I wouldn't have struck the complaint solely on that basis.

Does that answer your question?

- 1 MR. FLYNN: I think so.
- 2 THE COURT: Okay. There's a lot to unpack here, but
- 3 | I think that there are enough allegations and enough of
- 4 | an understanding of where they're going that I think
- 5 | they're going to be able to state a cause of action, at
- 6 least insofar as 2-615 is concerned.
- 7 We'll see what they say in their new complaint.
- 8 Do you want to give them 28 days --
- 9 MR. FLYNN: Sure.
- 10 | THE COURT: -- to file?
- 11 What would you like to do? Twenty-eight after
- 12 | or --
- 13 MR. GLYNN: Yes.
- 14 THE COURT: Okay. So let's put the case out
- 15 | 60 days. That will each give you plenty of time, and
- 16 | that will take us to November 13th. That is a Tuesday.
- 17 Does that day work for you?
- 18 MR. FLYNN: Yes.
- 19 THE COURT: Okay. And for purposes of the record,
- 20 | we were advised that -- about 10:15 that plaintiff's
- 21 | counsel was about an hour drive away having been
- 22 detained in Waukegan. As a result, I just decided to --
- 23 | rather than continuing the hearing and going through the
- 24 | process I just did, I would provide my ruling and save

- 1 | everybody some effort.
- 2 Questions?
- MR. FLYNN: 9:00 o'clock status on November 13th?
- 4 THE COURT: Yes.
- 5 Mr. Dulberg, any questions? I don't really
- 6 | want you to get substantively involved because you're
- 7 | represented, but do you want any clarification of
- 8 | anything I just said?
- 9 MR. DULBERG: Clarification, no. But I will say
- 10 | that I don't think that we should have to try the case
- 11 | in the pleading.
- 12 THE COURT: And you don't have to. And that's not
- 13 | what I've said. That's not what he said. But there are
- 14 | certain allegations that I didn't feel were adequate and
- 15 | that's the basis of my dismissal.
- 16 MR. DULBERG: (Inaudible).
- 17 | THE COURT: I don't want you to argue too much
- 18 because, again, you've got an attorney and I don't want
- 19 | to involve you. I just -- Do you have any questions?
- 20 MR. DULBERG: No.
- 21 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Counsel, if you could
- 22 draft the order.
- MR. FLYNN: I will, Judge, based on my -- the
- 24 | note-taking that I did, and can I reference the

```
1
   transcript. This is recorded, I believe, --
2
        THE COURT:
                   Yeah.
3
       MR. FLYNN:
                   -- correct?
       THE COURT: Yeah, that's fine.
4
       MR. FLYNN:
5
                   Okay.
       THE COURT: Yeah, I think they're going to need the
6
   transcript probably to get through all that.
7
       MR. FLYNN: Fair enough.
8
9
       THE COURT: Okay? Thank you.
10
       MR. FLYNN: Thank you, Judge.
                  (Which was and is all of the evidence
11
12
                  offered at the hearing of said cause
                  this date.)
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

	10
1	STATE OF ILLINOIS)) SS:
2	COUNTY OF MCHENRY)
3	
4	I, Stacey A. Collins, an Official Court
5	Reporter for the Circuit Court of McHenry County,
6	State of Illinois, do hereby certify that I reported in
7	shorthand the proceedings had in the above entitled
8	cause and that the foregoing is a true and correct
9	transcript of all the proceedings heard.
10	
11	
12	ghay a Cellino
13	
14	Stacey A. Collins, CSR Official Court Reporter
15	License No. 084-002377
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
24	
4 4	